08:58AM 1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
4			
5	GTGGG GVGHDMG TNG		
6	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,) CV-14-5344-BLF)		
7	PLAINTIFF,) SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA)		
8	VS.) NOVEMBER 21, 2016)		
9	ARISTA NETWORKS, INC.,) VOLUME 2		
10	DEFENDANT) PAGES 25-260)		
11	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS		
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE BETH LABSON FREEMAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
13	APPEARANCES:		
14	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: DAVID A. NELSON QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP		
15	500 WEST MADISON STREET, SUITE 2450 CHICAGO, IL 60661		
16			
17	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHART & SULLIVAN BY: SEAN PAK		
18	50 CALIFORNIA STREET, 22ND FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111		
19	BY: DAVE NELSON		
20	500 WEST MADISON STREET, SUITE 2450 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60661		
21			
22	APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE		
23	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: SUMMER FISHER, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 13185		
24			
25	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY MECHANICAL STENOGRAPHY TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED WITH COMPUTER		

1	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
2	FOR THE DEFENDANT: KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP BY: ROBERT ADDY VAN NEST
3	BI: ROBERT ADDI VAN NEST BRIAN FERRALL MICHAEL KWUN
4	KEKER & VAN NEST LLP 633 BATTERY STREET
5	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-1809
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	1	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA NOVEMBER 21, 2016
08:59AM	2	PROCEEDINGS
08:59AM	3	(WHEREUPON, THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD OUT OF THE
09:00AM	4	PRESENCE OF THE PROSPECTIVE JURY:)
09:00AM	5	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET'S CALL THE CASE. WE WILL
09:01AM	6	GET YOUR NAMES ON THE RECORD AND THEN WE WILL DIG IN.
09:01AM	7	THE CLERK: CALLING CV-14-5344-BLF. CISCO SYSTEMS,
09:01AM	8	INC. V. ARISTA NETWORKS, INC.
09:01AM	9	COUNSEL, PLEASE COME FORWARD AND STATE YOUR APPEARANCES.
09:01AM	10	MR. NELSON: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.
09:01AM	11	DAVE NELSON ON BEHALF OF CISCO. AND WITH ME IS MR. SEAN
09:01AM	12	PAK AND MS. KATHLEEN SULLIVAN.
09:01AM	13	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING TO ALL OF YOU.
09:01AM	14	MR. VAN NEST: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.
09:01AM	15	BOB VAN NEST FROM KECKER & VAN NEST FOR ARISTA. WE ARE
09:01AM	16	HERE WITH BRIAN FERRALL AND MICHAEL KWUN.
09:01AM	17	WE EXPECT DAVID SILBERT TO BE HERE SHORTLY, AND WE HAVE
09:01AM	18	INVITED PROFESSOR BLACK TO BE HERE IN THE COURTROOM. HE'S HERE
09:01AM	19	IN THE COURTROOM, BUT I TOLD HIM TODAY HE WILL LIKELY JUST BE A
09:01AM	20	SPECTATOR, BUT HE IS HERE, YOUR HONOR.
09:01AM	21	THE COURT: I APPRECIATE THAT. THANK YOU.
09:01AM	22	ALL RIGHT. PLEASE BE SEATED.
09:01AM	23	LET ME SEE IF I CAN JUST MAKE SURE I UNDERSTAND WE ALL
09:01AM	24	UNDERSTAND EXACTLY WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO ACCOMPLISH THIS
09:01AM	25	MORNING.

09:01	AM	1
09:01	AM	2
09:02	AM	3
09:02	AM	4
09:02	AM	5
09:02	AM	6
09:02	AM	7
09:02	AM	8
09:02	AM	9
09:02	ам 1	. 0
09:02	ам 1	.1
09:02	ам 1	.2
09:02	ам 1	. 3
09:02	ам 1	4
09:02	ам 1	.5
09:02	ам 1	. 6
09:02	ам 1	.7
09:02	ам 1	. 8
09:02	ам 1	9
09:02	ам 2	20
09:03	ам 2	21
09:03	ам 2	22
09:03	am 2	23
09:03	am 2	24
09:03	am 2	25

THIS IS THE TIME I BELIEVE WE HAVE SET FOR THE DISCUSSION AND ARGUMENT ON THE PORTIONS OF ANALYTIC DISSECTION THAT THE COURT CAN COMPLETE WITHOUT EVIDENTIARY HEARING. AND THAT THE EVIDENTIARY HEARING REQUIRED WILL TAKE PLACE ALONG WITH THE TRIAL, WITH THE JURY PRESENT.

AND THAT BEFORE I FINALLY INSTRUCT THE JURY, WE WILL HAVE COMPLETED ALL OF THE ANALYTIC DISSECTION; IS THAT CORRECT?

MR. VAN NEST: THAT'S WHAT WE UNDERSTOOD, YOUR HONOR.

MR. NELSON: I BELIEVE THAT'S RIGHT.

I BELIEVE WE ALSO TALKED FRIDAY ABOUT MAYBE THEY WANTED SOME ADDITIONAL DISCUSSION ON THE DEFINITION OF THE WORK.

THE COURT: WELL, IT WOULD BE MY HOPE TODAY THAT WE COULD ALSO DEFINE WHAT THE WORKS ARE. AND OF COURSE THERE'S MORE THAN ONE THAN YOU ARE ASSERTING. AND I THINK THAT WILL HELP QUITE A BIT AS WE DISCUSS JURY INSTRUCTIONS.

YOU KNOW, WE'VE REALLY -- I'VE SET ASIDE ALL DAY TODAY AND TOMORROW. I DON'T KNOW WHAT WE WILL NEED. WHEN WE TURN TO JURY INSTRUCTIONS, WE WILL DO THAT IN CHAMBERS BECAUSE WE HAVE A LOT OF ROLL-UP-YOUR-SLEEVES KIND OF WORK TO DO THERE, AND I'M HOPING TO GET A PRETTY GOOD SET OF JURY INSTRUCTIONS BY THE END OF THE DAY TOMORROW, WITH THE EXCEPTION THAT A FEW THAT ARE STILL WORKS IN PROGRESS. BUT THEN WE HAVE A REALISTIC CHANCE OF GETTING THOSE HAMMERED OUT SO THAT THE JURY CAN START THEIR DELIBERATIONS AS WE HAD HOPED ON DECEMBER 13TH. SO THAT'S STILL OUR PLAN.

1 09:03AM 2 09:03AM 3 09:03AM 09:03AM 4 09:03AM 09:03AM 09:03AM 7 8 09:04AM 09:04AM 9 09:04AM 10 09:04AM 11 09:04AM 12 09:04AM 13 09:04AM 14 09:04AM 15 09:04AM 16 17 09:04AM 18 09:04AM 09:04AM 19 20 09:04AM 21 09:04AM 09:04AM 22 09:04AM 23 09:04AM 24 09:04AM 25

WITH THAT IN MIND, LET MY -- I'M NOT SURE HOW YOU HAD

WANTED TO ORGANIZE THE DISCUSSION TUESDAY -- THE PAPER YOU

PROVIDED TO ME, I KNOW THERE'S LOTS OF OTHER THINGS THAT YOU

SENT ME ON THE THUMB DRIVE, AND OF COURSE THAT'S NOT ACCESSIBLE

TO ME IN THE COURTROOM, I KNOW YOU WILL HELP ME THROUGH THAT.

IT SEEMS TO ME THAT PRIMARILY, CISCO'S IDENTIFICATION THE
ALLEGED COPIED ELEMENTS IS ESSENTIAL HERE. AND WHAT I HAVE
BEEN WORKING WITH IS THE JOINT SUBMISSION OF THE ITEMS THAT CAN
BE SUBMITTED WITHOUT ARGUMENT THAT TRACK ARISTA'S RESPONSE TO
CISCO'S SUBMISSION OF PROTECTABLE ELEMENTS.

AND SO MR. VAN NEST, I DON'T KNOW WHETHER YOU HAD INTENDED TO WALK THROUGH THAT LIST OR IF YOU HAVE A DIFFERENT WAY OF ORGANIZING THIS FOR ME TODAY.

MR. VAN NEST: I HAD A SLIDE HERE FOR SUGGESTION.

AND THAT IS THAT WE START WITH DEFINING WHAT THE WORK AS A

WHOLE IS.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. VAN NEST: REMEMBER THAT AT THE MOTION IN LIMINE SESSION WE HAD MOVED IN LIMINE THE QUESTION OF WHAT WAS ACTUALLY DISCLOSED. AND SO THAT WAS CARRIED OVER TO TRIAL.

SO WHAT WE HAVE DONE IS WE HAVE DIVIDED IT UP A LITTLE BIT.

WE THINK THERE'S TWO ISSUES: WHAT WAS DISCLOSED AS THE

COPYRIGHTED WORK, AND MR. FERRALL IS GOING TO ADDRESS THAT; AND

WHETHER SOME SUBSET OF A COPYRIGHTED REGISTRATION IS ELIGIBLE

TO BE THE WORK AS A WHOLE.

09:04AM	1	AND YOU HAD MENTIONED FRIDAY THAT YOU WERE FAMILIAR WITH
09:04AM	2	THE LAW.
09:04AM	3	THE COURT: I HOPE I AM.
09:05AM	4	MR. VAN NEST: AND MR. KWUN WAS GOING TO HANDLE THAT.
09:05AM	5	SO WHAT I SUGGEST WE DO IS, START WITH MR. FERRALL, IS THE
09:05AM	6	DISCOVERY ISSUES BECAUSE THEY ARE VERY IMPORTANT, AND THEN
09:05AM	7	FOLLOW ON WITH THE DISCUSSION OF THE LAW AND THE LEGAL POINTS.
09:05AM	8	EVEN IF YOUR HONOR WERE TO SAY, WELL, IT WAS DISCLOSED, THEN I
09:05AM	9	THINK THERE'S A SECOND QUESTION WHICH IS, WHAT DOES THE LAW
09:05AM	10	ALLOW IN TERMS OF A SUBSET TYPE OF APPROACH?
09:05AM	11	THE COURT: OKAY. AND I'M PERFECTLY HAPPY TO DO
09:05AM	12	THAT.
09:05AM	13	I THINK THE BEST PLACE TO START OUT IS FOR CISCO TO STATE
09:05AM	14	ON THE RECORD WHAT IT BELIEVES THE WORKS ARE THAT IT IS GOING
09:05AM	15	FORWARD WITH.
09:05AM	16	MR. PAK.
09:05AM	17	MR. PAK: YES, YOUR HONOR. AND YOUR HONOR, I HAVE
09:06AM	18	COPIES OF THE SLIDES.
09:06AM	19	THE COURT: AND THOSE ARE ALWAYS HELPFUL. THANK YOU.
09:06AM	20	AND PARDON ME, I MAY BE LOOKING AT THESE WHILE YOU ARE
09:06AM	21	TALKING. I MAY NOT MAKE THE KIND OF EYE CONTACT THAT WOULD
09:06AM	22	APPEAR THAT I'M LISTENING, BUT I'M DOING MY BEST.
09:06AM	23	MR. PAK: SO YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE PUT TOGETHER A
09:06AM	24	SERIES OF SLIDES THAT WILL WALK US THROUGH THE DISCUSSION. I
09:06AM	25	THINK IT WILL FOLLOW INTO THE FOLLOWING SETS OF ARGUMENTS.

09:06AM	1
09:06AM	2
09:06AM	3
09:06AM	4
09:06AM	5
09:06AM	6
09:07AM	7
09:07AM	8
09:07AM	9
09:07AM	10
09:07AM	11
09:07AM	12
09:07AM	13
09:07AM	14
09:07AM	15
09:07AM	16
09:07AM	17
09:07AM	18
09:07AM	19
09:07AM	20
09:07AM	21
09:07AM	22
09:07AM	23
09:07AM	24
09:07AM	25

ONE IS, AT A VERY HIGH LEVEL I KNOW YOUR HONOR HAS SEEN THE CASE LAW, BUT JUST TO REMIND EVERYONE ON THE RECORD WHAT THE LAW IS WITH RESPECT TO THE SEPARATE REGISTRATION OF USER INTERFACE VERSUS THE SOURCE CODE WHEN ONE TAKES A COMPUTER PROGRAM AND FILES THE REGISTRATION PAPERWORK WITH THE COPYRIGHT OFFICE. I WILL COVER THAT VERY BRIEFLY.

AND YOUR HONOR, I DO WANT TO GO THEN THROUGH THE DIFFERENT DISCLOSURES THAT WE MADE IN THE CASE. DIFFERENT STATEMENTS HAVE BEEN MADE BY THE EXPERTS ON BOTH SIDES. PARTICULARLY, I THINK WHAT'S REALLY IMPORTANT IS TO UNDERSTAND HOW ARISTA'S OWN EXPERTS HAVE UNDERSTOOD THE COPYRIGHTS AT ISSUE TO BE BECAUSE THAT BECOMES A FOUNDATION FOR A NUMBER OF OPINIONS AND SOME DEFENSES THAT ARISTA HAS RAISED.

SO I THINK ONCE WE UNDERSTAND THAT PICTURE, THEN I WILL STATE ON THE RECORD, YOUR HONOR, EXACTLY WHAT IT IS THAT WE ARE CLAIMING TO BE, IN THIS CASE, REALLY, THE FOUR OPERATING SYSTEM USER INTERFACES, HOW THEY WERE DISCLOSED, AND MAKE THAT VERY CLEAR ON THE RECORD.

THE COURT: THAT WILL BE VERY HELPFUL.

AND SO THAT -- I WANT MR. VAN NEST TO BE CLEARLY SPEAKING
TO WHAT YOU ALLEGE THE WORKS TO BE SO THAT THE RECORD IS CLEAR
FOR ALL OF US.

MR. PAK: GREAT.

SO I THINK THE STARTING POINT, YOUR HONOR, IS THE CASE OF MANUFACTURERS TECH V. CAMS, THIS IS CONNECTICUT CASE IN 1989.

1 09:08AM 2 09:08AM 3 09:08AM 09:08AM 09:08AM 09:08AM 09:08AM 8 09:08AM 9 09:08AM 10 09:08AM 11 09:08AM 12 09:08AM 13 09:08AM 14 09:08AM 15 09:08AM 16 09:08AM 17 09:08AM 18 09:09AM 19 09:09AM 09:09AM 20 21 09:09AM 22 09:09AM 23 09:09AM 24 09:09AM 09:09AM 25

THE COURT LOOKED AT ALL OF THE VARIOUS POLICY ARGUMENTS AND WHAT HAPPENED WITH RESPECT TO THE CHANGE IN POLICY AT THE COPYRIGHT OFFICE.

AND WHAT THIS CASE HOLDS IS THAT THIS COURT ADOPTS THE APPROACH TO TREAT THE SINGLE REGISTRATION OF THE COMPUTER PROGRAM AS ACCOMPLISHING TWO INTERRELATED YET DISTINCT REGISTRATIONS.

ONE OF THE PROGRAM ITSELF AND ONE OF THE SCREEN DISPLAYS OR

THE USER INTERFACE OF THAT PROGRAM. AND IT ALSO RECOGNIZES

THAT A COMPUTER PROGRAM AND ITS SCREEN DISPLAYS ARE, FOR

COPYRIGHT PURPOSES, FUNDAMENTALLY DISTINCT.

AND I THINK IF YOUR HONOR READS THAT OPINION, AND THERE ARE
A NUMBER OF DISTRICT COURTS THAT HAVE FOLLOWED THIS APPROACH,
THE COURT REALLY ADOPTS MULTIPLE BASIS FOR ITS POSITION.

ONE IS JUST THE PRACTICAL REALITY THAT ITS COMPUTER

PROGRAMS BECOME MORE COMPLICATED. THESE PROGRAMS ARE

GENERATING, LITERALLY, HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF SCREEN SHOTS,

OR MILLIONS POTENTIALLY, DEPENDING ON THE COMPLEXITY OF THE

PROGRAM.

AND PRIOR TO THE COPYRIGHT POLICY CHANGE, AS A COMPUTER PROGRAM, YOU COULD EITHER REGISTER THE CODE OR YOU COULD ALSO SEPARATELY REGISTER THE SCREEN DISPLAYS. BUT AS THE NUMBER OF SCREEN DISPLAYS INCREASED, IT BECAME PRACTICALLY IMPOSSIBLE TO REGISTER ALL THE DIFFERENT POSSIBLE SCREENS. AND SOME OF THESE SCREENS DEPENDS ON HOW YOU THE USER INTERACTS WITH THE SYSTEM.

1 09:09AM 2 09:09AM 3 09:09AM 09:09AM 09:09AM 09:09AM 09:09AM 8 09:09AM 9 09:10AM 09:10AM 10 09:10AM 11 09:10AM 12 09:10AM 13 09:10AM 14 09:10AM 15 16 09:10AM 17 09:10AM 18 09:10AM 09:10AM 19 20 09:10AM 21 09:10AM 09:10AM 22 09:10AM 23 09:10AM 24 09:10AM 25

SO THAT WAS ALSO A RECOGNITION IN THIS ORDER THAT WHEN YOU HAVE THE USER PROVIDING INPUT, AND THAT'S PART OF WHAT YOU ARE SEEING ON THE SCREEN, IT REALLY DOES BECOME VERY, VERY DIFFICULT TO TRY TO REGISTER ALL THE DIFFERENT PERMUTATIONS.

THERE'S ALSO A BASIC, I THINK A POLICY REASON TO DO THIS,
WHICH IS THE COURT RECOGNIZED THAT THE SAME USER SCREEN COULD
BE IMPLEMENTED USING DIFFERENT CODE. AND IN FACT, THERE'S SUCH
A PROLIFERATION OF DIFFERENT TYPE OF PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES,
PROGRAMMING TECHNIQUES THAT, FROM A USER PERSPECTIVE, YOU COULD
HAVE AN IDENTICAL PROGRAM IN TERMS OF THE USER EXPERIENCE
THAT'S BEEN IMPLEMENTED USING, FOR EXAMPLE THE C++ PROGRAM
LANGUAGE VERSUS JAVA VERSUS DIFFERENT KINDS OF LIBRARIES AND
TOOLS.

SO THE RECOGNITION WAS THAT WE SHOULDN'T ALLOW THE SAME PROGRAM EFFECTIVELY TO BE CLONED SIMPLY BECAUSE THE INFRINGER HAD USED A DIFFERENT PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE OR A SET OF DIFFERENT LIBRARY TOOLS. SO THAT, I THINK, WAS ALSO PART OF THIS COURT'S OPINION.

JUST TO BE CLEAR, THAT'S NOT THE ONLY COURT, THIS IS ON SLIDE 3, WE HAVE CITED A NUMBER OF CASES, CLARITY SOFTWARE CASE, FROM PENNSYLVANIA THAT QUOTES AND RELIES ON CAMS, AND THEY RECOGNIZED THE SAME LEGAL PRINCIPLE AND POLICY BASIS FOR THIS DECISION.

WE HAVE THE <u>JAMISON</u> CASE FROM THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK. THE HARBOR SOFTWARE CASE FROM THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF

09:10AM	1	NEW YORK, AND ALSO THE <u>NAPOLI</u> CASE WHICH CAME OUT OF THE
09:10AM	2	NORTHERN DISTRICT
09:11AM	3	THE COURT: NO COURTS IN THE NINTH CIRCUIT HAVE HAD
09:11AM	4	THE OCCASION TO RULE ON THIS?
09:11AM	5	MR. PAK: I THINK THE NINTH CIRCUIT HASN'T, TO MY
09:11AM	6	KNOWLEDGE, AT THE DISTRICT COURT LEVEL, HAVE DEALT WITH THIS
09:11AM	7	PARTICULAR ISSUE. BUT OBVIOUSLY WE HAVE CASES LIKE THE
09:11AM	8	SYNOPSYS CASE AND OTHER CASES THAT HAVE FOCUSED JUST ON THE
09:11AM	9	USER INTERFACE ELEMENTS.
09:11AM	10	THE COURT: WHAT WAS THE DEFINITION OF THE WORK IN
09:11AM	11	THE SYNOPSYS CASE.
09:11AM	12	MR. PAK: I BELIEVE IT WAS THE COMMAND SET AND THE
09:11AM	13	SYNTAX, THAT WAS THE DEFINITION OF THE WORK.
09:11AM	14	THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE A SUBSET OF THE USER
09:11AM	15	INTERFACE?
09:11AM	16	MR. PAK: I BELIEVE SO.
09:11AM	17	THE COURT: YOU WEREN'T INVOLVED IN THAT CASE?
09:11AM	18	MR. PAK: I WAS NOT INVOLVED IN THE <u>SYNOPSYS</u> CASE SO
09:11AM	19	I APOLOGIZE, I'M GOING OFF OF WHAT I HAD JUST READ.
09:11AM	20	THE COURT: I DIDN'T BELIEVE YOU HAD BEEN INVOLVED IN
09:11AM	21	IT BUT YOU HAVE OBVIOUSLY STUDIED THE DOCKET.
09:11AM	22	MR. PAK: I HAVE STUDIED THE DOCKET, BUT THERE MAY BE
09:11AM	23	THINGS IN THE CONFIDENTIAL RECORD THAT WE DON'T HAVE ACCESS TO.
09:11AM	24	THE <u>NAPOLI</u> CASE, YOUR HONOR, THAT WAS VACATED DUE TO A
09:11AM	25	SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT. THERE WAS NO SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS OR

09:11AM	1
09:11AM	2
09:11AM	3
09:12AM	4
09:12AM	5
09:12AM	6
09:12AM	7
09:12AM	8
09:12AM	9
09:12AM	10
09:12AM	11
09:12AM	12
09:12AM	13
09:12AM	14
09:12AM	15
09:12AM	16
09:12AM	17
09:12AM	18
09:12AM	19
09:12AM	20
09:13AM	21
09:13AM	22
09:13AM	23
09:13AM	24
09:13AM	25

OVERTURNING OF THAT DECISION.

THE COURT: AND THE ${\hbox{\scriptsize NAPOLI}}$ CASE IS THE ONE YOU HAVE CITED, I THINK.

MR. PAK: THAT'S RIGHT.

AND WE HAVE ALSO CITED THE MANUFACTURERS TECH CASE. THE

NAPOLI CASE ACTUALLY CITES THE MANUFACTURERS TECH CASE AND

MAKES IT PART OF ITS HOLDING AS WELL.

SO ON SLIDE 4, YOUR HONOR, I THINK WE ARE ALL IN AGREEMENT NOW THAT THE ACT OF REGISTERING A COPYRIGHT DOESN'T DEFINE THE WORK, NECESSARILY, AND THAT'S FOR MULTIPLE REASONS.

COPYRIGHT BECOMES PART OF THE WORK OR THE WORK BECOMES

PROTECTED BY COPYRIGHT AT THE TIME OF CREATION OF THAT WORK.

AND THE REGISTRATION REALLY IS A STANDING ISSUE AS TO WHETHER

YOU COULD SUE ON THAT COPYRIGHTED WORK BY MAKING THE FILINGS

WITH THE REGISTRATIONS.

AND EVEN ARISTA ACKNOWLEDGES IN ITS BRIEF AT ECF 635,

PAGE 2, FOR EXAMPLE, CISCO CAN ASSERT ITS SEPARATE MANUALS,

INDEPENDENT DOCUMENTS AS DISCREET WORKS, ALTHOUGH THEY WERE

REGISTERED ALONG WITH THE OPERATING SYSTEMS.

SO THERE WILL BE SOME DISCUSSION, I BELIEVE, ABOUT VARIOUS DISCOVERY RESPONSES OR STATEMENTS BY WITNESSES AND EXPERTS AS TO WHAT WAS IT THAT WAS ACTUALLY FILED WITH THE COPYRIGHT OFFICE.

AND I THINK IT'S FACTUALLY CORRECT TO SAY THAT WHAT WE FILED WERE THE OPERATING SYSTEM-RELATED DOCUMENTS AS WELL AS

THE SOURCE CODE. THAT'S THE -- WHAT WAS THE REGISTERED WORK IN 1 09:13AM 2 THE SENSE OF REGISTRATION. 09:13AM 3 THE COURT: SURE. 09:13AM 09:13AM AND NO ONE -- ARISTA DOESN'T CONTEST THAT THE IOS IS COPYRIGHTED, SUBJECT TO A REGISTRATION. 09:13AM MR. PAK: THAT'S RIGHT, YOUR HONOR. 09:13AM AND I THINK THE --09:13AM 7 THE COURT: AND EACH OF ITS VERSIONS, OF COURSE. 8 09:13AM 09:13AM 9 MR. PAK: THAT'S RIGHT. 09:13AM 10 AND SO ULTIMATELY WHAT CISCO IS CONTENDING IN THIS CASE, WHAT WE ARE CONTENDING, YOUR HONOR, IS THAT THAT ACT OF 09:13AM 11 09:13AM 12 REGISTERING THE OPERATING SYSTEM, ALONG WITH THE DOCUMENTS, 09:13AM 13 GAVE RISE TO A NUMBER OF DISTINCT REGISTRATION FOR PURPOSES OF 09:13AM 14 ENFORCEMENT OR LITIGATION, THAT WE CAN THEN USE THAT 09:13AM 15 REGISTRATION AS A BASIS TO ASSERT, FOR EXAMPLE, THE DOCUMENT-BASED COPYRIGHTS THAT WE HAVE. WE COULD ALSO CHOOSE 09:13AM 16 17 TO ASSERT THE USER INTERFACE RELATED COPYRIGHTS THAT WE HAVE. 09:13AM 18 AND IF THE CASE INVOLVED SOURCE CODE COPYING, WE COULD HAVE 09:14AM 09:14AM 19 ALSO ASSERTED THE SOURCE CODE COPYING COPYRIGHTS AS WELL. BUT 20 THAT THESE ARE A BUNDLE OF RIGHTS THAT WE HAVE, AND WE COULD 09:14AM 21 CHOOSE TO SELECT -- WE COULD CHOOSE TO ASSERT ALL OF THEM 09:14AM 09:14AM 22 TOGETHER OR IN INDIVIDUAL PIECEMEAL FASHION. 09:14AM 23 THE COURT: WELL, IT SEEMS THAT ARISTA ARGUES THAT 09:14AM 24 THAT'S THE OPERATING SYSTEM AS A WHOLE, WHICH WOULD INCLUDE 09:14AM 25 BOTH THE SOURCE CODE AND THE USER INTERFACE. SO I DON'T THINK

09:14AM	1
09:14AM	2
09:14AM	3
09:14AM	4
09:14AM	5
09:14AM	6
09:14AM	7
09:14AM	8
09:14AM	9
09:14AM	10
09:14AM	11
09:14AM	12
09:14AM	13
09:15AM	14
09:15AM	15
09:15AM	16
09:15AM	17
09:15AM	18
09:15AM	19
09:15AM	20
09:15AM	21
09:15AM	22
09:15AM	23
09:15AM	24
09:15AM	25

THERE'S ANY QUESTION THAT THE USER INTERFACE IS PROTECTED IN THE REGISTRATIONS, IT'S JUST WHETHER IT CAN BECOME IT'S OWN WORK SEPARATE FROM THE SOURCE CODE.

MR. PAK: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: AND IT DOESN'T SEEM LIKE IT'S THAT DIFFICULT A DECISION HERE.

MR. PAK: I DON'T THINK SO, YOUR HONOR.

I THINK WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE LAW MADE, AND I'M SURE WE WILL HEAR FROM COUNSEL FOR ARISTA, BUT SETTING ASIDE SOME POLICY STATEMENTS FROM THE COPYRIGHT OFFICE WHICH CAN BE INTERPRETED IN A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT WAYS, I DON'T THINK THERE'S BEEN ANY CASE LAW CITED BY ARISTA THAT REALLY CONTRADICTS THIS MANUFACTURING TECH LINE OF CASES AND THE WAY THE DISTRICT COURTS HAVE TREATED USER INTERFACE AS A SEPARATE COPYRIGHTABLE WORK.

SO REALLY, I THINK PART OF THE DISCUSSION WE ARE HAVING IS
REALLY THE DISCOVERY PROCESS AND WHAT CISCO HAS ALLEGED FROM
THE VERY BEGINNING OF THE CASE IN TERMS OF FILING OF THE
COMPLAINT TO THE DISCOVERY RESPONSES THAT WERE MADE, TO THE
EXPERT OPINIONS THAT WERE RENDERED. AND I THINK IMPORTANTLY,
WHAT WERE THE DEFENSES ARISTA HAS ADVANCED IN THIS CASE, BASED
ON THE DISCLOSURES CISCO MADE.

AND AS YOUR HONOR KNOWS, RULE 26 IS REALLY A DISCOVERY NOTICE RULE, AND THE IDEA IS HAVE I REASONABLY OR FAIRLY PUT THE OTHER SIDE ON NOTICE OF MY THEORIES. I THINK WE ALL

09:15AM	1
09:15AM	2
09:15AM	3
09:15AM	4
09:16AM	5
09:16AM	6
09:16AM	7
09:16AM	8
09:16AM	9
09:16AM	10
09:16AM	11
09:16AM	12
09:16AM	13
09:16AM	14
09:16AM	15
09:16AM	16
09:16AM	17
09:17AM	18
09:17AM	19
09:17AM	20
09:17AM	21
09:17AM	22
09:17AM	23
09:17AM	24
09:17AM	25

RECOGNIZE THAT YOU DON'T HAVE TO SPELL OUT IN EXACTLY THE SAME WAY, YOUR CLAIMS, OR USE EXACTLY THE SAME TYPE OF EVIDENCE AS YOU WOULD PRESENT AT TRIAL. THE REAL QUESTION IS, HAVE WE PUT THE OTHER SIDE FAIRLY ON NOTICE THAT WE, CISCO, HAVE BELIEVED THAT COPYRIGHTABLE USER INTERFACE, OR SOMETIMES WE CALL IT THE CLI, IS SOMETHING THAT IS DISTINCT AND IT'S SOMETHING WE BELIEVE WAS COPIED AND SOMETHING THAT WAS COPYRIGHTED.

SO I WOULD LIKE TO START ON SLIDE 5, REALLY, FROM THE PUNCH LINE, WHICH IS, LET'S LOOK AT THE SWORN OPINIONS OF THEIR TWO EXPERTS IN THIS CASE, THE TWO PRIMARY EXPERTS.

FIRST OF ALL, WE START WITH MS. CATE ELSTEN WHO IS ARISTA'S DAMAGES OTHER EXPERT. AND I'M QUOTING FROM ELSTEN REBUTTAL REPORT AT PAGE 92 WHICH WAS FILED IN JULY OF 2016.

AS STATED IN SECTION 3(C)(1)(B) OF THIS REPORT, I
UNDERSTAND THAT THE COPYRIGHTS AT ISSUE DO NOT RELATE TO THE
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CLI. WHICH IS EXECUTED BY UNDERLYING
SOURCE CODE, NOT IN DISPUTE IN THIS MATTER.

SO IT CANNOT BE ANYMORE CLEAR WHAT SHE SAID. SHE SAID THE COPYRIGHTS AT ISSUE DO NOT CONCERN THE SOURCE CODE.

THEN SHE GOES ON TO SAY THAT THE ARISTA EOS SOURCE CODE WAS INDEPENDENTLY DEVELOPED BY ARISTA'S ENGINEERS. AND THE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT DOES NOT ALLEGE THAT ARISTA COPIED ANY OF THE CLI SOURCE CODE.

NOW THIS IS NOT A STATEMENT IN ISOLATION OR IN A VACUUM.

THE REASON WHY SHE'S MAKING THIS STATEMENT SO CLEARLY AS SHE

HAS, AS YOUR HONOR KNOWS, A DISGORGEMENT OPINION. SO HER JOB 1 09:17AM 2 ON BEHALF OF ARISTA AS A DAMAGES EXPERT IS TO APPORTION OUT 09:17AM WHAT SHE BELIEVES IS THE VALUE OF THE COPYRIGHTED WORK AT ISSUE 09:17AM 09:17AM VERSUS OTHER TECHNOLOGIES THAT WERE DEVELOPED BY ARISTA, SETTING ASIDE THE COPYRIGHTED WORK. 09:17AM SO IN ORDER TO DO THAT DISGORGEMENT ANALYSIS, WHAT SHE DOES 09:17AM IS SHE VALUES THE CLI OR THE USER INTERFACE AND SAYS, THAT IS 09:17AM WORTH, FOR EXAMPLE AT THE BOTTOM HERE, \$16.4 MILLION, IN HER 8 09:17AM 9 OPINION. 09:18AM 10 AND THEN HE SAYS THE REST OF THE PROFITS THAT ARISTA HAS 09:18AM GAINED BY SELLING THE EOS SOFTWARE AND THE CODE AND THE 09:18AM 11 09:18AM 12 SWITCHES SHOULD BE APPORTIONED OUT. 13 SO TWO -- SO THIS IS A VERY IMPORTANT PART OF HER 09:18AM 14 APPORTIONMENT OR DISGORGEMENT ANALYSIS IS TO BE ABLE TO TREAT 09:18AM 09:18AM 15 THE CLI AS A SEPARATE COPYRIGHTABLE WORK SO THEN SHE CAN TURN AROUND AND THEN SAY THESE OTHER THINGS ARE NOT AT ISSUE IN THIS 16 09:18AM CASE, SHOULD BE APPORTIONED OUT. 17 09:18AM 18 SO WE SEE THAT OPINION STATED ON THE VERY NEXT PAGE, ELSTEN 09:18AM 09:18AM 19 REBUTTAL REPORT 92 TO 93, "BASED ON CURRENTLY AVAILABLE INFORMATION IN MY ANALYSIS, IN CONSIDERATIONS AS OUTLINED 09:18AM 20 ABOVE, I HAVE CONCLUDED THAT THE PORTION OF ARISTA'S U.S. 21 09:18AM 09:18AM 22 SWITCH PROFITS FROM DECEMBER 2011 THROUGH MARCH 2016, MAY BE 09:18AM 23 REASONABLY CONSIDERED ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE CLI IS 09:18AM 24 \$16.4 MILLION." 09:18AM 25 AND THEN SHE SAYS, "FURTHER APPORTION MAY BECOME POSSIBLE

1 09:19AM 2 09:19AM 3 09:19AM 09:19AM 09:19AM 6 09:19AM 09:19AM 8 09:19AM 09:19AM 9 09:19AM 10 09:19AM 11 09:19AM 12 09:19AM 13 09:19AM 14 09:19AM 15 16 09:20AM 17 09:20AM 18 09:20AM 09:20AM 19 20 09:20AM 21 09:20AM 09:20AM 22 09:20AM 23 09:20AM 24 09:20AM 25

BASED ON THE COURT'S RULING AS TO WHAT PORTIONS OF THE ARISTA

EOS CLI ARE COVERED BY THE COPYRIGHTS AT ISSUE. AND SUCH

APPORTIONMENT MAY HAVE A MATERIAL IMPACT ON THE FINAL NUMBER."

IT'S VERY CLEAR, SHE'S ALREADY DONE THE APPORTIONMENT BASED ON THE USER INTERFACE AS A SEPARATELY COPYRIGHTABLE WORK. AND THEN SHE'S SAYING BASED ON WHAT WE DO WITH DISSECTION, THAT SHE MAY HAVE FURTHER OPINIONS FOR APPORTIONMENT BASED ON THAT OPINION.

WE ALSO HAVE PROFESSOR JOHN BLACK, WHO IS SITTING HERE IN THE COURTROOM, AND HE IS ARISTA'S TECHNICAL EXPERT. AND DOCTOR BLACK ALSO MAKES THE SAME STATEMENTS ABOUT WHAT IS THE COPYRIGHTABLE WORK AT ISSUE. THIS IS DOCTOR BLACK, JUNE 3RD, 2016. AND IN THAT REPORT HE'S PROVIDING A NUMBER OF OPINIONS AND HE SAYS, "THE ASPECTS OF THE CISCO CLI OVER WHICH CISCO ASSERTS COPYRIGHT PROTECTION IN THIS LITIGATION," AND THEN HE GOES ON TO SAY, "NAMELY THE ASSERTED COMMAND MODES, PROMPTS, COMMANDS, COMMAND HIERARCHIES AND COMMAND RESPONSES."

AND WHY DOES DOCTOR BLACK SAY THAT? AGAIN HE'S NOT SAYING THAT IN ISOLATION, HE'S SAYING IT TO SUPPORT ONE OF HIS MAJOR OPINIONS IN THIS CASE, AND THAT HAS TO DO WITH THE TRANSFORMATIVE USE.

SO DOCTOR BLACK, AS ARISTA'S TECHNICAL EXPERT, WAS TASKED WITH THE QUESTION OF ANALYZING, WAS THERE A TRANSFORMATION OF THE COPYRIGHTED WORK AT ISSUE WHEN ARISTA IMPLEMENTED IT USING DIFFERENT CODE?

09:20AM	1	SO AGAIN, THIS IS IN THE SAME REPORT, PAGE OR
09:20AM	2	PARAGRAPH 673, HE SAYS, "I HAVE TAKEN UNDERTAKEN ON THE
09:20AM	3	EVALUATION OF THE PURPOSE AND CHARACTER OF THE USE OF THE
09:20AM	4	ASSERTED CLI ASPECTS IN RELATION TO THE REGISTERED WORKS. IN
09:20AM	5	PARTICULAR, I HAVE CONSIDERED WHETHER THE ALLEGED INFRINGEMENT
09:20AM	6	IS A TRANSFORMATIVE USE OF THE ASSERTED PROTECTED EXPRESSION.
09:21AM	7	BASED UPON ALL OF MY OBSERVATIONS SET FORTH ABOVE, IT IS MY
09:21AM	8	OPINION THAT ARISTA'S ALLEGED USE OF THE ASSERTED CLI ASPECTS
09:21AM	9	IS TRANSFORMATIVE BECAUSE OF THE FUNDAMENTALLY DIFFERENT AND
09:21AM	10	NOVEL ARISTA HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE THAT ARISTA DEVELOPED
09:21AM	11	INDEPENDENTLY, AND BECAUSE THE ASSERTED CLI ASPECTS ARE MERELY
09:21AM	12	A MEANS OF ACCESSING OR CONTROLLING SOME OF THAT INNOVATIVE
09:21AM	13	TECHNOLOGY."
09:21AM	14	SO AGAIN, HE MAKES A DISTINCTION BETWEEN THE USER INTERFACE
09:21AM	15	AND THE CODE TO BE ABLE TO SAY THE COPYRIGHTED WORK AT ISSUE IS
09:21AM	16	THE USER INTERFACE. IT IS HIS TECHNICAL OPINION THAT BECAUSE
09:21AM	17	ARISTA IMPLEMENTED THAT USER INTERFACE USING DIFFERENT CODE,
09:21AM	18	DIFFERENT OPERATING SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE WITH DIFFERENT FEATURES
09:21AM	19	MAY HAVE DIFFERENT CHARACTERISTICS.
09:21AM	20	THE COURT: WELL, ISN'T THERE A DISTINCTION BETWEEN
09:21AM	21	THE ASSERTED ELEMENTS THAT YOU CLAIM WERE COPIED AND THE WORK?
09:21AM	22	MR. PAK: TRUE, YOUR HONOR.
09:22AM	23	THE COURT: SO THE ASSERTED ELEMENTS CAN COME FROM
09:22AM	24	THE USER INTERFACE OR FROM THE LARGER OPERATING SYSTEM.
09:22AM	25	AND I DO NOT THINK DOCTOR BLACK SPEAKS TO EITHER, PERHAPS

09:22AM	1
09:22AM	2
09:22AM	3
09:22AM	4
09:22AM	5
09:22AM	6
09:22AM	7
09:22AM	8
09:22AM	9
09:22AM	10
09:22AM	11
09:22AM	12
09:22AM	13
09:22AM	14
09:22AM	15
09:22AM	16
09:23AM	17
09:23AM	18
09:23AM	19
09:23AM	20
09:23AM	21
09:23AM	22
09:23AM	23
09:23AM	24
09:23AM	25

MS. ELSTEN DOES MORE DIRECTLY IN HER OPINION ON DISGORGEMENT,
BUT THIS ONE I'M NOT ACTUALLY FINDING SUPPORTIVE OF YOUR
ARGUMENT.

MR. PAK: EXCEPT, YOUR HONOR, THE NATURE OF THE
TRANSFORMATIVE ANALYSIS IS ABOUT NOT NECESSARILY THE
TRANSFORMATION OF WHAT IS BEING ASSERTED IN TERMS OF
PROTECTABLE ELEMENTS. THE TRANSFORMATIVE ARGUMENT IS WHETHER
THE COPYRIGHTED WORK HAS BEEN TRANSFORMED OR NOT.

AND SO ALTHOUGH HIS LANGUAGE --

THE COURT: THAT'S NOT WHAT HE SAYS.

MR. PAK: RIGHT, RIGHT. BUT IN TERMS OF THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK, WHAT THE DEFENSE IS, IS HAVE I TRANSFORMED THE COPYRIGHTED WORK IN A WAY THAT IS TRANSFORMATIVE COMPARED TO HOW THE COPYRIGHTED WORK WAS USED BY THE COPYRIGHT ONLY.

SO ALTHOUGH I AGREE WITH YOUR HONOR THAT DOCTOR ELSTEN'S LANGUAGE IS MUCH MORE CLEAR THAT THE COPYRIGHTED WORK AT ISSUE IS THE CLI, DOCTOR BLACK'S OPINIONS AND THE WAY HE THINKS ABOUT THE USER INTERFACE AS BEING DIFFERENT FROM THE CODE FOR THE TRANSFORMATIVE ARGUMENTS ALSO SUPPORTS HIS POSITION.

NOW WHY ARE THE TWO EXPERTS FROM ARISTA SAYING THIS?

BECAUSE REALLY, CISCO HAS BEEN PRETTY CLEAR FROM THE VERY

BEGINNING OF THIS CASE. AND WE CAN SEE THAT FROM THE VERY

FIRST COMPLAINT THAT WE FILED. THE LANGUAGE I'M ABOUT TO WALK

YOU THROUGH IS ALSO CARRIED THROUGH IN THE VARIOUS AMENDED

COMPLAINTS. THIS IS ON SLIDE 7.

1 09:23AM 2 09:23AM 3 09:23AM 09:23AM 09:23AM 09:23AM 09:23AM 7 8 09:24AM 09:24AM 9 09:24AM 10 09:24AM 11 09:24AM 12 09:24AM 13 09:24AM 14 09:24AM 15 09:24AM 16 17 09:24AM 18 09:24AM 09:24AM 19 20 09:24AM 21 09:24AM 09:24AM 22 09:25AM 23 09:25AM 24 09:25AM 25

AT THE VERY BEGINNING OF THE COMPLAINT WE TALKED ABOUT THE CLI AS THE USER INTERFACE BY WHICH USERS OF CISCO'S PRODUCTS

COMMUNICATE WITH THE PRODUCT. THIS IS ON PARAGRAPH 27.

PARAGRAPH 7 OF THE COMPLAINT, WE ALLEGE THAT ARISTA

DELIBERATELY AND REPEATEDLY ENGAGED IN EXTENSIVE COPYING IN

ORDER TO COMPETE UNFAIRLY WITH CISCO, AND PUBLICLY TOUTS THAT

ITS COPYING OF CISCO'S CLI MAKE ITS EASIER FOR CISCO CUSTOMERS

TO SWITCH.

AND ALSO IN THE SAME COMPLAINT, YOUR HONOR, WE IDENTIFIED

THE SAME KINDS OF BUILDING BLOCK ELEMENTS THAT WE HAVE BEEN

DISCUSSING ALL ALONG, THE COMMAND EXPRESSIONS, THE COMMAND

STRUCTURE, PROMPTS, HIERARCHY, MODES AND SO ON.

THEN ON SLIDE 8, AS THE COMPLAINT GOES ON, WE TALK ABOUT THE UNIQUE CLI THAT ARISTA APPROPRIATED IS PROTECTED BY U.S. COPYRIGHTS. AND THIS IS PARAGRAPH 10 OF THE COMPLAINT, OR ACTUALLY PARAGRAPH 43 OF THE COMPLAINT.

THEN WE GO ON TO PARAGRAPH 50 TO ALLEGE THAT ARISTA HAS SUBSTANTIALLY COPIED CISCO'S CLI AND INFRINGED CISCO'S COPYRIGHTS IN CISCO IOS, INCLUDING THE CLI.

AND THEN WE GO ON TO TALK ABOUT THE VARIOUS COMMANDS AND ELEMENTS THAT WERE COPIED FROM THE CISCO USER INTERFACE.

BASED ON THOSE INITIAL ALLEGATIONS, YOUR HONOR, THEN OUR EXPERT DR. ALMEROTH PROVIDED EXPERT OPINION SUPPORTING THE INFRINGEMENT ALLEGATIONS IN THIS CASE, AND THIS IS SLIDE NINE WHERE HE ALSO ALLEGED THAT THE COPYRIGHTABLE EXPRESSIONS IN

1 09:25AM 2 09:25AM 09:25AM 09:25AM 09:25AM 6 09:25AM 09:25AM 7 8 09:25AM 09:25AM 9 09:25AM 10 09:25AM 11 09:25AM 12 09:26AM 13 09:26AM 14 09:26AM 15 16 09:26AM 17 09:26AM 18 09:26AM 19 09:26AM 20 09:26AM 21 09:26AM 09:26AM 22 09:26AM 23 09:26AM 24 09:26AM 25

CISCO'S CLI IS THE CASE BASIS FOR HIS OPINIONS.

HE TALKS ABOUT ON PARAGRAPH 135, YOUR HONOR, ON SLIDE 9 AT THE BOTTOM LEFT-HAND CORNER, ARISTA HAS FURTHER EXPLAINED THAT ITS USE OF CISCO'S COPYRIGHTED CLI WAS TO COMPETE DIRECTLY WITH CISCO.

I DON'T THINK THERE COULD BE ANY CONFUSION HERE THAT WE WERE CLAIMING THE CLI AS COPYRIGHTED. AND WE GO ON TO TALK ABOUT THE COPYRIGHTED WORK, COPYING OF CISCO'S CLI COMMANDS, AND FINALLY THE ALLEGATION THAT ARISTA HAS COPIED THE ENTIRE LOOK AND FEEL OF CISCO'S IOS CLI.

SLIDE 10 HE TALKS ABOUT IN THE CONTEXT OF THE ACCESS AND SIMILARITY, AGAIN HIS FOCUS IS ON ACCESS TO THE CLI, ACCESS TO THE COPYING AND THEN THE COPYING HAPPENS DIRECTLY BASED ON THAT ACCESS. AND THE DIRECT EVIDENCE OF COPYING THAT WE HAVE IN THIS CASE.

WE HAVE INCLUDED SOME ADDITIONAL QUOTES FROM SLIDE 11 FROM DR. ELSTEN WHERE SHE MAKES IT CLEAR THAT THE SOURCE CODE RELATED TO THE ALLEGED INFRINGED CLI COMMANDS ARE NOT AT ISSUE IN THIS CASE. THE COPYRIGHTS PRINCIPALLY CONCERN THE CLI. THERE'S NO ALLEGATION THAT ARISTA HAS COPIED ANY OF CISCO CLI.

THOSE ARE VERY CLEAR STATEMENTS FROM HER. AGAIN, THOSE ARE FUNDAMENTAL PREMISES FOR HER APPORTIONMENT OPINIONS.

SLIDE 12, DOCTOR BLACK ALSO MAKES THAT CLEAR. I HAVE BEEN INFORMED AND UNDERSTAND THAT CISCO DOES NOT ALLEGE ANY SOURCE CODE COPYING BY ARISTA IN THIS CASE. THE ASPECTS OF CISCO'S

1 09:26AM 2 09:27AM 3 09:27AM 09:27AM 09:27AM 09:27AM 09:27AM 7 8 09:27AM 09:27AM 9 09:27AM 10 09:27AM 11 09:27AM 12 09:27AM 13 09:27AM 14 09:27AM 15 09:27AM 16 17 09:27AM 18 09:28AM 09:28AM 19 20 09:28AM 21 09:28AM 09:28AM 22 09:28AM 23 09:28AM 24 09:28AM 25

CLI THAT ARE THE BASIS OF THE COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT CLAIM,
THERE'S NO CLAIM THAT ARISTA HAS COPIED ANY SOURCE OR OBJECT
CODE THAT IMPLEMENTS THE CISCO CLI. CISCO IS NOT ALLEGING
COPYING OF ANY CISCO SOURCE CODE.

AND THOSE ARE FROM DOCTOR BLACK'S REPORT AT PARAGRAPHS 518, 519, AND PARAGRAPH 7, AND THE FIRST ONE WAS FROM THE SUPPLEMENTAL REBUTTAL REPORT PARAGRAPH 33. AND AGAIN, ONE MORE QUOTE FROM DOCTOR BLACK, PARAGRAPH 52.

SO WE THINK WE HAVE BEEN VERY CLEAR IN TERMS OF
DISCLOSURES. WE THINK THEIR EXPERTS CLEARLY UNDERSTOOD WHAT
WAS AT ISSUE. AND BASED ON WHAT THEIR UNDERSTANDING WAS OF OUR
ALLEGATIONS -- AND THEY, THE EXPERTS SAY, WELL, HAS ARISTA
WORKED TOGETHER TO CREATE DEFENSES IN THIS CASE THAT ARE
PREDICATED ON TREATING THE USER INTERFACE AS BEING SEPARATE
FROM THE CODE.

SO FOR US, WE REALLY DON'T THINK ARISTA CAN HAVE IT BOTH WAYS. IF THEY ARE GOING TO COME IN AND MAKE THE APPORTIONMENT ARGUMENTS TO SAY THE PROFITS MADE BY SELLING THE ACCUSED TECHNOLOGIES SHOULD BE APPORTIONED BASED ON THE FACT THAT USER INTERFACE IS A SMALL COMPONENT FROM THEIR VIEW OF THE OVERALL SYSTEM, AND THE FACT THAT THEY HAVE IMPLEMENTED THE USER INTERFACE USING DIFFERENT CODE, ALLOWS THEM TO MAKE THAT APPORTIONMENT, THEN I THINK FOR FAIR USE PURPOSE OR WHATEVER THEY WANT TO USE THE DEFINITION OF THE WORK TO BE, THEY SHOULDN'T BE ALLOWED TO TAKE A CONTRARY POSITION.

1 09:28AM 2 09:28AM 3 09:28AM 09:28AM 4 09:28AM 6 09:28AM 09:28AM 7 8 09:28AM 09:29AM 9 09:29AM 10 09:29AM 11 09:29AM 12 13 09:29AM 14 09:29AM 09:29AM 15 16 09:29AM 17 09:29AM 18 09:29AM 09:29AM 19 20 09:29AM 21 09:29AM 22 09:29AM 09:29AM 23 09:29AM 24 09:30AM 25

AND THE SAME IS TRUE WITH DOCTOR BLACK, THEY ARE MAKING A TRANSFORMATIVE DEFENSE THAT RELIES ON USING THE USER INTERFACE AS THE COPYRIGHTED WORK IN ORDER TO MAKE THE TRANSFORMATIVE ARGUMENTS.

AND SO WE HAVE PROVIDED DISCOVERY RESPONSES, YOUR HONOR,
THIS IS ON SLIDE 14 WHERE WE MADE SOME OF THE SAME ALLEGATIONS.
STARTING IN MAY OF 2015 WHERE WE PROVIDED A NUMBER OF RESPONSES
TO THE INTERROGATORIES, AT THE BOTTOM IT SAYS, "ARISTA ALSO HAS
EXPLAINED THAT ITS USE OF CISCO'S COPYRIGHTED CLI WAS AN
INTENTIONAL PLOY TO WIN CUSTOMERS FROM CISCO SO ARISTA CAN
MARKET ITS PRODUCT AS AN EASILY IMPLEMENTED ALTERNATIVE TO
CISCO PRODUCTS FOR CISCO'S EXISTING CUSTOMERS."

SO COULD WE -- HAD THE DISCOVERY TURNED OUT OTHERWISE OR
THE PUBLIC FACTS HAD TURNED OUT OTHERWISE, COULD WE HAVE ALSO
ALLEGED OUR COPYRIGHT INTEREST IN THE SOURCE CODE, ABSOLUTELY.

BUT IN THIS CASE, WE HAVE BEEN VERY CONSISTENT THAT THE PRINCIPAL OR THE PRIMARY BASIS OF THE COPYING ALLEGATIONS IN THIS CASE INVOLVES WHAT WE HAVE BEEN CALLING THE COPYRIGHTED CLI. AND THAT HAS BEEN THE ALLEGATION FROM DAY ONE.

THE COURT: AND THAT'S THE ENTIRE USER INTERFACE. I

JUST WANT TO MAKE THAT --

MR. PAK: THAT'S RIGHT.

THE COURT: BECAUSE -- I'VE GONE BACK AND LOOKED AT
THE COMPLAINT AND THE AMENDED COMPLAINT AS WELL, AND I MAY HAVE
MISUNDERSTOOD AT SUMMARY JUDGEMENT, YOUR TERMINOLOGY. AND THEN

09:30AM	1
09:30AM	2
09:30AM	3
09:30AM	4
09:30AM	5
09:30AM	6
09:30AM	7
09:30AM	8
09:30AM	9
09:30AM	10
09:30AM	11
09:30AM	12
09:30AM	13
09:30AM	14
09:30AM	15
09:30AM	16
09:30AM	17
09:30AM	18
09:31AM	19
09:31AM	20
09:31AM	21
09:31AM	22
09:31AM	23
09:31AM	24
09:31AM	25

IT BECAME CLEAR THAT IT -- OR I CAME TO UNDERSTAND THAT YOU WERE USING THE TERM SYNONYMOUSLY, AND YOU ARE.

MR. PAK: YES. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. AND WE ARE.

AND AGAIN, I THINK THAT THE BEST PLACE IN THE COMPLAINT TO FIND THAT IS AGAIN, PARAGRAPH 27, WHERE WE SAY CLI IS THE USER INTERFACE BY WHICH USERS OF CISCO'S PRODUCTS COMMUNICATE WITH THE PRODUCT IN ORDER TO CONFIGURE AND MANAGE THE PRODUCT. SO I THINK WE PROVIDED A CLEAR DEFINITION THERE.

OF COURSE, WE DO TALK ABOUT THE DIFFERENT BUILDING BLOCKS.

AND I THINK AT THE SUMMARY JUDGEMENT STAGE, WHAT WAS BEFORE

YOUR HONOR WERE THE SPECIFIC ELEMENTS THAT HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED

WERE COPIED.

BUT THE CLI, USER INTERFACE, THOSE WERE USED SYNONYMOUSLY THROUGHOUT. AND THAT'S ALSO HOW THE HISTORICAL DOCUMENTS AND THE TESTIMONY FROM THE WITNESSES HAVE TREATED THE TWO WORDS AS WELL.

SO WITH THAT UNDERSTANDING, I DO WANT TO COVER VERY BRIEFLY WHAT WE BELIEVE ARE THE USER INTERFACES OR THE COPYRIGHTED WORKS AT ISSUE IN THIS CASE.

AND THIS IS ON SLIDE 17. AS YOU HAVE SEEN FROM OUR PAPERS AND ALSO WE INCLUDED IN OUR COMPLAINT, PARAGRAPH 25, THERE ARE FOUR RELATED YET DISTINCT OPERATING SYSTEMS THAT CISCO MAKES, IOS, IOS XR, IOS XE, AND NX-OS.

AND WE HAVE DOCUMENTS THAT YOU WILL SEE AT TRIAL AND
TESTIMONY THAT YOU WILL SEE AT TRIAL WHERE ARISTA WILLFULLY AND

09:31AM	1	CONSCIOUSLY DECIDED TO COPY FROM ALL FOUR OF THESE OPERATING
09:31AM	2	SYSTEMS.
09:31AM	3	THE COURT: AND YOUR EXHIBIT ON PROTECTED ELEMENTS
09:31AM	4	IDENTIFIES THEM BY OPERATING SYSTEM.
09:31AM	5	MR. PAK: THAT'S RIGHT, YOUR HONOR. EXACTLY.
09:31AM	6	SO WHAT WE DID IN THE PROTECTABILITY FILING WAS ORGANIZE
09:31AM	7	THE COMMANDS, ORGANIZE THE BUILDING BLOCKS BY OPERATING SYSTEM
09:31AM	8	TYPE.
09:31AM	9	AND THAT'S NOT SOMETHING NEW IN THE CASE, WE HAVE DONE THIS
09:32AM	10	CONSISTENTLY WITH THE RESPONSES AND THE REGISTRATION FILINGS.
09:32AM	11	SLIDE 18, THIS IS PART OF DR. ALMEROTH'S REPORT WHICH WHEN
09:32AM	12	CISCO REGISTERED THEIR COPYRIGHTS, THERE ARE 26 OF THE
09:32AM	13	REGISTRATIONS AT WORK, WE SPECIFICALLY REGISTERED A PARTICULAR
09:32AM	14	VERSION OF ONE OF THESE FOUR OPERATING SYSTEMS.
09:32AM	15	SO THE FIRST SERIES OF REGISTRATIONS YOU SEE ON THE LEFT
09:32AM	16	RELATE TO THE CISCO IOS. CITE STARTING WITH VERSION 11.0 TO
09:32AM	17	15.4. THEN WE HAVE SIMILAR REGISTRATIONS FROM IOS XR FROM 3.0
09:32AM	18	TO 5.2. AND TWO REGISTRATIONS FOR IOS XE, 2.1, 3.5. AND THEN
09:32AM	19	FOUR REGISTRATIONS FOR NX-OS WHICH IS RELEASE 4.0 TO 6.2.
09:33AM	20	AND THEN THESE ARE THE YOUR HONOR, THESE ARE THE BATES
09:33AM	21	NUMBERS FOR THE APPLICATIONS, THE COPYRIGHT REGISTRATION, THE
09:33AM	22	REGISTRATION NUMBERS, AND THE PUBLICATION AND REGISTRATION
09:33AM	23	DATES THAT ARE ASSOCIATED WITH THEM.
09:33AM	24	THE COURT: ARE THE ONLY ONES THAT WERE REGISTERED
09:33AM	25	MORE THAN FIVE YEARS AFTER PUBLICATION, THE EARLY ONES?

1 MR. PAK: I BELIEVE THOSE ARE THE EARLY ONES, 09:33AM 2 YOUR HONOR. 09:33AM 3 THE COURT: OKAY. 09:33AM 09:33AM MR. PAK: AND THEN ON SLIDE 19, THERE WERE A SERIES OF DISCOVERY REQUESTS FROM ARISTA ABOUT COMMAND ORIGINATION AND 09:33AM COMMERCIAL USE OF THOSE COMMANDS. 09:33AM AND EVERY OPPORTUNITY WE HAD, WE MADE IT VERY CLEAR THAT 09:33AM FOR A PARTICULAR COMMAND THESE ARE THE PARTICULAR OPERATING 8 09:33AM 09:33AM 9 SYSTEMS OF WHICH THAT COMMAND WAS ASSOCIATED WITH. 09:33AM 10 FOR EXAMPLE, IN THE ALMEROTH REPORT, EXHIBIT OF COPYING TWO, WE HAVE, I WILL USE ONE EXAMPLE, AAA, AUTHENTICATION LOGIN 09:33AM 11 IS THE COMMAND. FOR THAT COMMAND, WE IDENTIFIED CISCO IOS, IOS 09:33AM 12 09:34AM 13 XR AND IOS XE, AS THE OPERATING SYSTEMS FOR WHICH THE USER 09:34AM 14 INTERFACE CONTAINS THAT COMMAND. AND WE PROVIDED THE SIMILAR 09:34AM 15 INFORMATION FOR ALL OF THE ASSERTED ELEMENTS IN THIS CASE. AND IN CISCO'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO ARISTA'S 16 09:34AM INTERROGATORY 16, YOU CAN SEE THAT WE ALSO IDENTIFIED THE FIRST 17 09:34AM 18 DATE WHEN A COMMAND WAS MADE PART OF A CISCO PRODUCT. 09:34AM 09:34AM 19 AND AGAIN, WE TREATED THAT AS LOOKING AT A PARTICULAR OPERATING SYSTEM, ONE OF THE FOUR AT ISSUE. SO HERE IT WOULD 09:34AM 20 BE AAA AUTHENTICATION LOG IN. IT APPEARED FOR THE FIRST TIME 21 09:34AM 09:34AM 22 IN CISCO IOS. 09:34AM 23 THE COURT: IS THERE ANY ASSERTED ELEMENT THAT HAS NOT APPEARED IN A CISCO PRODUCT? 09:34AM 24 09:34AM 25 MR. PAK: NO, NO.

	i	
09:34AM	1	SO ALL OF THE BUILDING BLOCKS, EVERYTHING YOU HAVE SEEN,
09:34AM	2	WHETHER IT'S COMMAND OUTPUTS, HELP DESCRIPTIONS, HIERARCHIES,
09:34AM	3	ALL OF THAT WAS FIRST INTRODUCED IN A CISCO PRODUCT DESCRIBED
09:34AM	4	IN USER MANUALS EMBODIED IN THE SOURCE CODE.
09:35AM	5	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. NOW LET ME ASK YOU A LITTLE
09:35AM	6	BIT ABOUT THE WORK AS YOU DEFINE IT.
09:35AM	7	MR. PAK: YES.
09:35AM	8	THE COURT: I HAVE THE ASSERTED PROTECTABLE ELEMENTS
09:35AM	9	WHICH IS A SUBSET OF THE WORK OF THE USER INTERFACE, AND I
09:35AM	10	GATHER THAT THROUGH TRIAL THERE WILL BE SOME QUANTIFICATION OF
09:35AM	11	THE WORK AND OF THESE ALLEGED PROTECTABLE ELEMENTS. AND THEN
09:35AM	12	OF THE ULTIMATELY PROTECTABLE ELEMENTS AS I DETERMINE THEM.
09:35AM	13	AND I YOU HAVE THROUGHOUT THE CASE, TALKED ABOUT THE
09:35AM	14	COPYING BEING OF THE LOOK AND FEEL OF THE USER INTERFACE. BUT
09:35AM	15	I DON'T KNOW WHAT PORTION THESE PROTECTABLE ELEMENTS ARE OF THE
09:35AM	16	USER INTERFACE.
09:35AM	17	IS THAT SOMETHING I NEED TO BE CONCERNED ABOUT NOW?
09:35AM	18	MR. PAK: I DON'T THINK YOU NEED TO BE CONCERNED
09:35AM	19	ABOUT THAT NOW. BUT LET ME, YOUR HONOR, GIVE YOU A PREVIEW OF
09:35AM	20	WHAT I THINK YOU WILL HEAR FROM BOTH SIDES IN TERMS OF THE
09:36AM	21	ISSUE OF THE AMOUNT OF COPYING, BECAUSE THIS IS REALLY ABOUT
09:36AM	22	UNDER FAIR USE, YOU HAVE A QUESTION OF WHAT WAS TAKEN AS IT
09:36AM	23	RELATES TO THE COPYRIGHTED WORK.
09:36AM	24	THE COURT: YES.
09:36AM	25	MR. PAK: THE LAW, WE BELIEVE, IS VERY CLEAR THAT YOU

09:36AM	1	LOOK AT NOT ONLY THE QUANTITATIVE NUMBERS, BUT YOU LOOK AT THE
09:36AM	2	QUALITATIVE ASPECTS.
09:36AM	3	THE COURT: YES.
09:36AM	4	MR. PAK: AND SO THE QUESTION ISN'T SUCH THAT
09:36AM	5	ISN'T JUST A SIMPLE QUANTIFICATION OF HERE'S.
09:36AM	6	THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND.
09:36AM	7	MR. PAK: X NUMBER OF COMMANDS, THESE X NUMBER OF
09:36AM	8	COMMANDS SUBSET OF WHICH WOULD HAVE BEEN COPIED INTO THE
09:36AM	9	PRODUCT.
09:36AM	10	THE COURT: AND SO THE THIS QUANTIFICATION, IN
09:36AM	11	COMPARISON, HAS NOTHING TO DO WHERE THE FIRST DETERMINATION BY
09:36AM	12	THE JURY OF INFRINGEMENT.
09:36AM	13	MR. PAK: THAT'S CORRECT.
09:36AM	14	THE COURT: IT IS ONLY RELEVANT, IN YOUR VIEW, TO THE
09:36AM	15	JURY'S DETERMINATION OF THE DEFENSE OF FAIR USE.
09:36AM	16	MR. PAK: THAT'S RIGHT, YOUR HONOR.
09:36AM	17	BECAUSE I DON'T AGAIN, I DON'T THINK THEY HAVE PRESERVED
09:36AM	18	THIS ARGUMENT, I DON'T THINK THEY HAVE COME IN AND SAID IF THE
09:36AM	19	COPYRIGHTED WORK IS THE USER INTERFACE AS OPPOSED TO ALL OF THE
09:36AM	20	CODE, I DON'T THINK THAT WE WILL HEAR AN OPINION THAT SAYS THIS
09:37AM	21	IS DE MINIMUS, THAT THE 506 COMMANDS THAT WERE COPIED AND ALL
09:37AM	22	THE DIFFERENT ASPECTS
09:37AM	23	THE COURT: DOES THE DE MINIMUS AND I'M GETTING
09:37AM	24	OFF ON A TANGENT, BUT I WILL ASK YOU ANYWAY.
09:37AM	25	MR. PAK: SURE.

09:37AM	1	THE COURT: DOES A DE MINIMUS USE COME INTO PLAY ON
09:37AM	2	INFRINGEMENT SEPARATE FROM FAIR USE?
09:37AM	3	I DON'T SEE IT IN AND I THINK ARISTA BELIEVES IT DOES.
09:37AM	4	I DON'T SEE THAT REFLECTED ANYWHERE IN THE MODEL JURY
09:37AM	5	INSTRUCTIONS.
09:37AM	6	AND SO I'M I DON'T KNOW WHICH WAY THAT CUTS. IT SEEMS
09:37AM	7	LIKE IT FORESHADOWS FAIR USE BECAUSE IT SEEMS TO BE A FAIRLY
09:37AM	8	SIGNIFICANT ASPECT OF FAIR USE.
09:37AM	9	MR. PAK: I REALLY DO THINK, ULTIMATELY, IT'S A FAIR
09:37AM	10	USE QUESTION, YOUR HONOR. BECAUSE YOU COULD, FOR EXAMPLE, FROM
09:37AM	11	AN INFRINGEMENT PERSPECTIVE, WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO DO IS ASSESS
09:37AM	12	THE WELL, THERE ARE TWO THINGS THAT WE HAVE TO AND MY
09:37AM	13	PARTNER, MS. KATHLEEN SULLIVAN, WILL TALK ABOUT THIS A LITTLE
09:37AM	14	BIT MORE IN THE CONTEXT OF THE JURY INSTRUCTIONS.
09:37AM	15	BUT THE LAW UNDER COPYRIGHT LAW HAS TWO PARALLEL PATHS.
09:38AM	16	THERE IS THE DIRECT EVIDENCE OF COPYING LINE OF CASES, AND THEN
09:38AM	17	THERE IS THE LINE OF CASES THAT SAYS WHERE YOU DON'T HAVE
09:38AM	18	DIRECT EVIDENCE OF COPYING, WE LOOK AT QUESTIONS OF ACCESS AND
09:38AM	19	THEN SIMILARITY.
09:38AM	20	AND THE QUESTION IS WHETHER A SUBSTANTIALLY SIMILAR OR
09:38AM	21	THE COURT: BUT YOU ARE ALLEGING DIRECT.
09:38AM	22	MR. PAK: WE ARE.
09:38AM	23	THE COURT: SO WE DON'T EVEN HAVE TO BE CONCERNED
09:38AM	24	WITH THE CIRCUMSTANTIAL CASE.
09:38AM	25	MR. PAK: I DON'T THINK WE DO.

09:38AM	1	I THINK IT'S A FALLBACK IN THE SENSE THAT IF THE JURY
09:38AM	2	DOESN'T BELIEVE THE EVIDENCE, SHOULD WE WILL PRESENT AND WE
09:38AM	3	THINK THERE IS SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE OF DIRECT
09:38AM	4	COPYING, AND WE BELIEVE THAT THE JURY SHOULD BE TOLD THAT IF
09:38AM	5	YOU FIND THERE IS DIRECT COPYING THAT YOU DON'T EVEN HAVE TO
09:38AM	6	GET TO THE QUESTION OF WHETHER THE COPYING WAS SUBSTANTIALLY
09:38AM	7	SIMILAR OR
09:38AM	8	THE COURT: SO THAT'S A DIFFERENT SUBSTANTIALLY
09:38AM	9	SIMILAR THAN THE BROAD VERSUS THAN THE PROTECTION.
09:38AM	10	MR. PAK: YES.
09:38AM	11	THE COURT: BECAUSE IT
09:38AM	12	MR. PAK: IT IS, YES.
09:38AM	13	THE COURT: IT'S DIFFICULT WHEN THE SAME TERM OF ART
09:39AM	14	IS USED SO DIFFERENTLY IN SUCH DIFFERENTLY IN SUCH DIFFERENT
09:39AM	15	SETTINGS. AND WE WILL TALK ABOUT THAT WITH JURY INSTRUCTIONS.
09:39AM	16	BUT IF I HAD TROUBLE WITH IT, AND THE I COULD SPEND TIME TRYING
09:39AM	17	TO FIGURE IT OUT AND I GET TO TALK TO YOU, I DON'T KNOW HOW THE
09:39AM	18	JURY IS GOING TO DEAL WITH THAT.
09:39AM	19	SO I THINK IN THE JURY INSTRUCTIONS THERE MIGHT HAVE BEEN
09:39AM	20	SOME DISCUSSION OF DIFFERENT TERMINOLOGY THAT WOULD BE MORE APT
09:39AM	21	FOR THIS ISSUE OF YOUR PROOF OF COPYING, BECAUSE OF COURSE THE
09:39AM	22	JURY IS GOING TO WALK THROUGH COPYING AND THEN INFRINGEMENT
09:39AM	23	SEPARATELY.
09:39AM	24	MR. PAK: BUT I THINK THE BOTTOM LINE IS WHETHER YOU
09:39AM	25	LOOK AT IT AS JUST FAIR USE OR EVEN IF YOU WERE TO SAY THAT

09:39AM	1	IT'S A QUESTION RELATED TO INFRINGEMENT AS PART OF SOME TYPE OF
09:39AM	2	DE MINIMUS DEFENSE, YOUR HONOR, I THINK IT COMES BACK TO THE
09:39AM	3	SAME POINT. WHICH IS FROM A COPYRIGHT PERSPECTIVE, WE ARE NOT
09:39AM	4	ASKING THE JURY OURS JUST SIMPLY COUNT UP THINGS.
09:39AM	5	WHAT WE ARE ASKING THEM TO DO IS GOING OUT AND THERE ARE
09:39AM	6	LOTS OF CASES WE CITED TO YOU YOUR HONOR, IS THIS THE HEART OF
09:40AM	7	A COPYRIGHTED WORK, IS WHAT THEY TOOK IMPORTANT, IS WHAT THEY
09:40AM	8	TOOK SOMETHING
09:40AM	9	THE COURT: THAT'S IN A FAIR USE CONSIDERATION.
09:40AM	10	MR. PAK: FAIR USE. AND ALSO FROM A DE MINIMUS
09:40AM	11	PERSPECTIVE, WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT
09:40AM	12	THE COURT: AGAIN, YOU SAY THERE'S NO DE MINIMUS
09:40AM	13	ASPECT.
09:40AM	14	MR. PAK: RIGHT.
	1 🛭	
09:40AM	15	THE COURT: WHICH WOULD BE REALLY TO NEGATE YOUR
09:40AM 09:40AM	1.6	CASE.
09:40AM	16 17	CASE.
09:40AM	16 17 18	CASE. DE MINIMUS USE IS NOT AN AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE. SO IT WOULD,
09:40AM 09:40AM 09:40AM	16 17 18 19	CASE. DE MINIMUS USE IS NOT AN AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE. SO IT WOULD, IF ANYTHING, BE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THE DEFENSE TO NEGATE AN
09:40AM 09:40AM 09:40AM	16 17 18 19 20	CASE. DE MINIMUS USE IS NOT AN AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE. SO IT WOULD, IF ANYTHING, BE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THE DEFENSE TO NEGATE AN ELEMENT OF YOUR CASE.
09:40AM 09:40AM 09:40AM 09:40AM	16 17 18 19 20 21	CASE. DE MINIMUS USE IS NOT AN AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE. SO IT WOULD, IF ANYTHING, BE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THE DEFENSE TO NEGATE AN ELEMENT OF YOUR CASE. MR. PAK: I BELIEVE THAT'S CORRECT.
09:40AM 09:40AM 09:40AM 09:40AM 09:40AM	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CASE. DE MINIMUS USE IS NOT AN AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE. SO IT WOULD, IF ANYTHING, BE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THE DEFENSE TO NEGATE AN ELEMENT OF YOUR CASE. MR. PAK: I BELIEVE THAT'S CORRECT. WITH RESPECT TO I BELIEVE THAT'S CORRECT, ALTHOUGH AS
09:40AM 09:40AM 09:40AM 09:40AM 09:40AM	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CASE. DE MINIMUS USE IS NOT AN AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE. SO IT WOULD, IF ANYTHING, BE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THE DEFENSE TO NEGATE AN ELEMENT OF YOUR CASE. MR. PAK: I BELIEVE THAT'S CORRECT. WITH RESPECT TO I BELIEVE THAT'S CORRECT, ALTHOUGH AS YOUR HONOR NOTES, I THINK THERE'S AMBIGUITY IN THE MODEL
09:40AM 09:40AM 09:40AM 09:40AM 09:40AM 09:40AM	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CASE. DE MINIMUS USE IS NOT AN AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE. SO IT WOULD, IF ANYTHING, BE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THE DEFENSE TO NEGATE AN ELEMENT OF YOUR CASE. MR. PAK: I BELIEVE THAT'S CORRECT. WITH RESPECT TO I BELIEVE THAT'S CORRECT, ALTHOUGH AS YOUR HONOR NOTES, I THINK THERE'S AMBIGUITY IN THE MODEL INSTRUCTIONS.

1 09:40AM 2 09:40AM 3 09:40AM 09:40AM 4 09:41AM 09:41AM 09:41AM 7 8 09:41AM 09:41AM 9 09:41AM 10 09:41AM 11 09:41AM 12 09:41AM 13 09:41AM 14 09:41AM 15 09:41AM 16 17 09:41AM 18 09:41AM 09:41AM 19 09:41AM 20 21 09:41AM 09:41AM 22 09:41AM 23 09:41AM 24 09:42AM 25

COMMANDS, VERY IMPORTANT ELEMENTS.

WE HAVE LOTS OF EVIDENCE THAT WE WILL SHOW TO THE JURY AT TRIAL THAT TALK ABOUT ARISTA COPYING THE THINGS THAT THEY THOUGHT WERE THE MOST RELEVANT, THE MOST IMPORTANT.

AND THIS DOES GO INTO ONE OF THE ISSUES IN THE CASE THAT YOU HAVE HEARD US TALK ABOUT WHICH IS, FOR EXAMPLE, IOS IS AN UBER OPERATING SYSTEM.

WE MAKE LOTS AND LOTS OF PRODUCTS THAT ARISTA DOES NOT

MAKE. IT'S AN OPERATING SYSTEM THAT CONTROLS CABLE DEVICES,

IT'S AN OPERATING SYSTEM THAT CONTROLS VOICEOVER IP GATEWAYS

AND HOME NETWORKING PRODUCTS, THE KINDS OF PRODUCTS THAT ARISTA

DOES NOT MAKE.

SO FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE, WHETHER YOU LOOK AT IT AS FAIR USE OR YOU LOOK AT IT AS DE MINIMUS, WE THINK IT'S CRITICALLY IMPORTANT THAT ARISTA COPIED THOSE ELEMENTS FROM OUR INTERFACE THAT PERTAIN DIRECTLY TO THE GIGABIT ETHERNET SWITCHES THAT THEY MAKE.

THE COURT: WELL THERE WAS A POINT IN READING YOUR

PAPERS WHERE I BECAME CONCERNED THAT YOU WERE FURTHER

SEGMENTING THE WORK TO BE ONLY THE PORTION OF THE USER

INTERFACE THAT SUPPORTED THE SWITCH IN QUESTION, AND THAT'S NOT

WHAT YOU ARE DOING?

MR. PAK: THAT'S NOT WHAT WE ARE DOING.

WE ARE MERELY JUST GIVING YOU A FLAVOR OF THE TYPE OF EVIDENCE THAT WE WILL BE PRESENTING AT TRIAL AS TO THE

09:42AM	1	QUALITATIVE SIGNIFICANCE OF WHAT THEY TOOK. THAT THE
09:42AM	2	COPYRIGHTED WORK, THE USER INTERFACE THAT CORRESPONDS TO EACH
09:42AM	3	OF THESE FOUR OPERATING SYSTEMS. WE BELIEVE THAT WHAT THEY
09:42AM	4	TOOK FROM EACH OF THESE FOUR OPERATING SYSTEMS ARE THE MOST
09:42AM	5	IMPORTANT COMMANDS, USER INTERFACE ELEMENTS, FOR THEIR USE IN
09:42AM	6	THE GIGABIT ETHERNET SWITCHING CONTEXT, BECAUSE THAT'S THE TYPE
09:42AM	7	OF PRODUCT THAT THEY MAKE.
09:42AM	8	SO IT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE FOR ARISTA TO COPY COMMANDS THAT
09:42AM	9	HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH ETHERNET. SO FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE, FOR
09:42AM	10	THEM TO COME IN AND SAY WELL, THERE ARE 10,000 PLUS COMMANDS IN
09:42AM	11	IOS, WHO CARES, YOU KNOW, FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE.
09:42AM	12	THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE UP TO THE JURY.
09:42AM	13	MR. PAK: THAT WOULD BE UP TO THE JURY.
09:42AM	14	SO THAT'S REALLY AND THEN AT THE END, YOUR HONOR, WE
09:42AM	15	JUST HAD A SHORT TIMELINE FOR YOUR HONOR'S BENEFIT THAT SHOWS
09:42AM	16	THE DISCLOSURE DATES FOR VARIOUS MATERIALS THAT I HAVE
09:42AM	17	PRESENTED TO YOU TODAY.
09:42AM	18	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
09:42AM	19	MR. PAK: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
09:42AM	20	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET ME TURN TO MR. KWUN, ARE
09:43AM	21	YOU ARGUING THIS?
09:43AM	22	MR. FERRALL: I'M GOING TO START, TO TALK ABOUT THE
09:43AM	23	DISCLOSURES, IF THAT'S OKAY WITH YOUR HONOR.
09:43AM	24	THE COURT: ABSOLUTELY.
09:43AM	25	MR. FERRALL: SO I HAVE A SET OF SLIDES ALSO,

09:43AM	1	YOUR HONOR.
09:43AM	2	THE COURT: SO PRESUMABLY, THIS IS THIS GOES TO
09:43AM	3	MORE THAN JUST
09:43AM	4	MR. FERRAL: IT DOES, INDEED.
09:43AM	5	THE COURT: OTHERWISE WE WILL BE EATING TURKEY
09:43AM	6	TOGETHER.
09:43AM	7	MR. FERRALL: NO, NO.
09:43AM	8	YOUR HONOR, I THINK A COMMENT THAT YOU HAD AT THE BEGINNING
09:43AM	9	OF MR. PAK'S ARGUMENT IS AN IMPORTANT PLACE TO START, WHICH IS
09:43AM	10	TO CLARIFY WHAT WE ARE NOT FIGHTING ABOUT RIGHT NOW.
09:44AM	11	WE ARE NOT FIGHTING ABOUT THE FACT THAT CISCO HAS
09:44AM	12	REGISTERED 26 DIFFERENT OPERATING SYSTEMS. WE ARE NOT FIGHTING
09:44AM	13	ABOUT THE FACT THAT TO THE EXTENT THERE'S PROTECTED EXPRESSION
09:44AM	14	IN THOSE OPERATING SYSTEMS, THOSE ARE REGISTERED, INCLUDING
09:44AM	15	PROTECTED EXPRESSION IN THE USER INTERFACE, THOSE ARE COVERED
09:44AM	16	BY THE REGISTRATION. THAT'S NOT WHAT THIS IS ABOUT.
09:44AM	17	THE SECOND IMPORTANT POINT, WHICH MAY HAVE BEEN BUT WAS
09:44AM	18	NOT THE SUBJECT, LET'S SAY, OF MR. PAK'S TESTIMONY, IS THAT
09:44AM	19	THIS IS NOT A DISPUTE ABOUT WHETHER CISCO HAS ALLEGED COPYING
09:44AM	20	OF ELEMENTS OF THE USER INTERFACE.
09:44AM	21	OF COURSE, WE KNOW THAT. THAT HAS BEEN ALLEGED FROM THE
09:44AM	22	BEGINNING. SO ALL OF THE CITATIONS TO EXPERT REPORTS AND
09:44AM	23	DISCOVERY THAT ALLEGES COPYING OF CLI ELEMENTS, ABSOLUTELY. WE
09:44AM	24	KNOW THAT THAT'S THE CASE.
09:45AM	25	WHAT THIS IS ABOUT IS DEFINING THE WORK TO WHICH THE
		1

1 COPYING IS GOING TO BE COMPARED. AND WE KNOW THAT'S IMPORTANT 09:45AM FOR TWO, AT LEAST -- ACTUALLY, AT LEAST THREE CRITICAL ASPECTS 2 09:45AM OF THE CASE. 3 09:45AM 09:45AM ONE IS INFRINGEMENT, SINCE ULTIMATELY THE JURY NEEDS TO DETERMINE WHETHER WHATEVER IS DETERMINED TO HAVE BEEN COPIED 09:45AM 09:45AM PROTECTED EXPRESSION IS SUBSTANTIALLY SIMILAR OR VIRTUALLY IDENTICAL TO THE WORK, TO THE WORK. YOU'VE GOT TO KNOW WHAT 09:45AM THE DENOMINATOR IS BEFORE YOU COMPARE IT TO THE ENUMERATOR. 8 09:45AM 09:45AM 9 THE COURT: WE HAVE A VAST DIFFERENCE OF VIEW OF THAT 09:45AM 10 TERMINOLOGY RIGHT THERE THAT WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO TALK ABOUT IN JURY INSTRUCTIONS. 09:45AM 11 09:45AM 12 MR. FERRALL: RIGHT, RIGHT. BUT I DON'T THINK 09:45AM 13 THERE'S ANY QUESTION THAT THE LAW REQUIRES THAT COMPARISON. 14 THE COURT: THERE'S SOME COMPARISON THAT NEEDS TO BE 09:45AM 09:45AM 15 DONE, THAT'S CERTAINLY TRUE. MR. FERRALL: RIGHT. 16 09:45AM 17 IT'S ALSO CRITICAL TO FAIR USE BECAUSE THE STATUTORY 09:45AM 18 ELEMENTS ALONE OF FAIR USE REFER TO THE USE IN THE CONTEXT OF 09:46AM 19 THE WORK. WHAT IS THE NATURE OF THE WORK, AND HOW HAS THE 09:46AM 20 ALLEGED INFRINGEMENT CAUSED A MARKET HARM TO THE WORK. 09:46AM 21 AGAIN, YOU'VE GOT TO KNOW THE DENOMINATOR. 09:46AM 22 LASTLY, FOR DAMAGES, AND HERE I WILL BEGIN TO ADDRESS SOME 09:46AM 09:46AM 23 OF MR. PAK'S POINT AND THEN WE WILL GET TO MY OWN PRESENTATION. 24 THIS CASE, THE DAMAGES CASE THAT WE WILL HEAR IS ALL ABOUT 09:46AM 09:46AM 25 DAMAGES TO LOST SALES OF CISCO'S SWITCHES WHICH RUN ENTIRE

1 09:46AM 2 09:46AM 3 09:46AM 09:46AM 4 09:47AM 09:47AM 09:47AM 8 09:47AM 9 09:47AM 10 09:47AM 09:47AM 11 09:47AM 12 13 09:47AM 14 09:47AM 09:47AM 15 16 09:47AM 17 09:47AM 18 09:47AM 09:47AM 19 20 09:48AM 21 09:48AM 22 09:48AM 23 09:48AM 24 09:48AM 09:48AM 25

OPERATING SYSTEMS.

THERE'S NO DAMAGES THEORY THAT SAYS THIS IS ABOUT THE LOST VALUE TO A USER INTERFACE, NOT ONE. NEITHER SIDE TALKS ABOUT THE LOST VALUE TO A USER INTERFACE. THEY TALK ABOUT PROFITS EITHER THAT CISCO LOST OR THAT ARISTA GAINED AS A RESULT OF SELLING ENTIRE SWITCHES RUNNING ENTIRE OPERATING SYSTEMS.

SO WE KNOW THAT THIS IS A CRITICAL ISSUE, WHICH IS WHY WE BROUGHT THE MOTION IN LIMINE AND YOUR HONOR DEFERRED IT. AND IF WE COULD JUST GO TO THE FIRST SLIDE.

YOUR HONOR, I THINK YOU WERE PROBABLY -- PROBABLY HAD THIS IN MIND THIS MORNING, SAID THAT THIS IS CISCO'S BURDEN TO DEMONSTRATE THAT THE DISCLOSURE HAS BEEN ADEQUATE.

SO WE KNEW THIS WAS IMPORTANT FROM THE VERY BEGINNING, WE ASKED A SERIES OF INTERROGATORIES THAT I'M GOING TO WALK YOU THROUGH, AND I BEG THE COURT'S PATIENCE WITH THIS BECAUSE IT WILL TAKE A LITTLE BIT OF TIME, BUT I WILL SAY THAT NOT ONE OF THESE WAS DISCUSSED BY MR. PAK.

SO WE ASKED FOR THIS INFORMATION ON AT LEAST FOUR DIFFERENT OCCASIONS. THE FIRST ONE WAS PROPOUNDED IN APRIL OF 2015, INTERROGATORY 6. IDENTIFY WITH SPECIFICITY EACH COPYRIGHTED WORK BY COPYRIGHT AND REGISTRATION NUMBER THAT YOU CONTEND ARISTA HAS UNLAWFULLY COPIED.

OKAY. CISCO HAS ANSWERED THIS QUESTION, THIS INTERROGATORY
MULTIPLE TIMES. I WILL SHOW YOU THE FIRST ANSWER, WHICH IS THE
NEXT SLIDE. BUT THIS IS REPEATED UP THROUGH THE VERY LAST DAY

09:48AM 1 OF DISCOVERY, THEY HAD THE SAME ANSWER.

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

09:48AM

09:48AM

09:48AM

09:48AM

09:48AM

09:48AM

09:49AM

09:50AM

09:50AM

09:50AM 22

09:50AM 23

09:50AM 25

09:50AM

CISCO'S ANSWER WAS TO IDENTIFY BY COLUMN, THAT COLUMN, A
LIST OF COPYRIGHTED WORKS INFRINGED BY ARISTA WHICH WERE ENTIRE
OPERATING SYSTEMS. OKAY. NO MENTION OF USER INTERFACE, NO
MENTION THAT THE WORK IS THE CLI.

IN THE SAME SET OF INTERROGATORIES, WE ASKED, IDENTIFY WITH SPECIFICITY EACH ARISTA CLI COMMAND THAT YOU CONTEND INFRINGES ANY COPYRIGHTED WORK, AND IDENTIFY WHICH WORK IT INFRINGES.

BECAUSE WE NEED TO TRY TO PAIR THINGS UP, WHICH ONE GOES WITH WHICH.

SO AGAIN, WE GOT A RESPONSE WHICH REFERS US TO EACH
OPERATING SYSTEM. IOS 11.0, 11.1, ET CETERA. I DON'T HAVE THE
WHOLE CHART HERE, BUT EVERY REGISTERED OPERATING SYSTEM, THAT'S
WHAT CISCO DISCLOSED AS THE WORK IN WHICH ONE COULD FIND, THE
WORK THAT WAS INFRINGED BY THE USE OF COMMANDS.

THE COURT: WELL, THE REGISTERED WORK IS THE IOS.

SO, YOU KNOW, YOU DON'T AGREE WITH MR. PAK'S ARGUMENT THAT
THE CASE LAW GRANTED FROM OTHER DISTRICTS TALKS ABOUT WITHIN
EACH REGISTRATION THERE ARE TWO DISTINCT, PROTECTABLE WORKS,
THE SOURCE CODE AND THE USER INTERFACE. AND SO TO IDENTIFY THE
REGISTERED WORK AS THE IOS, THERE IS NO PHYSICAL REGISTERED
WORK OF THE USER INTERFACE, THIS IS MAYBE A JUDGE-CREATED
CONSTRUCT TO MAKE SENSE OF THE -- AND I THINK IT'S SUPPORTED,
AT LEAST CISCO GIVES ME SOME SUPPORT FOR THE COPYRIGHT OFFICE
ALSO SEEING THIS AS SEPARATE PROTECTED WORKS. I'M JUST NOT

09:50AM	1	SURE THAT WHEN IT SAYS REGISTERED WORK, THAT WE ARE GETTING AT
09:50AM	2	THE ISSUE I HAVE TO DECIDE WHICH IS THE ASSERTED WORK WHICH I
09:50AM	3	THINK MAY BE DIFFERENT AS A SUBSET.
09:50AM	4	MR. FERRALL: WELL, FAIR ENOUGH. ALTHOUGH THE
09:50AM	5	PREVIOUS ANSWER TO INTERROGATORY 6
09:50AM	6	THE COURT: WELL, THE PREVIOUS ONE MIGHT HAVE BEEN A
09:50AM	7	LITTLE CLOSER, BUT THIS ONE SAYS REGISTERED WORK. THE PREVIOUS
09:50AM	8	ONE SAYS COPYRIGHTED WORK.
09:50AM	9	MR. FERRALL: RIGHT.
09:50AM	10	AND I DON'T THINK CISCO IS GOING TO DENY THAT IT WANTS TO
09:51AM	11	PRESENT TO THE JURY THE ARGUMENT THAT THE COPYRIGHTED WORK TO
09:51AM	12	WHICH THEY COMPARE THE ALLEGED COPYING IS THE USER INTERFACE.
09:51AM	13	THE COURT: OH, THAT'S WHAT WANTS TO DO.
09:51AM	14	MR. FERRALL: ABSOLUTELY.
09:51AM	15	AND SURELY, WE DO HAVE A DISPUTE ABOUT THE LAW AND WHETHER
09:51AM	16	IT'S EVEN POSSIBLE, BUT SURELY IF CISCO WANTED THAT TO BE THE
09:51AM	17	THEORY IN THE CASE WHEN WE ASKED WHAT THE COPYRIGHTED WORK WAS,
09:51AM	18	IT SHOULD HAVE SAID THE USER INTERFACE OF IOS 11.0. AND THE
09:51AM	19	USER INTERFACE OF ALL OF THESE OTHER OPERATING SYSTEMS.
09:51AM	20	BUT LET'S CONTINUE BECAUSE THERE'S MORE.
09:51AM	21	THE COURT: OKAY.
09:51AM	22	MR. FERRALL: LET'S GO ON TO SO LATER IN THE CASE,
09:51AM	23	IN MARCH OF 2016, AS WE LEARNED MORE ABOUT THE ALLEGATIONS, WE
09:51AM	24	CAME TO REALIZE, OF COURSE THAT THERE LIST OF, FOR EXAMPLE 500
09:51AM	25	COMMANDS, AND HIERARCHIES AND SO FORTH, IS NOT FOUND IN ANY

OPERATING SYSTEM. THEY ARE COMBINED. IT'S A COMBINED LIST 1 09:51AM FROM VARIOUS OF THE 26 REGISTERED WORKS. SO WE SAID WE NEED TO 2 09:52AM GET CLARITY ON THIS. 3 09:52AM 09:52AM SO WE ASKED FOR EACH COMMAND, MODE, HIERARCHY, PROMPT OR COMMAND RESPONSE THAT YOU CONTEND ARISTA UNLAWFULLY COPIED, 09:52AM 6 IDENTIFY EACH AND EVERY ASSERTED COPYRIGHTED REGISTERED WORK IN 09:52AM WHICH SUCH COMMAND APPEARS, INCLUDING THE REGISTRATION NUMBER, 09:52AM ET CETERA, AND THE BATES NUMBER. 8 09:52AM 9 OKAY. SO THE RESPONSE, AGAIN, IS A LIST OF OPERATING 09:52AM 10 SYSTEMS. 09:52AM 09:52AM 11 THEN IN THE SAME SET OF INTERROGATORIES, THE NEXT 09:52AM 12 INTERROGATORY, AND THIS GETS TO YOUR QUESTION EARLIER, WHICH IS 13 THE QUESTION OF WHAT PORTION OF THE WORK IS ALLEGEDLY COPIED. 09:52AM 14 AND WHILE WE CAN HAVE A DISPUTE, AND MR. PAK AND CISCO CAN 09:52AM 09:52AM 15 ARGUE TO THE JURY THAT IT SHOULD ALL BE QUALITATIVE, THERE'S CERTAINLY NO LAW THAT SAYS IT CAN ONLY BE QUALITATIVE AND 16 09:53AM 17 QUANTITATIVE DOESN'T MATTER. 09:53AM SO WE ASKED INTERROGATORY 25. FOR EACH 18 09:53AM 09:53AM 19 COPYRIGHT-REGISTERED WORK THAT CISCO ALLEGES ARISTA UNLAWFULLY COPIED, IDENTIFY THE TOTAL NUMBER OF COMMANDS MODES 09:53AM 20 HIERARCHIES, PROMPTS, RESPONSES AND LINES OF SOFTWARE CODE IN 21 09:53AM 22 THE WORK. 09:53AM THE RESPONSE, AGAIN, IS A LIST OF OPERATING SYSTEMS ONLY, 09:53AM 23 24 NEVER A MENTION OF THE USER INTERFACE, NEVER A MENTION OF --09:53AM 09:53AM 25 THERE'S, FRANKLY, NOT EVEN A LIST OF THE NUMBER OF COMMANDS.

WE ARE TOLD TO REFER TO SOURCE CODE AND DOCUMENTS. 1 09:53AM THE COURT: WELL, WAIT A MINUTE, YOU ASKED FOR SOURCE 2 09:53AM CODE, SO IT HAD TO BE PROVIDED, IT DOESN'T MEAN IT'S ASSERTED 09:53AM 09:53AM IN THIS CASE. 09:53AM MR. FERRALL: CORRECT. BUT THE QUESTION, THE INTERROGATORY ASKED FOR THE LINES OF 09:53AM CODE OF THE ASSERTED WORK. AND WHAT THEY DID WAS THEY REFERRED 09:53AM 7 US TO THE ENTIRE SOURCE CODE. THAT'S FINE. THAT WOULD -- THAT 8 09:54AM CAN ONLY LEAD US TO CONCLUDE THAT IT'S THE ENTIRE SOURCE CODE 09:54AM 9 09:54AM 10 THAT REPRESENTS THE LINES OF THE ASSERTED WORK, RIGHT. IF THE QUESTION IS, WHAT ARE THE -- WHAT'S THE PORTION OF 09:54AM 11 09:54AM 12 THE CODE THAT IMPLEMENTS THE CLI OR THE USER INTERFACE, THIS 09:54AM 13 WAS THE TIME FOR THEM TO ANSWER THAT QUESTION, BUT THEY DIDN'T. LET ME TALK TO YOU A LITTLE BIT --09:54AM 14 09:54AM 15 THE COURT: SO WHEN I LOOK AT THIS RESPONSE, OF COURSE THIS ACTUALLY DOESN'T MEAN ANYTHING TO ME, I MEAN, THIS 09:54AM 16 IS, THIS IS INCOMPREHENSIBLE TO ME, SO I JUST WANT TO 17 09:54AM 18 UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE SAYING. 09:54AM 19 THAT BASED ON THIS INTERROGATORY NUMBER 25 WHERE YOU ASKED 09:54AM FOR EACH WORK COPIED, IDENTIFY THE TOTAL COMMANDS, ET CETERA 09:54AM 20 AND LINES OF SOFTWARE CODE IN THE WORK. AND YOU ARE SAYING 21 09:55AM 09:55AM 22 THEY IDENTIFIED THE ENTIRE IOS AND NOT JUST THE CODE THAT 09:55AM 23 CORRESPONDED TO THE USER INTERFACE? 09:55AM 24 MR. FERRALL: YES. AND I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE 09:55AM 25 DOCUMENTS THEY IDENTIFIED ALSO.

09:55AM	1	SO THEY SO FOR THE CODE, THEY IDENTIFIED JUST ALL OF THE
09:55AM	2	SOURCE CODE THEY PRODUCED. FOR THE RELATED DOCUMENTS,
09:55AM	3	YOUR HONOR, THIS CITATION TO CSI, CLI, OBVIOUSLY THAT'S RATHER
09:55AM	4	OBTUSE.
09:55AM	5	THE COURT: FOR ME IT IS.
09:55AM	6	MR. FERRALL: LET ME TELL YOU WHAT IT IS.
09:55AM	7	FOR 11.0, IT'S A REFERENCE TO A LINK IN CISCO. THAT LINK
09:55AM	8	CITES TO, IN THE CASE OF 11.0, IT CITES TO ABOUT A DOZEN, MAYBE
09:55AM	9	20 DIFFERENT MANUALS COVERING EVERYTHING THAT 11.0 COVERS.
09:56AM	10	NOW THAT'S WHAT THEY DO FOR EVERY OPERATING SYSTEM. BY THE
09:56AM	11	TIME YOU GET TO SOME OF THESE LATER OPERATING SYSTEMS, THERE
09:56AM	12	ARE, JUST TO LIST THE TITLES OF THE MANUALS TAKES MULTIPLE
09:56AM	13	PAGES SINGLE SPACED. IT'S A SUMMARY EXHIBIT. I CAN HAND YOU
09:56AM	14	UP.
09:56AM	15	THE COURT: NO.
09:56AM	16	MR. FERRALL: IT IS ABOUT A 30-SOME PAGE
09:56AM	17	SINGLE-SPACED LIST OF MANUALS.
09:56AM	18	IF YOU INCLUDE EVERY DOCUMENTATION THAT HAS BEEN CITED IN
09:56AM	19	RESPONSE TO THESE INTERROGATORIES THAT HAS ASKED FOR AN
09:56AM	20	EXPLANATION OF WHAT'S THE WORK, IT'S A 30-PLUS SINGLE-SPACED
09:56AM	21	LIST OF MANUALS COVERING EVERYTHING THAT CISCO DOES. CABLE
09:56AM	22	MODEMS, HOME WIFI, PROTOCOLS THAT HAVE BEEN OUT OF DATE FOR
09:56AM	23	YEARS.
09:56AM	24	IT'S ALL CITED, IT'S ALL OUT THERE. THAT'S WHAT CISCO
09:56AM	25	ALLEGED WE ARE SUPPOSED TO COMPARE THE ASSERTION AGAINST.

1 09:57AM 2 09:57AM 3 09:57AM 09:57AM 4 09:57AM 09:57AM 09:57AM 8 09:57AM 09:57AM 9 09:57AM 10 09:57AM 11 09:57AM 12 09:57AM 13 09:57AM 14 09:58AM 15 16 09:58AM 17 09:58AM 18 09:58AM 19 09:58AM 20 09:58AM 21 09:58AM 09:58AM 22 09:58AM 23 09:58AM 24 09:58AM 25

THAT'S WHAT THEY'VE ALLEGED.

THE COURT: CISCO IS JUST GIVING YOU WHAT THEY THINK
IS RESPONSIVE TO WHAT YOU'VE ASKED, AND THAT CAN BE QUITE
DIFFERENT THAN WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO LATER ASSERT.

MR. FERRALL: WELL, BUT YOUR HONOR, HERE'S THE PROBLEM, THE PRACTICAL PROBLEM THAT WE FACE -- AND I'VE GOT ONE MORE DISCOVERY RESPONSE TO TALK ABOUT -- THE PRACTICAL PROBLEM THAT WE FACE IS THAT BECAUSE THERE'S NOT AN ASSERTION HERE IN RESPONSE TO FOUR DIFFERENT INTERROGATORIES, THAT THE WORK TO BE COMPARED IS THE USER INTERFACE. THERE WAS NEVER DISCOVERY TAKEN ABOUT THE METES AND BOUNDS OF THAT USER INTERFACE. WHAT DOES CONSTITUTE THAT USER INTERFACE.

WE SAW AFTER SUMMARY JUDGEMENT, LONG AFTER DISCOVERY

CLOSED, CISCO'S THEORY OF THE FOUR BUILDING BLOCKS, BUT WE

NEVER HAD THE CHANCE TO -- THE DISCLOSURE OF 4 OR 5 BUILDING

BLOCKS, WHAT CONSTITUTES THOSE BUILDING BLOCKS?

THERE'S NEVER BEEN A QUANTIFICATION OF THE COMMANDS IN EACH USER INTERFACE. THERE'S NEVER BEEN A QUANTIFICATION OF THE MARKET HARM ON THE USER INTERFACES. YOU WON'T SEE THAT IN ANY OF THE EXPERT REPORTS, YOU WON'T SEE ANY ANALYSIS OF THIS USER INTERFACE HAS THIS SORT OF MARKET VALUE.

THE COURT: I GUESS, MR. FERRALL, I THINK YOUR ARGUMENT GOES TOO FAR.

IN MY VIEW, WHAT YOU ARE SUGGESTING WOULD ESSENTIALLY ELIMINATE THE OPPORTUNITY TO PROTECT COMPUTER SOFTWARE PROGRAMS

1 09:58AM 2 09:58AM 3 09:58AM 09:58AM 4 09:59AM 6 09:59AM 09:59AM 8 09:59AM 9 09:59AM 10 09:59AM 09:59AM 11 09:59AM 12 13 09:59AM 14 09:59AM 09:59AM 15 16 09:59AM 17 09:59AM 18 09:59AM 19 09:59AM 20 10:00AM 21 10:00AM 10:00AM 22 10:00AM 23 24 10:00AM 10:00AM 25

BECAUSE OF THE ENORMITY OF THE WORK ITSELF. AND THE DROP IN
THE OCEAN THAT THE COPYING WOULD BE, THAT FAIR USE WILL ALWAYS
RULE THE DAY SIMPLY ON THE QUANTITATIVE EVALUATION. AND IF
THERE'S A DE MINIMUS EVALUATION ON INFRINGEMENT, A COPYRIGHT
OWNER COULD NEVER WIN.

I THINK YOU ARE SAYING TOO MUCH HERE. AND I THINK IT IS
REASONABLE, AND FRANKLY I DON'T ACTUALLY THINK THAT CISCO HAS
NARROWED THE SCOPE OF ITS WORK AS MUCH AS I HAD FEARED IT WAS
DOING EARLIER. THE USER INTERFACE IS STILL A SUBSTANTIAL WORK.

AND THESE -- AND I HAVE -- I MEAN, I HAVE TO SIT AT THE TRIAL TO FIND OUT WHAT IT IS BECAUSE IT DOESN'T MATTER TO ME NOW HOW BIG IT IS. BUT I ONLY BECAME CONCERNED WHEN I THOUGHT THAT THEY WERE DEFINING THE WORK AS EXACTLY WHAT WAS COPIED.

AND I WAS WRONG ON THAT.

AND THEN I BECAME CONCERNED THROUGH SOME OF THE PHRASEOLOGY
THAT THEY WERE DEFINING THE WORK AS THAT PORTION OF THE USER
INTERFACE THAT SUPPORTED THE PARTICULAR PRODUCTS IN QUESTION.
AND THAT'S NOT WHAT THEY ARE DOING.

SO THERE CLEARLY WERE WAYS THAT THEY COULD HAVE MAYBE

MANIPULATED THE DEFINITION OF THE WORK THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN

OUTSIDE THE BOUNDS OF ANY CASE AUTHORITY.

BUT HERE, THIS IS -- SEEMS LIKE A PRETTY STRAIGHTFORWARD

DIVIDE, A COMPLAINT THAT ACTUALLY SEEMS TO IDENTIFY IT. I HAVE

SOME CONCERNS ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS ON DAMAGES THAT I WILL ASK

MR. PAK TO ADDRESS WHEN IT'S HIS TURN.

10:00AM	1	BUT, YOU KNOW, I GUESS I'M JUST NOT SEEING IT. YOU KNOW,
10:00AM	2	I'M A LITTLE I AM CONCERNED WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT THERE NOT
10:00AM	3	BEING DISCOVERY TO DETERMINE, AS YOU WILL, THE METES AND BOUNDS
10:00AM	4	OF THE USER INTERFACE. BUT I DON'T THINK HE'S PUTTING METES OR
10:00AM	5	BOUNDS ON IT, I'M NOT SURE THERE IS ANY DISCOVERY WHEN IT IS
10:00AM	6	THE ENTIRE IOS AND NOT A SUBSET OF IT.
10:00AM	7	MR. FERRALL: WELL, WE AGREE THAT IT'S THE ENTIRE
10:00AM	8	IOS.
10:00AM	9	BUT WHAT WHERE THE ENTIRE OPERATING SYSTEM SORRY,
10:01AM	10	WHERE THE USER INTERFACE PART OF THE OPERATING SYSTEM BEGINNING
10:01AM	11	AND ENDS, IS NOT DEFINED AT ALL, YOUR HONOR.
10:01AM	12	AND IN PARTICULAR, THIS COMES IN THE CODE, CISCO WANTS TO
10:01AM	13	CALL MR. TERRY SLATTERY. MR. TERRY SLATTERY IS A FORMER CISCO
10:01AM	14	CONTRACTOR BACK FROM 1990 1990'S, EARLY 1991. HE IS OFTEN
10:01AM	15	REGARDED AS THE FATHER OF THE CISCO CLI, THOSE ARE LITERALLY
10:01AM	16	THE WORDS.
10:01AM	17	NOW DOES THAT MEAN THAT HE CREATED A LOT OF CLI COMMANDS OR
10:01AM	18	RESPONSES? NO, ACTUALLY HE'S CREDITED, I THINK IN THIS CASE,
10:01AM	19	WITH ONE COMMAND. WHAT HE DID WAS WRITE ALL OF THE UNDERLYING
10:01AM	20	CODE THAT IMPLEMENTS THE CLI. SO THAT'S WHAT HE, AND INDEED A
10:01AM	21	LOT OF PEOPLE REFER TO AS A CRITICAL PART, AT LEAST, OF THE
10:01AM	22	CLI.
10:01AM	23	WE'VE NOT HAD ANY OPPORTUNITY OR ANY REASON TO TRY TO
10:02AM	24	DEFINE WHERE THE CLI IMPLEMENTING CODE BEGINS VERSUS THE REST
10:02AM	25	OF IOS. AND AS I UNDERSTAND IT

10:02AM	1	THE COURT: BUT WHAT'S THE RELEVANCE OF THE CLI
10:02AM	2	IMPLEMENTING CODE? IT'S NOT AT ISSUE IN THE CASE.
10:02AM	3	MR. FERRALL: IT'S WHAT MAKES THE USER INTERFACE WHAT
10:02AM	4	IT IS. IT'S WHAT MAKES THE USER INTERFACE THE EFFORT THAT
10:02AM	5	GOES INTO IMPLEMENTING THE CLI, THAT'S HOW THE USER INTERFACE
10:02AM	6	EXISTS, THAT'S THE CREATIVITY, THAT'S THE CREATIVE WORK THAT
10:02AM	7	WENT INTO IT.
10:02AM	8	THE COURT: I DON'T THINK THAT'S WHAT IS CISCO IS
10:02AM	9	ARGUING, THOUGH.
10:02AM	10	MR. FERRALL: WELL, BUT THAT'S WHAT WE ARE GOING TO
10:02AM	11	ARGUE, THAT'S WHAT CREATES PART OF AT LEAST THE LOOK AND FEEL.
10:02AM	12	WE DON'T THINK THAT IT WAS COPIED, THE SOURCE CODE
10:02AM	13	CERTAINLY WASN'T COPIED. BUT THE WORK THAT GOES INTO AND THE
10:02AM	14	PROCESS OF CREATING THE CLI, INCLUDING ALL ASPECTS OF THE LOOK
10:02AM	15	AND FEEL, NOT JUST WHAT'S ASSERTED IN THIS CASE, THAT'S SURELY
10:03AM	16	RELEVANT FOR THE JURY TO CONSIDER CISCO'S LOOK AND FEEL
10:03AM	17	ARGUMENT.
10:03AM	18	THE COURT: WELL, I'M NOT SAYING IT'S NOT RELEVANT,
10:03AM	19	BECAUSE CERTAINLY THERE BUT THIS CASE IS ABOUT THE USER
10:03AM	20	INTERFACE, THAT PORTION OF THE PROGRAM THAT THE ENGINEER SEES
10:03AM	21	AND CONNECTS WITH, NOT THE UNDERLYING SOURCE CODE, EVEN THOUGH
10:03AM	22	THAT CAN BE READ AS WELL.
10:03AM	23	MR. FERRALL: TRUE.
10:03AM	24	THE COURT: SO I GUESS I'M JUST NOT PERSUADED ON
10:03AM	25	THIS.

MR. FERRALL: OKAY. CAN I JUST SHOW YOU THIS. 1 10:03AM THE COURT: YEP, LET'S KEEP GOING. 2 10:03AM MR. FERRALL: I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE COMPLAINT AND 3 10:03AM 10:03AM I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE NEXT DISCOVERY RESPONSE BECAUSE, OKAY, SO WE ASKED CISCO'S FAIR USE CONTENTIONS, OKAY. 10:03AM 10:03AM 6 BASICALLY, THE INTERROGATORY SAYS, DESCRIBE THE LEGAL 10:03AM 7 FACTUAL BASIS FOR YOUR CONTENTION THAT ARISTA'S USE IS NOT A FAIR USE. 10:03AM 8 10:03AM 9 AND THEN WE RECITE THE STATUTORY FACTORS OF FAIR USE, AND 10:04AM 10 YOUR HONOR IS VERY FAMILIAR WITH THOSE. OKAY. CISCO'S RESPONSE IS ABOUT 5 OR 8 PAGES LONG, SO I DON'T 10:04AM 11 10:04AM 12 HAVE IT ALL, BUT THEY GO THROUGH EACH FACTOR. AND IN THE 10:04AM 13 BEGINNING IN THE FIRST FAIR USE FACTOR, THEY DEFINE THE WORK, 10:04AM 14 FOR PURPOSES OF THE ENTIRETY OF THIS ANSWER, ARISTA HAS USED 10:04AM 15 AND CONTINUES TO USE CISCO'S COPYRIGHTED WORKS. FOOTNOTE: CISCO'S COPYRIGHTED WORKS AND CISCO'S COPYRIGHTED WORKS 10:04AM 16 17 INCLUDES THE COPYRIGHTED OPERATING SYSTEMS AND RELATED 10:04AM 18 DOCUMENTS AS SET FORTH IN PARAGRAPH 25 OF THE SECOND AMENDED 10:04AM 10:04AM 19 COMPLAINT. SO WE GO TO PARAGRAPH 25. IT'S A 10:04AM 20 10:04AM 21 LIST OF ALL THE OPERATING SYSTEMS. 10:04AM 22 AGAIN, I'M NOT -- OF COURSE THEY COULD HAVE SAID THAT 10:04AM 23 CISCO'S COPYRIGHTED WORKS ARE THE USER INTERFACE AND THEN 10:04AM 24 PROCEEDED TO ANSWER THE INTERROGATORY. 10:04AM 25 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WELL THIS IS A LITTLE BIT --

10:05AM	1	TO ME THIS IS MORE DIRECT.
10:05AM	2	MR. FERRALL: OKAY. AND I WANTED TO ADDRESS
10:05AM	3	SOMETHING THAT IS IN CISCO'S SLIDES ON THIS, BECAUSE CISCO
10:05AM	4	CITES TO PARAGRAPH 28 IN THEIR SLIDES. I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY
10:05AM	5	SOMETHING THAT SO THERE'S NO CONFUSION HERE.
10:05AM	6	THE COURT: OKAY.
10:05AM	7	MR. FERRALL: SLIDE 7 OF CISCO'S SLIDES, QUOTES FROM
10:05AM	8	THE COMPLAINT DOWN AT THE BOTTOM.
10:05AM	9	DO YOU SEE THAT PARAGRAPH 28?
10:05AM	10	THE COURT: UH-HUH.
10:05AM	11	MR. FERRALL: OKAY. AND I DON'T HAVE THE PARAGRAPH
10:05AM	12	ON A SLIDE TO SHOW, BUT THIS IS ACTUALLY WHAT PARAGRAPH 28
10:05AM	13	SAYS. IT BEGINS, "THE CISCO IOS COPYRIGHTED WORKS," NOW YOU
10:05AM	14	SEE THAT'S DEFINED IN PARAGRAPH 25, WHICH IS ON THE SCREEN, AS
10:06AM	15	ALL OF THE OPERATING SYSTEMS.
10:06AM	16	OKAY. PARAGRAPH 28 SAYS ARE YOU WITH ME?
10:06AM	17	THE COURT: SO WHICH SLIDE AM I SUPPOSED TO BE
10:06AM	18	LOOKING AT?
10:06AM	19	MR. FERRALL: WE ARE LOOK THE AT CISCO'S SLIDE 7.
10:06AM	20	THE COURT: I HAVE THAT AND IT'S JUST THESE THREE
10:06AM	21	LINES.
10:06AM	22	MR. FERRALL: AND THE ARISTA SLIDE THAT'S UP ON THE
10:06AM	23	SCREEN.
10:06AM	24	THE COURT: YES, OKAY.
10:06AM	25	MR. FERRALL: BECAUSE PARAGRAPH 28 BEGINS WITH A

1 10:06AM 2 10:06AM 3 10:06AM 10:06AM 10:06AM 6 10:06AM 10:06AM 8 10:06AM 9 10:07AM 10 10:07AM 10:07AM 11 10:07AM 12 13 10:07AM 14 10:07AM 10:07AM 15 16 10:07AM 17 10:07AM 18 10:07AM 10:07AM 19 20 10:07AM 21 10:07AM 22 10:08AM 10:08AM 23 24 10:08AM 10:08AM 25

DEFINED TERM, CISCO IOS COPYRIGHTED WORKS.

UNFORTUNATELY, THAT'S IN THE COMPLAINT THAT I DON'T HAVE TO SHOW YOU ON THE SCREEN, AND I'M JUST READING IT TO YOU.

SO IT SAYS, "CISCO'S COPYRIGHTED WORKS (DEFINED TERMS)

INCLUDING THEIR UNIQUE COMMAND EXPRESSIONS, UNIQUE COMMAND MODE

STRUCTURE, PROMPTS, AS IS REPRESENTED HERE."

SO CISCO OMITTED THE FACT THAT WHAT THEY ARE QUOTING HERE

AT THE BOTTOM OF THEIR SLIDE 7 IS ACTUALLY A PARENTHETICAL THAT

BEGINS WITH THE WORD INCLUDING THE COMMAND EXPRESSIONS AND

UNIQUE COMMAND, OKAY.

WHAT IS ACTUALLY EXPLAINED IN THE COMPLAINT AS AN ORIGINAL EXPRESSIVE WORK THAT HAD BEEN DEVELOPED OVER MANY YEARS, IS THE LIST OF OPERATING SYSTEMS, THE DEFINED TERM, CISCO COPYRIGHTED WORK.

SO I APOLOGIZE THAT I DON'T HAVE THE COMPLAINT TO SHOW YOU AND THE PARSE THROUGH THIS, BUT YOU WILL SEE PARAGRAPH 28 DOES NOT PURPORT TO SAY THAT THE USER INTERFACE ARE ORIGINAL EXPRESSIVE WORKS. THOSE ARE PART OF ORIGINAL EXPRESSIVE WORKS.

OKAY. SO THANK YOU FOR YOUR PATIENCE. LET ME CONTINUE
WITH ONE MORE PART OF THAT INTERROGATORY RESPONSE. THAT WAS
INTERROGATORY 21. IT DEFINES CISCO COPYRIGHTED WORK IN THE
BEGINNING OF THE INTERROGATORY, THEN FACTOR FOUR, WHICH IS
CRITICAL FOR THE WORK DEFINITION, BECAUSE AGAIN, IT TALKS ABOUT
THE EFFECT OF THE USE UPON THE POTENTIAL MARKET FOR OR VALUE OF
THE COPYRIGHTED WORK, CISCO BEGINS ITS ANSWER, THE COPYRIGHTED

1 10:08AM 2 10:08AM 3 10:08AM 10:08AM 10:08AM 6 10:08AM 10:08AM 8 10:08AM 9 10:08AM 10:08AM 10 10:09AM 11 10:09AM 12 13 10:09AM 14 10:09AM 10:09AM 15 16 10:09AM 17 10:09AM 18 10:09AM 10:09AM 19 20 10:09AM 21 10:09AM 10:09AM 22 10:09AM 23 24 10:09AM 10:10AM 25

WORKS AT ISSUE-CISCO'S OPERATING SYSTEMS AND ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTS ALLOW THE SWITCHES AND ROUTERS TO WORK.

OKAY. AND THEN JUST TO CLARIFY THAT THE COPYRIGHTED WORK
IS NOT THE INTERFACE, IT SAYS, THE COMMAND LINE INTERFACES THAT
ARE THE HEART AND SOUL OF THE OPERATING SYSTEMS.

IN OTHER WORDS, IT'S CLARIFYING THE COMMAND LINE INTERFACES

ARE NOT THE WORK, THEY ARE A PART OF THE WORK. THEY CAN, OF

COURSE, TELL THE JURY THAT THEY ARE THE HEART AND SOUL AND WE

WILL DISAGREE. BUT THE HEART AND SOUL IS NOT THE WHOLE THING,

THE HEART AND SOUL CAN'T LIVE BY ITSELF.

SO AGAIN, THIS IS AT THE VERY CLOSE OF DISCOVERY, HOW

ARISTA WOULD HAVE THEN SOMEHOW DETERMINED OR PREPARED EXPERT

REPORTS WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT THE ASSERTED WORK FOR

PURPOSES OF FAIR USE MARKET HARM WAS ONLY THE USER INTERFACE,

IT'S JUST NOT, IT'S JUST NOT DISCLOSED HERE, YOUR HONOR.

AND TO YOUR CONCERN ABOUT, YOUR CONCERN ABOUT WHAT DOES
THIS MEAN FOR THE CASE, FIRST OF ALL, OF COURSE CLEARLY THEY
WERE ENTITLED, WE ARE NOT SAYING THEY WEREN'T ENTITLED TO TRY
TO DEFINE THE WORK MORE NARROWLY AND WE COULD HAVE THE LEGAL
ARGUMENT ABOUT WHETHER THAT'S RECOGNIZED UNDER THE LAW. AND I
THINK MR. KWUN HAS SOME STRONG POINTS TO MAKE, I DON'T THINK
THE CASE LAW REALLY SUPPORTS IT.

BUT THAT'S A LEGAL ARGUMENT WE WOULD HAVE HAD BASED UPON A DISCLOSURE THAT SHOULD HAVE HAPPENED LONG AGO. IT'S NOT A LEGAL ARGUMENT THAT, IT'S NOT SOMETHING THAT THEY WERE

1 10:10AM 2 10:10AM 3 10:10AM 10:10AM 4 10:10AM 10:10AM 10:10AM 7 8 10:10AM 10:10AM 9 10:10AM 10 10:10AM 11 10:10AM 12 10:10AM 13 10:10AM 14 10:10AM 15 10:10AM 16 17 10:10AM 18 10:10AM 10:11AM 19 20 10:11AM 21 10:11AM 10:11AM 22 10:11AM 23 10:11AM 24 10:11AM 25

PROHIBITED FROM DISCLOSING EARLIER IN THE CASE.

SECOND -- HERE WE ARE. FINE, THEY DIDN'T DISCLOSE IT, WE FEEL STRONGLY ABOUT THAT. JUST BECAUSE THE CASE IS ABOUT THE OPERATING SYSTEM DOESN'T MEAN THEY CAN'T ARGUE THAT IT'S THE HEART AND SOUL.

THAT'S BEEN THEIR CASE ALL ALONG IS THAT EVEN THOUGH THE WHOLE OPERATING SYSTEM IS THE WORK, THE CLI IS THE HEART AND SOUL.

THAT'S A FINE ISSUE FOR THE JURY. THEY CAN MAKE ALL OF THE ARGUMENTS THEY WANT ABOUT IT, I'M SURE THEY THINK THEY'VE GOT SOME GREAT EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT THAT THEORY.

SO IT'S CERTAINLY NOT THE CASE THAT THERE'S NOTHING FOR THE JURY TO THINK ABOUT BASED UPON THE THEORY THAT WE'VE PRESENTED ALL ALONG.

THE COURT: WELL, I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT,
WELL, UNDER EITHER DEFINITION OF THE WORK, BECAUSE THESE ARE
STILL ENORMOUS SCOPE INTO ALL OF THESE WORKS, THAT A JURY IS
NOT IMPROPERLY GIVEN THE IMPRESSION THAT THEY JUST NEED TO
COMPARE THE LITTLE DROP OF THE COPIED MATERIAL, EVEN ASSUMING
EVERYTHING THAT'S ALLEGED TO HAVE BEEN COPIED OR DETERMINED TO
HAVE BEEN COPIED WAS THE VAST QUANTITY OF THE WORK, BECAUSE
THAT WOULD MAKE THIS RIDICULOUS, I DON'T NEED A TRIAL ON THAT
ISSUE, YOU SHOULD BE SETTLING IT. YOU SHOULD HAVE SETTLED IT
TWO YEARS AGO IF THAT'S ALL IT WAS.

SO I'M CONCERNED THAT THERE SEEMS TO BE PLENTY ON BOTH

10:11AM	1	SIDES HERE, THESE ARE SOME SPECIFIC THINGS I DO CERTAINLY WANT
10:11AM	2	TO HEAR FROM CISCO ON.
10:11AM	3	MR. FERRALL: WELL, AS I SAID, YOUR HONOR, I THINK
10:11AM	4	THE THEORY THAT WE HAVE BEEN LITIGATING ALL ALONG, AND THE
10:11AM	5	EXPERT REPORTS ARE REPLETE WITH THIS, CISCO SAYS THE USER
10:11AM	6	INTERFACE MAY NOT BE ALL OF THE LINES OR CODE, BUT THAT'S
10:11AM	7	IRRELEVANT BECAUSE IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT.
10:11AM	8	AND THEY ARE GOING TO CITE TO LOTS OF DOCUMENTS, AND THEIR
10:11AM	9	EXPERT IS GOING TO SAY IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT, AND THEY ARE
10:12AM	10	GOING TO SAY THAT ARISTA ADMITS THAT IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT, AND
10:12AM	11	WE ARE GOING TO SAY WELL ACTUALLY, WE DIDN'T REALLY SAY.
10:12AM	12	SO THAT'S A GREAT FACTUAL BATTLE FOR TRIAL. IT'S
10:12AM	13	CERTAINLY THAT'S THE WAY ALL OF THE EXPERT REPORTS HAVE BEEN
10:12AM	14	PREPARED IN THIS CASE, INCLUDING DR. BLACK, WE SAW THE QUOTE
10:12AM	15	ABOUT I MEAN, DR. BLACK, ABSOLUTELY HAS ANALYZED ALL OF THE
10:12AM	16	NON-ASSERTED ASPECTS OF ARISTA'S OPERATING SYSTEM TO EXPLAIN
10:12AM	17	TRANSFORMATIVE USE. HIS OPINION IS ALL ABOUT
10:12AM	18	THE COURT: I'M NOT CONCERNED ABOUT ARISTA'S WORKS,
10:12AM	19	I'M CONCERNED ABOUT CISCO'S WORKS HERE.
10:12AM	20	MR. FERRALL: RIGHT.
10:12AM	21	AND WELL, TO COMPARE THE WAY THE WORKS PERFORM AS A WHOLE,
10:12AM	22	THEY'RE COMPLETELY DIFFERENT IN MANY WAYS. AND WHAT DR. BLACK
10:12AM	23	IS DOING IS HE'S TALKING ABOUT THE WAY ARISTA'S WORKS ARE
10:12AM	24	DIFFERENT AND TRANSFORMATIVE.
10:12AM	25	BUT BEYOND THE USER INTERFACE, FOR SURE. THAT'S THE WAY

1 10:12AM 2 10:13AM 3 10:13AM 10:13AM 10:13AM 10:13AM 10:13AM 7 8 10:13AM 10:13AM 9 10:13AM 10 10:13AM 11 10:13AM 12 10:13AM 13 10:13AM 14 10:13AM 15 10:13AM 16 17 10:13AM 18 10:14AM 10:14AM 19 20 10:14AM 21 10:14AM 10:14AM 22 10:14AM 23 10:14AM 24 10:14AM 25

THIS CASE HAS BEEN LITIGATED FROM THE BEGINNING.

SO I THINK TO THE CONTRARY, TO FOCUS THIS CASE NOW TO SAY
THAT ALL OF THE WORK THAT WE HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT, ALL OF
THE DAMAGE REPORTS BASED UPON HARM TO LOST SALES OF PRODUCTS
RUNNING THE ENTIRE IOS OR ENTIRE NX-OS, THAT SUDDENLY ALL OF
THAT IS NOT RELEVANT, I'M NOT SURE HOW WE TRY THE CASE,
FRANKLY.

THE COURT: WELL, I'M SURE YOU ARE COMPLETELY READY
TO TRY THE CASE THAT WAY.

YOU KNOW -- BUT SO, IN OTHER CASES WHERE THE ALLEGATION IS
THAT THE SOURCE CODE HAS BEEN COPIED, THEN YOU ARE SUGGESTING
THAT THEN THE OPERATING SYSTEM STILL IS THE WORK WITH ALL THE
ENORMITY OF ALL THE IRRELEVANT INTERFACE? BECAUSE ALL OF THESE
PROGRAMS HAVE INTERFACE AND SOURCE CODE.

MR. FERRALL: WELL, YES, ALTHOUGH, LOOK, OBVIOUSLY
EVERY CASE IS DIFFERENT. BUT IN THE CASE WHERE THE SOURCE CODE
IS TRULY COPIED, THEN THE INTERFACE INEVITABLY IS GOING TO BE
THE SAME BECAUSE THE INTERFACE EMERGES FROM THE SOURCE CODE.
BUT IN ANY EVENT, IT'S UP TO THE PLAINTIFF TO DEFINE THEIR CASE
HOW THEY WANT TO.

THE COURT: I GUESS WHAT I HAVE IS A COMPLAINT THAT

SEEMS TO WALK THROUGH SOME PRETTY GOOD DEFINITION OF THE WORK

IN QUESTION AS BEING THE USER INTERFACE. WHAT YOU ARE SHOWING

ME HERE ARE SOME RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES AND SOME, AND

THEORIES OF DAMAGES THAT MIGHT PERSUADE ME THAT THE DISCLOSURES

10:14AM	1	WENT THE OTHER WAY.
10:14AM	2	MR. FERRALL: WELL, THE COMPLAINT ALLEGES COPYING OF
10:14AM	3	THE USER INTERFACE FOR SURE.
10:14AM	4	THE COURT: YES.
10:14AM	5	MR. FERRALL: BUT AGAIN, LET'S GO BACK A COUPLE OF
10:14AM	6	SLIDES, ONE SLIDE, THIS IS THE IOS COPYRIGHTED WORK. THE
10:14AM	7	DEFINITION AT THE BOTTOM OF PARAGRAPH 25 FROM THE SECOND
10:14AM	8	AMENDED COMPLAINT. THIS LIST OF OPERATING SYSTEMS IS THE IOS
10:15AM	9	COPYRIGHTED WORKS.
10:15AM	10	NOW, THERE'S I DON'T THINK THE WORD USER INTERFACE OR
10:15AM	11	EVEN CLI IS EVEN IN THIS PARAGRAPH.
10:15AM	12	SO AGAIN, WE'VE GOT TO KEEP CLEAR, IT'S ONE THING TO SAY
10:15AM	13	WHAT WAS COPIED WAS THE CLI, BUT WHEN IT COMES TO WHAT IS
10:15AM	14	DEFINED AS THE WORKS, THERE'S JUST NEITHER IN THE COMPLAINT NOR
10:15AM	15	IN INTERROGATORIES IS THERE SOMETHING THAT ANY THAT WE COULD
10:15AM	16	HAVE POSSIBLY HAVE GOTTEN NOTICE OF THAT THIS WAS GOING TO
10:15AM	17	BE THE USER INTERFACE ALONE WAS GOING TO BE THE WORK.
10:15AM	18	SO WITH THAT, YOUR HONOR, I'M OBVIOUSLY HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY
10:15AM	19	FURTHER QUESTIONS, OTHERWISE I WOULD LIKE TO TURN IT OVER TO
10:15AM	20	MR. KWUN TO TALK ABOUT THE LEGAL QUESTIONS A LITTLE BIT.
10:15AM	21	THE COURT: OKAY. MR. KWUN.
10:15AM	22	MR. PAK, I THINK I WILL LET YOU COME BACK ON ALL OF THIS AT
10:16AM	23	ONCE.
10:16AM	24	MR. PAK: WONDERFUL. THANK YOU.
10:16AM	25	MR. KWUN: YOUR HONOR, I DO WANT TO GET INTO THE

10:16AM	1	QUESTION OF WHETHER OR NOT THERE IS A SEPARATE WORK, BUT BEFORE
10:16AM	2	I GET THERE, YOU HAD ASKED A QUESTION EARLIER ABOUT WHETHER OR
10:16AM	3	NOT THERE'S A DE MINIMUS FREE-STANDING DE MINIMUS DEFENSE.
10:16AM	4	SO I DID WANT TO CALL YOUR ATTENTION TO THE ORACLE CASE.
10:16AM	5	AND IN 2012 WHEN THE PRIMA FACIE INFRINGEMENT CASE WAS TRIED,
10:16AM	6	THERE WAS A DE MINIMUS DEFENSE THAT WAS, THAT THE JURY WAS
10:16AM	7	INSTRUCTED ON. AND ON APPEAL, ORACLE CHALLENGED WHETHER THERE
10:16AM	8	WAS A FREESTANDING DE MINIMUS DEFENSE. AND THE COURT DID NOT
10:16AM	9	HOLD THAT THERE WAS NO FREESTANDING DE MINIMUS DEFENSE.
10:16AM	10	THE COURT: AS AN AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, MR. KWUN?
10:16AM	11	MR. KWUN: AS AN AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE. I HAVE TO
10:16AM	12	ACTUALLY LOOK AND SEE EXACTLY HOW THAT WAS FRAMED.
10:16AM	13	THE COURT: IT'S ONE OF THOSE TRICKY ISSUES THAT
10:17AM	14	EXIST IN MANY AREAS OF THE LAW AS TOO WHETHER IT'S SIMPLY A
10:17AM	15	DEFENSE THAT NEGATES AN ESSENTIAL ELEMENT.
10:17AM	16	MR. KWUN: I THINK THAT YOU ARE ACTUALLY CORRECT THAT
10:17AM	17	IT PROBABLY IS FRAMED AS WHETHER OR NOT THERE IS A PRIMA FACIE
10:17AM	18	CASE, NOT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE PRIMA FACIA CASE.
10:17AM	19	BUT I ACTUALLY HAVE, I DON'T HAVE THE ACTUAL DEFENSE CHARGE
10:17AM	20	BEFORE ME, BUT I DO HAVE FROM THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT OPINION, AT
10:17AM	21	750 F.3D PAGE 1351, JUST A SHORT QUOTATION FROM THE FEDERAL
10:17AM	22	CIRCUIT ABOUT WHAT GOOGLE'S ALLEGATIONS WERE WITH REGARD TO THE
10:17AM	23	DE MINIMUS DEFENSE AND WITH RESPECT TO A CERTAIN PART OF THE
10:17AM	24	INFRINGEMENT CASE.
10:17AM	25	AND THEY QUOTE, JUDGE ALSUP'S FINAL CHARGE AS SAYING: WITH

10:17AM	1	RESPECT TO THE INFRINGEMENT ISSUES CONCERNING THE RANGE CHECK
10:17AM	2	AND OTHER SIMILAR FILES, GOOGLE AGREES THAT THE ACCUSED LINES
10:17AM	3	OF CODE AND COMMENTS CAME FROM THE COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL, BUT
10:17AM	4	CONTENDS THAT THE AMOUNTS INVOLVED WERE SO NEGLIGIBLE AS TO BE
10:17AM	5	DE MINIMUS AND THUS SHOULD BE EXCUSED.
10:18AM	6	AND TO ANOTHER QUESTION YOU HAD ABOUT THE DE MINIMUS
10:18AM	7	DEFENSE, THERE ARE TWO ASPECTS TO A DE MINIMUS DEFENSE IN THE
10:18AM	8	NINTH CIRCUIT, ACTUALLY ANYWHERE, BUT CERTAINLY IN THE
10:18AM	9	NINTH CIRCUIT, AND THAT'S BOTH A QUALITATIVE AND A QUANTITATIVE
10:18AM	10	ASPECT.
10:18AM	11	AND FOR THE DEFENSE TO SUCCEED, THE DEFENSE HAS TO PROVE A
10:18AM	12	LACK OF QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE IMPORTANCE.
10:18AM	13	so
10:18AM	14	THE COURT: WHICH IS EXACTLY THE SAME AS IN THE FAIR
10:18AM	15	USE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE.
10:18AM	16	MR. KWUN: BOTH OF THOSE ASPECTS COME INTO FACTOR 3,
10:18AM	17	THEY ARE IT IS A DIFFERENT QUESTION BECAUSE YOU WOULD NEVER
10:18AM	18	REACH THE ISSUE OF FAIR USE IF THERE WAS A DE MINIMUS DEFENSE.
10:18AM	19	THE COURT: CLEARLY. I UNDERSTAND THAT.
10:18AM	20	MR. KWUN: THE THRESHOLD IS DIFFERENT.
10:18AM	21	THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND THAT PERFECTLY. BUT
10:18AM	22	MR. KWUN: SO WHAT I WOULD SAY IT'S PART OF THE PRIMA
10:18AM	23	FACIE INFRINGEMENT CASE, AND THEN IF YOU GET PAST THAT, THERE'S
10:18AM	24	A SECOND QUESTION OF NOT IS IT DE MINIMUS, BUT IS IT
10:18AM	25	SUBSTANTIAL.

10:18AM	1	AND I KNOW, AND WE HAVE THAT WORD SUBSTANTIAL OR
10:18AM	2	SUBSTANTIALLY COMING UP ALL OVER THE PLACE.
10:19AM	3	THE COURT: DIFFERENT SIDE OF THE SAME COIN HERE.
10:19AM	4	MR. KWUN: I AGREE WITH YOUR HONOR THAT SOMEBODY
10:19AM	5	WOULD DO WELL TO RESTATE WHAT THESE DOCTRINES ARE TO USE MORE
10:19AM	6	DIFFERENT WORDS, BUT WE ARE KIND OF STUCK WITH THAT.
10:19AM	7	THE COURT: AND THERE ARE ONLY A FEW WORDS THAT CAN
10:19AM	8	BE USED.
10:19AM	9	MR. KWUN: SO LET ME TURN TO THIS QUESTION OF WHETHER
10:19AM	10	OR NOT THERE IS A SEPARATE WORK WHICH IS THE USER INTERFACE.
10:19AM	11	AND CISCO HAS CITED A NUMBER OF CASES, BUT I THINK WE WOULD
10:19AM	12	ALL AGREE THAT REALLY IT BOILS DOWN TO THE CITATION OF A SINGLE
10:19AM	13	CASE BECAUSE ALL BUT ONE OF THOSE CASES THEMSELVES RELY ON THE
10:19AM	14	MANUFACTURER TECHNOLOGIES V. CAMS CASE.
10:19AM	15	SO THEN THE QUESTION IS, WHAT WAS THE DISTRICT COURT IN
10:19AM	16	CONNECTICUT, ITSELF, RELYING ON? AND IN THAT DECISION, THE
10:19AM	17	COURT WAS ADDRESSING THE ISSUE OF REGISTRATION OF A COMPUTER
10:19AM	18	PROGRAM, AND WHAT PROTECTION THE DISPLAYS CREATED BY THAT
10:19AM	19	PROGRAM GET.
10:19AM	20	AND IS THE 706 F.SUPP AT 991, THE COURT MENTIONS A QUOTE,
10:20AM	21	RECENT DECISION OF THE COPYRIGHT OFFICE. AND THAT RECENT
10:20AM	22	DECISION SAID THAT A SINGLE REGISTRATION OF A COMPUTER PROGRAM
10:20AM	23	EXTENDS PROTECTION TO SCREEN DISPLAYS THAT CONTAIN ORIGINAL
10:20AM	24	EXPRESSION.
10:20AM	25	SO THAT RECENT DECISION WAS A 1988 DECISION FROM THE

10:20AM	1	COPYRIGHT OFFICE. JUNE 1988 DECISION OF THE COPYRIGHT OFFICE.
10:20AM	2	AND I HAVE A COPY OF IT HERE. WE PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED IT AT
10:20AM	3	ECF 635-4.
10:20AM	4	BUT I HAVE A COPY OF IT WHICH I CAN HAND UP HERE. I'VE HAD
10:20AM	5	SOME I'VE TAKEN THE LIBERTY OF HIGHLIGHTING A FEW POINTS ON
10:20AM	6	IT. BUT I WANT TO START WITH THE SUMMARY ON THE FRONT ON THE
10:20AM	7	FIRST PAGE, FIRST COLUMN.
10:20AM	8	AND THE SUMMARY SAYS THAT THE COPYRIGHT OFFICE OF THE
10:20AM	9	LIBRARY OF CONGRESS HAS DETERMINED THAT ALL COPYRIGHTABLE
10:21AM	10	EXPRESSION OWNED BY THE SAME CLAIMANT AND EMBODIED IN A
10:21AM	11	COMPUTER PROGRAM IS CONSIDERED A SINGLE WORK AND SHOULD BE
10:21AM	12	REGISTERED ON A SINGLE APPLICATION FORM.
10:21AM	13	AND I HAVE AN EXCERPT OF THAT UP ON THE SCREEN AS WELL.
10:21AM	14	BUT THERE REALLY ARE TWO POINTS THAT THAT SUMMARY GETS AT.
10:21AM	15	ONE IS THERE WAS AN ONGOING QUESTION OF WHETHER OR NOT THE
10:21AM	16	REGISTRATION OF THE SOURCE CODE DID ANYTHING TO GRANT
10:21AM	17	PROTECTION FOR THE SCREEN DISPLAYS.
10:21AM	18	AND HERE THEY ARE SAYING YES, WHEN YOU FILE THAT SINGLE
10:21AM	19	REGISTRATION, IF THOSE SCREEN DISPLAYS ARE POTENTIALLY
10:21AM	20	PROTECTED BY COPYRIGHT, YOU HAVE TO REGISTER.
10:21AM	21	THERE'S A SECOND QUESTION THOUGH WHICH IS, HOW MANY WORKS
10:21AM	22	HAVE YOU REGISTERED?
10:21AM	23	AND WHAT WE HAVE HEARD FROM CISCO IS THAT BY FILING ONE
10:21AM	24	REGISTRATION THEY HAVE CREATED WHAT THE DISTRICT COURT CALLED
10:21AM	25	THE LEGAL FICTION OF TWO REGISTRATIONS.

1 10:21AM 2 10:21AM 3 10:22AM 10:22AM 4 10:22AM 6 10:22AM 10:22AM 7 8 10:22AM 10:22AM 9 10:22AM 10 10:22AM 11 10:22AM 12 10:22AM 13 10:22AM 14 10:22AM 15 10:22AM 16 17 10:22AM 18 10:23AM 10:23AM 19 10:23AM 20 10:23AM 21 10:23AM 22 10:23AM 23 10:23AM 24 10:23AM 25

BUT HERE THE 1988 COPYRIGHT DECISION THAT THE COURT WAS RELYING ON SAYS IT'S CONSIDERED A SINGLE WORK.

AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE COPYRIGHT OFFICE'S REASONING, IT

ACTUALLY BEARS THIS OUT. AND ON PAGE 3 OF THE DECISION THAT I

HANDED UP IN COLUMN 1, PAGE 3 THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT THE FACT

THAT THEY HEARD FROM A NUMBER OF INTERESTED WITNESSES ON THIS

ISSUE, WHO TOOK A VARIETY OF POSITIONS.

AND AT THE END OF THE THIRD FULL PARAGRAPH, WHICH I'VE
HIGHLIGHTED IN YELLOW IN THE HAND-UP, THEY SAY THAT ALL
WITNESSES AGREED THAT THE SAME SCREEN DISPLAYS CAN BE GENERATED
BY SUBSTANTIALLY DIFFERENT COMPUTER PROGRAM CODE.

SO THIS WAS THE ISSUE THAT ULTIMATELY CAUSED THE DISTRICT COURT TO SAY, YOU KNOW, WE REALLY NEED TO CONSIDER THESE TO BE SEPARATE WORKS BECAUSE THE SAME SCREEN DISPLAYS CAN BE CREATED BY DIFFERENT COMPUTER CODE.

THAT WAS BEFORE THE COPYRIGHT OFFICE, ALL THE PEOPLE WHO HAD DISPUTES OVER WHAT THEY SHOULD DO ALL AGREED THAT THAT WAS TRUE.

AND THE COPYRIGHT OFFICE THEN SAID, EVEN ACCEPTING THAT THE NATURE OF AUTHOR SHIP IN SCREENS MAY BE DIFFERENT FROM COMPUTER PROGRAM CODE AUTHOR SHIP THIS DOESN'T ALTER THE FACT THAT THE COMPUTER PROGRAM CODE AND SCREEN DISPLAYS ARE INTEGRALLY RELATED AND ORDINARILY FORM A SINGLE WORK.

NOW IT DOES SAY ORDINARILY AND I WILL GET TO A LITTLE LATER
WHAT THAT MEANS AND HOW IT COULD POSSIBLY BE DIFFERENT AND WHY

10:23AM	1	WE HAVE A FAILURE OF PROOF ON CISCO'S PART TO SHOW THAT IT
10:23AM	2	ACTUALLY IS DIFFERENT.
10:23AM	3	AND THEY GO ON TO SAY RIGHT AFTER THAT, BACK IN YELLOW ON
10:23AM	4	THE HIGHLIGHTED COPY, INDEED THOSE COMMENTATORS WHO FAVOR
10:23AM	5	EITHER SINGLE OR SEPARATE REGISTRATION MUST CONCEDE THAT THE
10:23AM	6	PROGRAM CODE AND SCREENS ARE CONCEPTUALLY A SINGLE WORK.
10:23AM	7	SO THE COPYRIGHT'S OFFICE ON POSITION IS PRETTY CLEAR AND
10:23AM	8	FRANKLY IT'S A LITTLE CONFUSING HOW WE ENDED UP WHERE WE ARE ON
10:24AM	9	THE DISTRICT COURT'S DECISION.
10:24AM	10	THE COURT: BUT THE DISTRICT COURT'S DECISION TAKES A
10:24AM	11	VERY DIFFERENT VIEW OF THAT LANGUAGE.
10:24AM	12	MR. KWUN: WELL, YOUR HONOR, THE DISTRICT COURT DOES
10:24AM	13	NOT ACTUALLY CITE OR QUOTE THE SINGLE WORK.
10:24AM	14	THE COURT: NO, IT DOESN'T.
10:24AM	15	MR. KWUN: SO THE ONLY THING I CAN THINK IS THAT
10:24AM	16	MAYBE IT MISSED IT.
10:24AM	17	THE KEY ISSUE THAT WAS IN DISPUTE BEFORE THE DISTRICT COURT
10:24AM	18	WAS LESS THE ARE THERE TWO SEPARATE WORKS POINT AND MORE THE,
10:24AM	19	DID THE REGISTRATION OF THE SOURCE CODE ACTUALLY ACCOMPLISH
10:24AM	20	ANYTHING FOR THE SCREEN DISPLAYS.
10:24AM	21	SO
10:24AM	22	THE COURT: SO THAT IS ANSWERED BY THIS SO IF YOU
10:24AM	23	REGISTER YOUR SOURCE CODE, YOU ALSO ARE PROTECTING YOUR USER
10:24AM	24	INTERFACE, THAT'S WHAT THIS WOULD SAY.
10:24AM	25	MR. KWUN: THAT IS ONE THING IT SAYS. IT SAYS YOU

10:24AM	1	ARE PROTECTING THE USER INTERFACE INSOFAR AS IT'S PROTECTABLE.
	_	
10:24AM	2	THE COURT: AND YOU DON'T NEED TO REGISTER YOUR
10:24AM	3	SCREEN SHOTS SEPARATELY.
10:24AM	4	MR. KWUN: THAT'S RIGHT. AS A MATTER OF FACT, THEY
10:24AM	5	WOULD RATHER YOU DON'T.
10:24AM	6	THE COURT: THAT'S RIGHT.
10:24AM	7	SO I GUESS I'M JUST CONCERNED THAT MAY BE AS FAR AS THE
10:24AM	8	COPYRIGHT OFFICE WAS GOING. WHAT DOES AN OWNER NEED TO DO TO
10:25AM	9	PROTECT BOTH THEIR SOURCE CODE AND THEIR USER INTERFACE AND THE
10:25AM	10	COPYRIGHT OFFICE SAYS, FILE IT ONCE, YOU GET PROTECTION ON ALL
10:25AM	11	OF IT.
10:25AM	12	MR. KWUN: YOU GET PROTECTION ON ALL OF IT AS A
10:25AM	13	SINGLE WORK. REPEATED THREE TIMES.
10:25AM	14	THE COURT: SO THEN THAT BEGS THE QUESTION OF ARE
10:25AM	15	THEY USING THE WORD WORK THE SAME AS WE DO HERE IN COURT TO
10:25AM	16	LITIGATE THE ISSUE, AND HOW DO I KNOW.
10:25AM	17	MR. KWUN: SO FOR THAT, LET'S ACTUALLY TURN TO WHAT
10:25AM	18	THE NINTH CIRCUIT'S TEST IS FOR WHEN YOU CAN HAVE A SEPARATE
10:25AM	19	WORK. SO THIS GOES TO THE POINT OF ORDINARILY, I THINK.
10:25AM	20	THE COURT: AND WHERE DO YOU HAVE A SLIDE?
10:25AM	21	MR. KWUN: I'M GOING TO BACK TO THE SLIDES. I WILL
10:25AM	22	GO STRAIGHT TO SLIDE 31.
10:25AM	23	ACTUALLY, LET ME SEE HERE, I AM GOING TO GO TO SLIDE, MAYBE
10:25AM	24	32.
10:26AM	25	THE COURT: THE ECONOMIC VALUE ISSUE?

	-	
10:26AM	1	MR. KWUN: YEAH.
10:26AM	2	MR. VAN NEST: IT'S UP.
10:26AM	3	MR. KWUN: YES, HERE WE ARE, YES.
10:26AM	4	THIS IS FROM THE MONGE V. MAYA CASE IN THE NINTH CIRCUIT,
10:26AM	5	AND THE ISSUE THERE WAS WHETHER OR NOT THERE'S 400 WEDDING
10:26AM	6	PHOTOS AND WHETHER OR NOT THEY SHOULD BE TREATED AS A SEPARATE
10:26AM	7	WORK OR AS 400 SEPARATE WORKS.
10:26AM	8	THE NINTH CIRCUIT SAID THAT EACH PHOTO IS A SEPARATE WORK
10:26AM	9	AND WHY, BECAUSE IT CAN LIVE ITS OWN COPYRIGHT LIFE AND HAS
10:26AM	10	INDEPENDENT ECONOMIC VALUE AND IS IN ITSELF VIABLE.
10:26AM	11	SO THIS WAS THE ISSUE THAT THEY WERE CONFRONTING WAS WHAT
10:26AM	12	TO DO ON FACTOR THREE OF FAIR USE.
10:26AM	13	AND CISCO'S RESPONSE IN THE BRIEFING ON A WORK WAS TO SAY
10:26AM	14	WELL THAT'S A FAIR USE FACTOR 3 CASE, THAT'S A DIFFERENT ISSUE.
10:26AM	15	BUT WHAT YOU HEARD MR. PAK SAY UP HERE TODAY IS HE ACCUSED
10:26AM	16	ARISTA OF TAKING INCONSISTENT POSITIONS OF WHAT WORK A WORK
10:27AM	17	IS, AND SAID THAT WE NEED TO HAVE A CONSISTENT DEFINITION OF
10:27AM	18	THE WORK.
10:27AM	19	THIS TELLS US WHAT THE DEFINITION OF THE WORK IS FOR FAIR
10:27AM	20	USE FACTOR THREE. WE CAN'T HAVE A DIFFERENT DEFINITION OF A
10:27AM	21	WORK FOR THE PRIMA FACIE CASE, THE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE IS, OF
10:27AM	22	COURSE, AN AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE PRIMA FACIE CASE.
10:27AM	23	THE COURT: SURE, SURE.
10:27AM	24	MR. KWUN: AND INDEED, THE THIRD FAIR USE FACTOR IS
10:27AM	25	THE AMOUNT AND SUBSTANTIALITY OF THE PORTION USED IN RELATION

10:27AM	1	TO THE COPYRIGHTED WORK AS A WHOLE.
10:27AM	2	THE COURT: SO LET ME JUST I HAVE THOUGHT ABOUT
10:27AM	3	THIS, BECAUSE YOU BRIEFED THIS ISSUE FOR ME IN YOUR BRIEF ON
10:27AM	4	THIS ISSUE.
10:27AM	5	IF I'M UNDERSTANDING IT CORRECTLY, THE USER INTERFACE IS
10:27AM	6	ONLY THE REFLECTION IN ENGLISH OR READABLE FORM OF THE
10:27AM	7	UNDERLYING CODE, IT DOESN'T LIVE ON ITS OWN. IT CAN'T BE
10:27AM	8	GENERATED.
10:27AM	9	MR. KWUN: CORRECT.
10:27AM	10	THE COURT: IT CAN'T BE GENERATED ON ITS OWN, IT
10:28AM	11	NEEDS CODE TO EXIST.
10:28AM	12	MR. KWUN: NOT ONLY DOES IT NEED CODE TO EXIST, BUT
10:28AM	13	IT'S A PICTURE OF SOME OF THE CODE. AND IT HAS NO REAL
10:28AM	14	PURPOSE.
10:28AM	15	IT'S KIND OF LIKE IF YOU HAVE A STEREO RECEIVER, AND YOU
10:28AM	16	LOOK AT THAT FRONT PANEL, AND IT'S GOT SOME KNOBS ON IT, THOSE
10:28AM	17	KNOBS ARE THE USER INTERFACE TO THAT STEREO RECEIVER.
10:28AM	18	AND IF YOU TRY TO RIP THE FRONT PANEL OFF OF THE STEREO,
10:28AM	19	AND ALL THE WIRES THAT CONNECT TO THE REST OF THE RECEIVER ARE
10:28AM	20	GONE, YOU NO LONGER HAVE ANYTHING THAT HAS INDEPENDENT ECONOMIC
10:28AM	21	VALUE. YOU HAVE A BROKEN PIECE OF METAL.
10:28AM	22	AND THAT BROKEN PIECE OF METAL IS NOT ACTUALLY A REFLECTION
10:28AM	23	OF THE ENTIRE STEREO RECEIVER, THERE'S A WHOLE LOT OF STUFF
10:28AM	24	BACK THERE THAT IS NOT REFLECTED IN THAT FRONT PANEL.
10:28AM	25	THE FRONT PANEL IS A WAY FOR THE USER TO CONTROL THE

1 10:28AM 2 10:28AM NO WAY OF LIVING SEPARATELY. 3 10:28AM 10:28AM 10:28AM 10:28AM 10:29AM 7 8 IOS. 10:29AM 10:29AM 9 10:29AM 10 10:29AM 11 A PART. 10:29AM 12 10:29AM 13 10:29AM 14 10:29AM 15 16 10:29AM 17 10:29AM 18 INDEPENDENT VALUE. 10:29AM 19 10:29AM 10:29AM 20 SEEMS SO ORDINARY, I'M SURPRISED. 21 10:30AM 10:30AM 22 10:30AM 23 10:30AM 24 10:30AM 25

PORTIONS OF IT THAT ARE CONTROLLABLE BY THE USER. BUT APPROXIMATELY SPEAKING, YES. SO I DO THINK THE POINT IS IT HAS AND INDEED, IF YOU LOOK AT WHAT CISCO HAS SAID THROUGHOUT THIS CASE, THAT'S WHAT THEY HAVE SAID. AND IF WE CAN GO TO

SLIDE 33, THIS IS FROM THE CISCO COMPLAINT, THE CISCO COMPLAINT AT PARAGRAPH 27, SAYS THE CLI IS A KEY COMPONENT OF CISCO'S

SO IT'S NOT THAT SOMETHING THAT HAS A SEPARATE LIFE HAS INDEPENDENT ECONOMIC VALUE THAT IS ITSELF VIABLE, RATHER IT IS

THE COURT: SO I'M STILL, BECAUSE I THINK YOUR LEGAL ARGUMENT IS REALLY ONE THAT I -- THAT IS IMPORTANT FOR ME, AND IT MAY BE RESINATING, THIS INDEPENDENT ECONOMIC VALUE ARGUMENT.

OBVIOUSLY THIS IS A NINTH CIRCUIT CASE, BUT IT HAS TO DO WITH PHOTOGRAPHS. THERE ARE OTHER CASES THAT HAVE TO DO WITH A SERIES OF, IT MAY BE CHAPTERS OR ESSAYS IN A BOOK THAT HAVE

THERE'S NOTHING IN THE NINTH CIRCUIT IN ANY DISTRICT COURT CASE THAT HAS DEALT WITH A COMPUTER PROGRAM ON THIS LEVEL, IT

MR. KWUN: WELL, YOUR HONOR, I WOULD SAY THAT IT'S A RATHER NOVEL PROPOSITION TO SAY THAT THIS INTERFACE WHICH IS REALLY NOT SEPARABLE IN ANY WAY, SHAPE OR FORM FROM THE REST OF THE WORK COULD SOMEHOW STAND ALONE. THAT'S WHY YOU HAVE THINGS

10:30AM	1
10:30AM	2
10:30AM	3
10:30AM	4
10:30AM	5
10:30AM	6
10:30AM	7
10:30AM	8
10:30AM	9
10:30AM	10
10:30AM	11
10:30AM	12
10:31AM	13
10:31AM	14
10:31AM	15
10:31AM	16
10:31AM	17
10:31AM	18
10:31AM	19
10:31AM	20
10:31AM	21
10:31AM	22
10:31AM	23
10:31AM	24
10:31AM	25

LIKE TELEVISION EPISODES.

THE COURT: SO LET ME ASK YOU THIS, YOU ARE TELLING
ME THAT DIFFERENT CODE CAN BE USED TO GENERATE THE IDENTICAL
USER INTERFACE, CORRECT?

MR. KWUN: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: SO ISN'T THAT ACTUALLY THE DEFINITION OF ITS SEPARATE ECONOMIC VALUE IS THAT IN ORDER FOR THESE -- TO SELL THE PRODUCT OR THE SWITCH, USING WHAT HAS BEEN KNOWN IN THE INDUSTRY, AND I'M GOING TO SAY BEFORE CISCO CAME ON TO THE SCENE WITH ITS IOS, BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO GET INVOLVED IN THE, IN ITS COPYRIGHTED WORKS, THAT IF A COMPANY, IT'S THE VALUE IS NOT IN THE CODE, THE VALUE IS IN THE USER INTERFACE.

SO THAT'S ACTUALLY WHERE THE VALUE IS, ANY CODE CAN BE WRITTEN, ANY CODE THAT WILL GENERATE THIS SCREEN IS WHAT THE VALUE IS. THE VALUE IS THE SCREEN SHOT.

MR. KWUN: SO I WOULD SAY THAT ALL OF THE USERS OF
THESE SWITCHES WOULD BE A LITTLE SURPRISED TO FIND OUT THAT THE
KNOBS ON THE FRONT ARE WHERE THE VALUE IS. THEY LIKE HAVING
KNOBS BUT THE VALUE IS HAVING A SWITCH THAT RUNS QUICKLY OR
WHATEVER.

THE COURT: WELL NO I'M ONLY TALKING WITH THE SOURCE CODE THAT GENERATES THE USER INTERFACE, THERE'S A LOT OF SOURCE CODE THAT'S DOING A LOT OF OTHER STUFF IN THIS IOS; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?

MR. KWUN: THAT'S TRUE, ALTHOUGH I DO ULTIMATELY WANT

1 10:31AM 2 10:31AM 3 10:31AM 10:31AM 10:31AM 10:31AM 10:32AM 7 10:32AM 8 10:32AM 9 10:32AM 10 10:32AM 11 10:32AM 12 10:32AM 13 10:32AM 14 10:32AM 15 10:32AM 16 17 10:32AM 18 10:32AM 10:32AM 19 20 10:32AM 10:32AM 21 10:32AM 22 10:32AM 23 10:32AM 24 10:32AM 25

TO POINT OUT THAT THE INTERFACE WE ARE TALKING ABOUT HERE IS DIFFERENT THAN THESE SCREEN DISPLAYS AND THINGS LIKE THAT.

THE SCREEN DISPLAYS GET SHOWN TO YOU ON THE SCREEN. YOU SEE THEM AND YOU CHOOSE FROM THEM, IT DIRECTS YOUR ACTION.

WHAT YOU SEE ON ONE OF THESE SWITCHES IS YOU SEE A BLANK LINE.

SO WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT -- WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT IS THE USER INTERFACE, IS ACTUALLY NOT WHAT IS SHOWN TO THE USER FOR THEM TO CHOOSE HOW TO DO SOMETHING. IT'S ACTUALLY WHAT THE USER TYPES IN.

THE USER CAN TYPE IN THINGS FROM WHAT WE ARE CALLING THE USER INTERFACE, AND THEN THE SWITCH WILL THEN UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU ARE SAYING. IT'S ACTUALLY ALMOST A MIRROR IMAGE OF THESE CLASSIC USER INTERFACES.

THE COURT: WHAT WAS DESCRIBED TO ME WAY BACK IS IT

IS THE SPECIAL COMMON LANGUAGE THAT ENGINEERS CAN USE TO OBTAIN

THE RESULT THEY ARE LOOKING FOR.

MR. KWUN: YES.

SO IT IS AN INTERFACE IN SOME SENSE, BUT I JUST WANTED TO,
SINCE WE HAVE ALL OF THESE CASES THAT ARE ABOUT SCREEN DISPLAYS
OR THE MACINTOSH USER INTERFACE, THAT IT IS A LITTLE BIT
DIFFERENT THAN WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT HERE.

BUT TO GET TO THIS POINT OF WHETHER OR NOT IT MATTERS THAT
YOU COULD CREATE THE SAME SCREEN DISPLAY OR SAME USER INTERFACE
USING DIFFERENT CODE, I WANT TO OFFER YOU THE EXAMPLE OF A
SITUATION WHERE SOMEONE WRITES A NOVEL IN ENGLISH AND THEN

10:33AM	1	SOMEBODY DECIDES I WANT TO CAPTURE THE ITALIAN MARKET AND THEY,
10:33AM	2	WITHOUT ANY AUTHORIZATION WHATSOEVER, TRANSLATE IT INTO
10:33AM	3	ITALIAN.
10:33AM	4	AND THE COPYRIGHTED WORK THAT IS ALLEGEDLY INFRINGED THAT
10:33AM	5	THAT CASE, WHEN INEVITABLY THEY BRING THE COPYRIGHT
10:33AM	6	INFRINGEMENT CASE, THE COPYRIGHTED WORK IS NOT SOME NEW
10:33AM	7	ABSTRACTION THAT THE PLAINTIFF GETS TO CREATE SAYING, WELL, I'M
10:33AM	8	NOT SAYING THAT THE BOOK I WROTE IS THE COPYRIGHTED WORK, I'M
10:33AM	9	INSTEAD SAYING THE COPYRIGHTED WORK ARE THE IDEAS THAT ARE
10:33AM	10	EXPRESSED OR THE CONCEPTS AND THE PLOT THAT IS EXPRESSED THERE.
10:33AM	11	THEY ARE STUCK, FOR BETTER OR WORSE, WITH THE COPYRIGHTED
10:33AM	12	WORK THEY ACTUALLY HAVE WHICH IS THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE NOVEL.
10:33AM	13	AND THE DEFENDANT IS FREE TO SAY, EVERY SINGLE ONE OF MY
10:33AM	14	WORDS IS DIFFERENT. IT'S NOT GOING TO BE A VERY GOOD ARGUMENT,
10:33AM	15	BUT THEY ARE FREE TO SAY THAT. THEY ARE FREE TO SAY THAT.
10:33AM	16	THE FACT THAT THE THING THAT WAS ACTUALLY COPIED IS NOT THE
10:33AM	17	LITERAL WORDS OF THE UNDERLYING SOURCE CODE IS, DOES NOT MEAN
10:34AM	18	THAT THE SOURCE CODE FOR THE ENTIRE OPERATING SYSTEM IS NOT THE
10:34AM	19	WORK. IT MEANS THAT THEY HAVE A DIFFERENT THEORY OF COPYING
10:34AM	20	THAN ONE MIGHT HAVE.
10:34AM	21	THE COURT: OR IT'S JUST A DIFFERENT WORK, IT'S JUST
10:34AM	22	THAT IT CAN BE AN ENTIRE WORK OF THE IOS, IT COULD BE THE
10:34AM	23	SOURCE CODE COULD BE A SEPARATE WORK OR THE USER INTERFACE.
10:34AM	24	THAT'S WHAT CISCO IS ARGUING.
10:34AM	25	I'M TRYING TO DETERMINE WHETHER I CAN SPLIT THEM, THAT'S

THE WHOLE ISSUE HERE. AND THIS SEPARATE ECONOMIC VALUE DOES 1 10:34AM SEEM TO BE A PREMISE THAT I NEED TO FOLLOW IN THE 2 10:34AM NINTH CIRCUIT. 10:34AM 10:34AM MR. KWUN: I THINK THE OTHER THING IS, YOU KNOW, YOU WERE GETTING AT THIS POINT OF, ISN'T IT CLEAR WHEN THEY SAY THE 10:34AM USER INTERFACE WHAT THE HECK THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT. 10:34AM 10:34AM 7 AND THE PROBLEM IS THAT THE WAY THESE PROGRAMS ARE WRITTEN, THE WAY THESE OPERATING SYSTEMS ARE WRITTEN, THERE'S THE PART 8 10:34AM 10:34AM 9 OF THE CODE THAT ACTUALLY DOES SOMETHING, THAT ACTUALLY CHANGES 10:34AM 10 THE SETTING ON A GIVEN PORT OR THAT CREATES A ROUTE BETWEEN TWO POINTS ON A NETWORK. AND THEN THERE'S THE INTERFACE THAT 10:34AM 11 10:35AM 12 UNDERSTANDS WHAT IT IS YOU WANT. 10:35AM 13 SO IT'S REALLY A TWO PART PROCESS. YOU, AS A NETWORK 10:35AM 14 ENGINEER, TELL THE SWITCH WHAT YOU WANT TO DO, AND STEP 1, THE 10:35AM 15 SWITCH HAS TO UNDERSTAND WHAT IT IS YOU WANT TO DO AND THEN IT HAS TO DO IT. 10:35AM 16 17 AND SO THE UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU WANT TO DO PART, THAT'S 10:35AM 18 SOMEWHERE APPROXIMATELY SPEAKING, WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT 10:35AM 10:35AM 19 HERE AS THE USER INTERFACE. 20 BUT THE PROBLEM IS THERE IS NOT A CLEAN DIVISION BETWEEN 10:35AM 21 WHEN YOU START DOING ONE PART -- STOP DOING ONE PART AND START 10:35AM 10:35AM 22 DOING THE NEXT. AND WE DON'T HAVE ANY SORT OF CLEAN DEFINITION 10:35AM 23 FROM THE PLAINTIFF DUE TO THE DISCOVERY ISSUES WE MENTIONED. 10:35AM 24 BUT MOREOVER, THE FACT THAT YOU CANNOT DIVIDE IT UP, YOU 10:35AM 25 CAN'T SAY THESE 14 FILES, THESE PRECISELY ARE THE USER

10:35AM	1	INTERFACE, EVEN IF WE ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT CODE COPYING, THAT
10:35AM	2	GOES TO THE FACT THAT THERE IS NOT A SEPARATE, A SEPARATE USER
10:35AM	3	INTERFACE THAT HAS INDEPENDENT ECONOMIC VALUE AND IS ITSELF
10:35AM	4	VIABLE.
10:35AM	5	THE USER INTERFACE IS FUNDAMENTALLY INTERTWINED WITH THE
10:36AM	6	REST OF THE OPERATING SYSTEM, AND IS FUNDAMENTALLY TIED TO IT.
10:36AM	7	IT CANNOT BE, UNDER THE NINTH CIRCUIT'S TEST IT CANNOT BE A
10:36AM	8	SEPARATE WORK.
10:36AM	9	I WOULD ALSO NOTE THAT IN ADDITION TO THE MONGE CASE WHICH
10:36AM	10	IS ABOUT FAIR USE FACTOR 3, THE MONGE CASE ITSELF RELIES ON AN
10:36AM	11	EARLIER NINTH CIRCUIT CASE <u>COLUMBIA PICTURES TELEVISION V.</u>
10:36AM	12	KRYPTON BROADCASTING OF BIRMINGHAM, AND THAT CASE WAS A
10:36AM	13	STATUTORY DAMAGES CASE.
10:36AM	14	MY POINT IS THAT THE NINTH CIRCUIT IS NOT USING A BUNCH OF
10:36AM	15	DIFFERENT TESTS FOR WHAT IS A SEPARATE WORK, THEY ARE RELYING
10:36AM	16	ON A SINGLE DEFINITION.
10:36AM	17	AND THERE HAS BEEN NO RESPONSE FROM CISCO OTHER THAN TO
10:36AM	18	SAY, WELL THE MONGE CASE WAS IRRELEVANT BECAUSE IT'S A FAIR USE
10:36AM	19	FACTOR 3 CASE.
10:36AM	20	THE COURT: AND SO YOU WOULD JUST SAY THAT ALL OF
10:36AM	21	THESE COURTS OUTSIDE OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT THAT HAVE RELIED ON
10:36AM	22	MANUFACTURERS TECH ARE JUST WRONG.
10:36AM	23	MR. KWUN: WELL, YES, BUT I WOULD ALSO SAY THAT MOST
10:37AM	24	OF THEM ACTUALLY WEREN'T CONFRONTING THIS QUESTION.
10:37AM	25	SO I DO THINK THAT THE MANUFACTURERS TECHNOLOGY COURT,

1 10:37AM 2 10:37AM 3 10:37AM 10:37AM 10:37AM 10:37AM 10:37AM 8 10:37AM 9 10:37AM 10 10:37AM 10:37AM 11 10:37AM 12 13 10:37AM 14 10:37AM 10:37AM 15 16 10:37AM 17 10:37AM 18 10:37AM 10:37AM 19 20 10:38AM 21 10:38AM 10:38AM 22 10:38AM 23 24 10:38AM 25 10:38AM

ALTHOUGH THE PRIMARY ISSUE BEFORE IT WAS WHETHER THE SCREEN DISPLAYS WERE PROTECTED AT ALL, THAT IT WAS ADDRESSING THIS QUESTION OF WHETHER OR NOT IT WAS A SEPARATE WORK.

I THINK IT REACHED A DECISION IT DIDN'T HAVE TO BECAUSE CERTAINLY THE PLAINTIFF COULD HAVE GONE FORWARD AND PROVED INFRINGEMENT OR ATTEMPTED TO PROVE INFRINGEMENT BY RELYING ON THE SIMILARITIES IN THE SCREEN DISPLAYS, EVEN IF THE CODE WAS NOT COPIED. BUT WITHOUT A DOUBT, THAT COURT ACTUALLY CONFRONTED THE ISSUE FOR US.

BUT THE OTHER CASES --

THE COURT: AND NAPOLI DOESN'T CONFRONT IT?

MR. KWUN: SO <u>NAPOLI</u> WAS ACTUALLY A REALLY
INTERESTING ONE, BECAUSE ASIDE FROM THE FACT THAT IT WAS
VACATED, THE <u>NAPOLI</u> CASE IS REALLY INTERESTING BECAUSE ACTUALLY
AS I READ IT CLOSELY, ABSOLUTELY SUPPORTS OUR POSITION, AND LET
ME EXPLAIN WHY.

SO THE NAPOLI CASE INVOLVED MS. NAPOLI WHO WAS A CONSULTANT FOR SEARS. AND THEY HIRED HER TO WRITE A PROGRAM. AND ACCORDING TO SEARS, THEY HAD PROVIDED HER WITH DETAILED DIAGRAMS OR SOME SUCH FOR THE SCREEN DISPLAYS THEY WANTED.

SO THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE TWO OF THEM SOURED, AND ULTIMATELY EVEN THOUGH SHE GOT PAID \$10,000, SHE SOMEHOW WAS ABLE TO SAY, I WANT ALL OF MY SOURCE CODE BACK, AND FOR WHATEVER REASON, NOTWITHSTANDING THE FACT THEY HAD PAID HER \$10,000, SEARS GAVE BACK ALL OF THAT CODE.

10:38AM	1
10:38AM	2
10:38AM	3
10:38AM	4
10:38AM	5
10:38AM	6
10:38AM	7
10:38AM	8
10:38AM	9
10:39AM	10
10:39AM	11
10:39AM	12
10:39AM	13
10:39AM	14
10:39AM	15
10:39AM	16
10:39AM	17
10:39AM	18
10:39AM	19
10:39AM	20
10:39AM	21
10:39AM	22
10:39AM	23
10:40AM	24
10:40AM	25

MS. <u>NAPOLI</u> THEN SAID OH, BUT SHE KEPT A COPY, SO THAT'S COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT AND THEY HAD A LAWSUIT.

SEARS HAD A DEFENSE, AND SEAR'S DEFENSE IS THEY SAID SEARS
IS A JOINT AUTHOR, AND AS A JOINT AUTHOR, THEY DON'T NEED A
LICENSE. THEY CANNOT INFRINGE. AND THEIR JOINT AUTHORSHIP
DEFENSE WAS BASED ON THE SCREEN DISPLAYS.

SO THIS IS THE CONTEXT IN WHICH THE MANUFACTURERS
TECHNOLOGY CASE CAME UP.

AND SO SHE SAID, THE PLAINTIFF, THE COPYRIGHT OWNER, SHE SAID OH, BUT MY COPYRIGHT DOESN'T COVER THE SCREEN DISPLAYS AT ALL. WELL, SHE LOST ON THAT BECAUSE THE COPYRIGHT OFFICE CLEARLY SAID IT INCLUDES THE SCREEN DISPLAYS.

BUT HOW DOES THIS JOINT AUTHORSHIP ARGUMENT WORK IF THE SCREEN DISPLAYS ARE A SEPARATE COPYRIGHT FROM THE UNDERLYING SOURCE CODE? THE FACT THAT SEARS WAS A JOINT AUTHOR OF THE SCREEN DISPLAYS WOULDN'T GIVE THEM A DEFENSE TO INFRINGEMENT FOR HAVING THE SOURCE CODE.

SO -- AND INDEED, THERE'S A FOOTNOTE, FOOTNOTE 4 IN THE NAPOLI DECISION, AND THE COURT SAYS, NAPOLI CANNOT SERIOUSLY DISPUTE THAT WHATEVER WORK SEARS CONTRIBUTED TO WAS TO BE MERGED WITH NAPOLI'S WORK INTO A SINGLE WHOLE.

SO THAT IS WHY THERE WAS A LIVE DISPUTE OVER JOINT

AUTHORSHIP. THE DISTRICT COURT SAID MS. NAPOLI HAS SWORN ON

AFFIDAVIT THAT NOTWITHSTANDING THAT THE SCREEN DISPLAYS IN HER

PROGRAM ARE ESSENTIALLY IDENTICAL TO THE ONES THAT SEARS

10:40AM	1	
10:40AM	2	
10:40AM	3	
10:40AM	4	
10:40AM	5	
10:40AM	6	
10:40AM	7	
10:40AM	8	
10:40AM	9	
10:40AM	10	
10:40AM	11	
10:40AM	12	
10:40AM	13	
10:40AM	14	
10:40AM	15	
10:41AM	16	
10:41AM	17	
10:41AM	18	
10:41AM	19	
10:41AM	20	
10:41AM	21	
10:41AM	22	
10:41AM	23	
10:41AM	24	
10:41AM	25	

PROVIDED, THAT THAT WAS A MASSIVE COINCIDENCE AND SHE ACTUALLY,
ON HER OWN, WITHOUT LOOKING AT THEIR MATERIALS, GENERATED

EXACTLY THE SAME SCREEN DISPLAYS.

I THINK THE COURT SAID, I CAN'T RESOLVE THAT, THAT'S A FACTUAL DISPUTE.

I THINK THAT YOU CAN READ BETWEEN THE LINES THAT THE COURT HAD SOME DOUBTS ABOUT MS. NAPOLI'S POSITION WHICH IS PERHAPS WHY YOU SAW A SETTLEMENT FOUR MONTHS LATER THAT RESULTED IN THE VACATING OF THE DECISION.

BUT I DO THINK THE KEY POINT IS THE ENTIRE DISPUTE OVER
THIS DEFENSE MADE NO SENSE WHATSOEVER UNLESS YOU ASSUME THAT
THE SOURCE CODE AND SCREEN DISPLAYS ARE A SINGLE WORK.

SO THE OTHER DECISIONS THAT THEY CITE, THE CLARITY CASE,

THE <u>JAMISON</u> CASE, AND SO ON, THOSE GO TO WHAT IS BEING COPIED

AND WHETHER OR NOT THE THING THAT IS BEING COPIED IS

PROTECTABLE. THEY AREN'T DISCUSSING WHAT THE WORK AS A WHOLE

IS.

IF YOU LOOK AT THE <u>CLARITY SOFTWARE</u> CASE, THERE IS A BRIEF MENTION, IT'S A WESTLAW CITATION THEY HAVE AT PAGE STAR 10, THE COURT FRAMED THE INFRINGEMENT ISSUE THAT IT WOULD LATER HAVE TO ADDRESS AS WHETHER OR NOT THE ALLEGED COPYING RENDERED THE SOFTWARE INFRINGING, NOT THE SCREEN DISPLAYS, THE SOFTWARE.

AND THE JAMISON CASE DOES INDEED CITE MANUFACTURERS

TECHNOLOGY, BUT AT STAR 13 IN THAT DECISION HOLDS THAT THE

ALLEGEDLY COPIED MATERIAL, THAT THE ALLEGEDLY COPIED MATERIALS

10:41AM	1
10:41AM	2
10:41AM	3
10:41AM	4
10:41AM	5
10:41AM	6
10:41AM	7
10:42AM	8
10:42AM	9
10:42AM	10
10:42AM	11
10:42AM	12
10:42AM	13
10:42AM	14
10:42AM	15
10:42AM	16
10:42AM	17
10:42AM	18
10:42AM	19
10:42AM	20
10:42AM	21
10:42AM	22
10:42AM	23
10:42AM	24
10:42AM	25

WERE NOT COPYRIGHTABLE AT ALL BECAUSE THEY WERE A METHOD OF OPERATION, AND THEREFORE IT WAS OF COURSE IRRELEVANT WHAT THE WORK AS A WHOLE IS.

AND WE HAVE GONE OVER THE ${\hbox{\tt NAPOLI}}$ CASE, I THINK IT ACTUALLY GOES THE OTHER WAY.

THEY ALSO CITED A NUMBER OF CASES IN A STRING CITE IN A FOOTNOTE WHERE THEY I THINK WOULD CONCEDE THAT THIS ISSUE OF WHETHER OR NOT THERE IS A HIDDEN SEPARATE REGISTRATION, THAT THAT WAS NOT DIRECTLY CONFRONTED. BUT THEY SAY THAT THE COURTS PROCEEDED UNDER A SEEMING IMPLICIT UNDERSTANDING THAT THE USER INTERFACE WAS A SEPARATE WORK.

I THINK IF YOU LOOK AT THOSE CASES, FIRST OF ALL, YOU WILL SEE THAT THEY TEND TO BE ANALYTIC DISSECTION ORDERS, MUCH LIKE WE ARE ARGUING ABOUT HERE, BUT THEY ARE GOING TO THE INDIVIDUAL ELEMENTS.

SO THEY ARE ADDRESSING WHETHER OR NOT THE INDIVIDUAL ELEMENTS THAT WERE ALLEGEDLY COPIED ARE PROTECTABLE. AND OF COURSE YOU ARE DOING THAT, OF COURSE YOU ARE GOING TO FOCUS ON WHATEVER WAS ALLEGEDLY COPIED.

THE ONE THING I DO WANT TO BRING UP IS I THINK IT WILL PROBABLY BE SLIDE 28 OR 29, SO THIS IS FROM THE SAME 1988 COPYRIGHT OFFICE DECISION WE HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT. THIS IS A PROCEDURAL POINT THAT THEY RAISE. ACTUALLY, LET'S GO TO SLIDE 29.

THE COPYRIGHT OFFICE SAYS THAT THEY RECOGNIZE THAT THIS

1 10:43AM 2 10:43AM 3 10:43AM 10:43AM 10:43AM 10:43AM 10:43AM 7 8 10:43AM 10:43AM 9 10:43AM 10 10:43AM 11 10:43AM 12 10:43AM 13 10:43AM 14 10:43AM 15 16 10:43AM 17 10:43AM 18 10:43AM 10:43AM 19 20 10:44AM 21 10:44AM 10:44AM 22 10:44AM 23 10:44AM 24 10:44AM 25

DECISION TO USE A SINGLE APPLICATION MEANS THAT SOMETIMES YOU
WILL BE REGISTERING THINGS THAT ARE TEXTUAL AND THINGS THAT ARE
VISUAL AT THE SAME TIME.

AND THEY SAY, WELL, WHAT YOU OUGHT TO DO IS WE HAVE A SEPARATE FORM FOR LITERARY WORKS AND ONE FOR PERFORMING ARTS, FIGURE OUT WHAT PREDOMINATES IN YOUR PROGRAM AND REGISTER IT THAT WAY.

SO LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT THE NEXT SLIDE, THIS IS IN THE

APPLE V. MICROSOFT CASE, THIS IS ONE OF THE DECISIONS THEY CITE

IN THE FOOTNOTE, THIS IS JUDGE VAUGHN'S DISTRICT COURT

DECISION, ACTUALLY ONE OF SEVERAL DISTRICT COURT DECISIONS.

THE COURT: YES.

MR. KWUN: BUT HE LISTS IN FOOTNOTE 1, THE
REGISTRATIONS THAT ARE AT ISSUE. AND YOU WILL SEE THEY ARE ALL
PA REGISTRATIONS. AND I WILL ADMIT THAT I DON'T DEAL WITH A
LOT OF PERFORMING ARTS CASES, SO I ACTUALLY HAD TO LOOK IT UP.

IF WE GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE, THIS IS FROM THE INSTRUCTIONS
FOR FORM PA. AND IT MAKES VERY CLEAR IT'S FOR REGISTRATION OF
WORKS OF THE PERFORMING ARTS, AND THAT INCLUDES WORKS THAT ARE
PERFORMED INDIRECTLY BY MEANS OF ANY DEVICE OR PROCESS.

SO WHAT WE HAVE HERE IS WE HAVE THE USER INTERFACE OF THESE PROGRAMS OF MAC PAINT, MAC DRAW AND OF THE MACINTOSH BINDER,

AND APPLE REGISTERED THEM AS PRIMARILY A WORK OF PERFORMING ART BECAUSE WHAT THEY WERE MOST INTERESTED IN WAS THE USER INTERFACE. IT'S VERY DIFFERENT FROM OUR SITUATION WHERE WHAT

1 10:44AM 2 10:44AM 3 10:44AM 10:44AM 10:44AM 10:44AM 10:44AM 8 10:44AM 10:44AM 9 10:44AM 10 10:45AM 11 10:45AM 12 10:45AM 13 10:45AM 14 10:45AM 15 10:45AM 16 17 10:45AM 18 10:45AM 10:45AM 19 20 10:45AM 21 10:45AM 10:45AM 22 10:45AM 23 10:45AM 24 10:45AM 25

WAS REGISTERED WAS A TX REGISTRATION. WHAT CISCO WAS PRIMARILY INTERESTED IN WAS PROTECTING ITS SOURCE CODE.

THE COURT: SO I GUESS WHAT I'M REALLY CONCERNED

ABOUT IS THE COPYRIGHT OFFICE TO SAY THAT IF YOU REGISTER YOUR

SOURCE CODE YOU ALSO HAVE A REGISTRATION OF YOUR INTERFACE OR

YOUR SCREEN SHOTS IS, IT'S PROTECTIVE OF THE COPYRIGHT OFFICE

OF NOT BEING INUNDATED WITH TWICE THE NUMBER OF REGISTRATIONS,

SO THAT'S EFFICIENT AND APPROPRIATE.

BUT THE COPYRIGHT OFFICE IS ONLY CONCERNED ABOUT

REGISTRATION AND NOT COPYRIGHTABILITY PER SE. THEY ARE NOT

MAKING THAT DETERMINATION.

AND SO I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH TO READ INTO IT, I'M MORE

CONCERNED ABOUT THE INDEPENDENT ECONOMIC VALUE ARGUMENT. BUT

YOU KNOW, IT WOULD BE -- IF AUTHORS HAVE REGISTERED THEIR

SOURCE CODE AND LATER LEARNED THAT THEIR SCREEN SHOTS OR THEIR

USER INTERFACE WAS NOT PROTECTED, THAT WOULD BE SHOCKING.

AND SO I THINK TO THE EXTENT THAT WE ARE SEEING ANYTHING IN THE COPYRIGHT OFFICE BULLETIN, OR I'M NOT SURE WHETHER THIS IS A DECISION OR AN ANNOUNCEMENT THEY CALL IT, IT IS THAT ONCE YOU REGISTER YOUR SOURCE CODE, IT ALSO COVERS THE USER INTERFACE AS THE REGISTERED WORK. I DON'T KNOW THAT THEY MEAN THAT TO BE THE WORK THAT IS LITIGATED ON A COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT WHERE WE ARE DEALING WITH DIFFERENT ISSUES.

I JUST THINK YOUR OTHER ARGUMENT, FRANKLY TO ME, IS THE ONE I REALLY HAVE TO FOCUS ON THE INDEPENDENT ECONOMIC VALUE.

10:45AM	1	MR. KWUN: AND I DO THINK ON THAT, THE FACT THAT
10:45AM	2	CISCO HAS PUNTED ENTIRELY AND IT MADE NO ATTEMPT WHATSOEVER TO
10:46AM	3	COME UP WITH ANY EVIDENCE IS DISPOSITIVE.
10:46AM	4	THE COURT: YEAH. OKAY.
10:46AM	5	MR. PAK, WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU, WE NEED TO TAKE A BREAK AT
10:46AM	6	11. I WASN'T EXPECTING THIS TO TAKE TWO HOURS, SO I'M KEEPING
10:46AM	7	AN EYE ON THE TIME. DO YOU THINK YOU CAN FINISH IN 15 MINUTES?
10:46AM	8	MR. PAK: YES, YOUR HONOR.
10:46AM	9	THE COURT: EXCELLENT. THAT WAS THE RIGHT ANSWER.
10:46AM	10	MR. PAK: I THINK I HAVE BEEN ENOUGH TIMES BEFORE
10:46AM	11	YOUR HONOR TO KNOW WHEN TO BE SHORT.
10:46AM	12	YOUR HONOR, FIRST OF ALL, LET'S DEAL WITH THE LEGAL ISSUES
10:46AM	13	FIRST.
10:46AM	14	THE COURT: OKAY.
10:46AM	15	MR. PAK: YOUR HONOR, I THINK IT BEHOOVES US TO GO
10:46AM	16	BACK TO THE MANUFACTURERS TECHNOLOGIES CASE AND READ THAT
10:46AM	17	OPINION AGAIN. I THINK THAT YOU WILL FIND THAT THE JUDGE IN
10:46AM	18	THAT CASE DID AN EXTENSIVE SURVEY OF ALL THE DIFFERENT CIRCUIT
10:46AM	19	HOLDINGS THAT PERTAINED TO THE QUESTION OF USABILITY, TO THE
10:46AM	20	QUESTION OF COPYRIGHTABILITY OF USER INTERFACES, YOU NOTED
10:46AM	21	THERE WAS A SPLIT.
10:46AM	22	HE WENT THROUGH AND ANALYZED THE POLICY RATIONAL. OF
10:47AM	23	COURSE HE CITED THE COPYRIGHT OFFICE DECISION AS PART OF THAT
10:47AM	24	BECAUSE WHAT HE WAS DOING WAS NOT, AS YOUR HONOR CORRECTLY
10:47AM	25	NOTED, DEFERRING TO THE COPYRIGHT OFFICE FOR DECISIONS OF

1 10:47AM 2 10:47AM 3 10:47AM 10:47AM 10:47AM 6 10:47AM 10:47AM 8 10:47AM 9 10:47AM 10 10:47AM 10:47AM 11 10:47AM 12 13 10:47AM 14 10:47AM 15 10:47AM 16 10:48AM 17 10:48AM 18 10:48AM 19 10:48AM 10:48AM 20 21 10:48AM 22 10:48AM 23 10:48AM 24 10:48AM 25 10:48AM

ENFORCEMENT AND COPYRIGHTABILITY. WHAT HE WAS SAYING IS
BECAUSE OF THIS NEW POLICY, WHAT ARE THE IMPLICATIONS TO OUR
COPYRIGHT POLICIES AND TO OUR COPYRIGHT LAW IF IT IS THAT YOU
CAN NO LONGER REGISTER THE USER INTERFACE SEPARATELY FROM THE
CODE.

AND THERE'S A GREAT DESCRIPTION OF THAT, YOUR HONOR,

STARTING ON PARAGRAPH, OR SECTION 3 OF THAT REPORT, IT SAYS,

THE COURT IS THEREFORE LEFT WITH A CHOICE BETWEEN TWO

ALTERNATIVES. THE COURT NOTES. AND ULTIMATELY SAYS THAT THE

SECOND APPROACH AND ONE THAT THIS COURT ADOPTS, IS TO TREAT THE

SINGLE REGISTRATION OF THE COMPUTER PROGRAM AS ACCOMPLISHING

TWO INTERRELATED YET DISTINCT REGISTRATIONS, DISALLOWS THE

COURT TO BUILD ON THE SOFT PLUM CASE WHICH WAS EXTENSIVELY

DISCUSSED BY FOCUSSING ON THE COPYRIGHTABLE EXPRESSION IN EACH

TYPE OF REGISTRATION, AND AVOIDING THE MISTAKE OF IDENTIFYING A

PROGRAM'S IDEA WITH THE IDEA OF A PARTICULAR SCREEN DISPLAY.

AND ALSO RECOGNIZES THAT A COMPUTER PROGRAM AND ITS SCREEN

DISPLAYS ARE FOR COPYRIGHT PURPOSES, FUNDAMENTALLY DISTINCT.

THAT LINE OF CASES GOES BACK TO WHELAN, YOUR HONOR, WHELAN,
THAT WAS MORE IN THE SOURCE CODE COPYING CONTEXT. BUT THE
WHELAN COURT NOTED THE VERY POINT THAT YOUR HONOR HAS BEEN
RAISING ALL ALONG WHICH IS, THE ISSUE IS THE OPINION THAT
SCREEN OUTPUTS ARE OF NO PROBATIVE WORTH IN DETERMINING WHETHER
ONE COMPUTER PROGRAM IS COPIED FROM ANOTHER DIFFERENT PROGRAM
CODE BECAUSE DIFFERENT PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES ARE CAPABLE OF

PRODUCING THE SAME IDENTICAL SCREEN. 1 10:48AM SO ALL OF THIS GOES BACK TO THE MANUFACTURERS TECHNOLOGY 2 10:48AM OPINION BEING PREDICATED ON LEGAL ANALYSIS OF CASE PRECEDENT. 3 10:48AM 10:48AM 4 OF COURSE ACKNOWLEDGING THE IMPLICATIONS OF THE COPYRIGHT POLICY DECISION, BUT I THINK THIS IS STILL GOOD LAW, 10:48AM YOUR HONOR. I THINK A NUMBER OF COURTS HAVE CITED IT. 10:48AM 10:48AM 7 ALL THE CASES, CASE FACTUAL ISSUES THAT COUNSEL RAISED --THE COURT: SO HOW DO I -- THESE ARE TWO COMPLETELY 8 10:49AM 10:49AM 9 UNRELATED ISSUES. HOW DO I DEAL WITH THE ISSUE OF INDEPENDENT 10:49AM 10 VALUE? MR. PAK: YES, LET ME DEAL WITH THAT, YOUR HONOR. 10:49AM 11 SO I THINK THE FIRST QUESTION IS, IS IT POSSIBLE TO HAVE 10:49AM 12 10:49AM 13 COPYRIGHT REGISTRATION IN THE INTERFACE, I THINK MANUFACTURERS 10:49AM 14 TECHNOLOGY IS GOOD LAW, IT SUPPORTS IT. 10:49AM 15 THE NAPOLI CASE SUPPORTS IT, THE FACTUAL DISTINCTIONS THERE ARE RAISED AS TO WHETHER THE PARTICULAR ALLEGATIONS IN THAT 10:49AM 16 17 CASE WHICH INVOLVE JOINT VENTURE AGREEMENTS, WHETHER THE WORK 10:49AM 18 FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE CONTRACT DISPUTE INCLUDED THE CODE AND 10:49AM THE USER INTERFACE, THAT'S A SEPARATE ISSUE. 10:49AM 19 20 BUT THE LAW THAT WE CITED TO YOUR HONOR IS STILL GOOD LAW. 10:49AM 21 IT IS LAW THAT THE IS RECOGNIZED BY THE COURTS AROUND THIS 10:49AM 10:49AM 22 COUNTRY THAT SAYS A SINGLE COPYRIGHT REGISTRATION PROVIDES 10:49AM 23 VALUE, PROVIDES REGISTRATION IN THE USER INTERFACE. 10:49AM 24 THE COURT: WELL, I GUESS ONE THING THAT IT SOMEWHAT 10:49AM 25 BEGS THE QUESTION OF IF AN AUTHOR CHOOSES TO REGISTER ONLY ITS

10:50AM	1	USER INTERFACE, IS THAT A WORK? IT'S JUST THE REVERSE OF IT.
10:50AM	2	IF YOU REGISTER YOUR SOURCE CODE, THEN YOU GET YOUR USER
10:50AM	3	INTERFACE WITH IT; AND YOU DON'T HAVE TO MAKE A SEPARATE
10:50AM	4	REGISTRATION.
10:50AM	5	CAN YOU JUST REGISTER YOUR USER INTERFACE BY ITSELF IF YOU
10:50AM	6	WANT, AND ACTUALLY, IT SEEMS AS THOUGH IF THE COPYRIGHT OFFICE
10:50AM	7	IS NOT IN THE POSITION OF DEFINING A WORK IN A LITIGATED CASE,
10:50AM	8	IT'S JUST NOT WHAT IT I DON'T SEE HOW IT COULD.
10:50AM	9	IT COULD DEFINE WHAT IS NECESSARY TO REGISTER SOMETHING,
10:50AM	10	AND THAT'S ALL IT'S DOING, THEY DON'T TELL US WHAT'S PROTECTED
10:50AM	11	OR NOT.
10:50AM	12	MR. PAK: IT'S NOT LIKE THE PATENT OFFICE,
10:50AM	13	YOUR HONOR.
10:50AM	14	THE COURT: SO THEY ARE JUST TAKING IT, STAMPING IT
10:50AM	15	ON THE DATE IT'S RECEIVED AND THERE IT IS FOR THE WORLD TO SEE.
10:50AM	16	SO THAT'S WHY I COME AROUND THIS THE OTHER WAY.
10:50AM	17	MR. PAK: YES, SO LET ME ADDRESS THE ECONOMIC VALUE
10:50AM	18	QUESTION.
10:50AM	19	THE COURT: OKAY.
10:50AM	20	MR. PAK: SO THAT'S REALLY A FACTUAL QUESTION,
10:51AM	21	YOUR HONOR, AS TO WHETHER IN THIS CASE, CAN CISCO PROVE THAT
10:51AM	22	THERE IS INDEPENDENT ECONOMIC VALUE TO THE USER INTERFACE
10:51AM	23	INDEPENDENT OF THE PARTICULAR PROGRAMMING CODE OR PROGRAMMING
10:51AM	24	LANGUAGE THAT WAS USED TO GENERATE THAT USER INTERFACE.
10:51AM	25	AND WE HAVE, CONTRARY TO THE ASSERTION, WE HAVE AN

10:51AM	1	INCREDIBLE
10:51AM	2	THE COURT: AND YOU ARE PREPARED TO PROOF UPON IT.
10:51AM	3	MR. PAK: ABSOLUTELY, YOUR HONOR.
10:51AM	4	WE HAVE AN INCREDIBLE AMOUNT OF ECONOMIC EVIDENCE FROM THE
10:51AM	5	EXPERTS, BUT ALSO HISTORICAL EVIDENCE FROM ARISTA'S OWN
10:51AM	6	WITNESSES TO THAT TALK ABOUT THE VERY IMPORTANT ECONOMIC NEED
10:51AM	7	FOR THEM TO COPY THE CISCO CLI, DESPITE THE FACT THAT THEY ARE
10:51AM	8	USING A COMPLETELY DIFFERENT CODE, DESPITE THE FACT THAT THEY
10:51AM	9	ARE USING A COMPLETELY DIFFERENT CODE, DESPITE THE FACT THAT
10:51AM	10	THE COURT: SO I CAN'T TELL BY THE FACT THAT YOU ARE
10:51AM	11	SUGGESTING, THAT I WOULD LIKE, AND THOUGHT I WAS ABLE TO MAKE A
10:51AM	12	DETERMINATION NOW
10:51AM	13	MR. PAK: I THINK YOU CAN, YOUR HONOR.
10:51AM	14	THE COURT: OF WHAT THE WORK IS.
10:51AM	15	AND IF I WERE TO AGREE WITH YOU, YOU ARE SUGGESTING THAT
10:52AM	16	YOU RECOGNIZE THAT YOU HAVE A BURDEN TO ESTABLISH THIS ECONOMIC
10:52AM	17	VALUE AND YOUR DAMAGES THEORY COULD FAIL PARTIALLY IF YOU DON'T
10:52AM	18	PROVE THAT.
10:52AM	19	MR. PAK: WHAT I'M SAYING, YOUR HONOR, IS THERE'S A
10:52AM	20	SEPARATE QUESTION IN TERMS OF THE WORK.
10:52AM	21	WE THINK THAT THE WORK DEFINITION SCOPE ISSUE WE ARE
10:52AM	22	DEALING WITH NOW CAN BE RESOLVED ON THE MANUFACTURERS
10:52AM	23	TECHNOLOGIES LINE OF CASES.
10:52AM	24	THIS ARGUMENT THAT SOMEHOW AT THE END OF THE DAY THERE MAY
10:52AM	25	BE A FURTHER REQUIREMENT FOR FAIR USE PURPOSES OR OTHER TYPES

10:52AM	1	OF ANALYSIS, WHERE WE HAVE TO LOOK AT THE ECONOMIC VALUE OF THE
10:52AM	2	WORK, AND DETERMINE WHETHER IT CAN EXIST INDEPENDENTLY OF OTHER
10:52AM	3	ASPECTS OF THE PROGRAM.
10:52AM	4	THE COURT: YOU ARE SAYING THE ECONOMIC VALUE ONLY
10:52AM	5	COMES IN, THAT THE MONGE CASE WAS A
10:52AM	6	MR. PAK: THAT WAS A FAIR USE CASE THAT WAS TALKING
10:52AM	7	ABOUT OF COURSE THE SCOPE HAS TO BE THE SAME, ARE WE TALKING
10:52AM	8	ABOUT USER INTERFACE OR CODE. BUT IN TERMS OF THE FURTHER
10:52AM	9	REQUIREMENTS OF ECONOMIC VALUE, LOOKING AT THE IMPACT ON THE
10:52AM	10	MARKET HARM, THE MARKET HARM OF THAT PARTICULAR COPYRIGHTED
10:52AM	11	WORK, THAT'S WHERE THE ECONOMIC ANALYSIS COMES IN.
10:53AM	12	BUT REALLY, I GO BACK TO WHAT I SAID TO YOUR HONOR, LET'S
10:53AM	13	CUT TO THE CHASE HERE, WHICH IS DR. ELSTEN PROVIDES A ROAD MAP
10:53AM	14	FOR ALL OF THESE QUESTIONS.
10:53AM	15	NUMBER ONE, SHE PUTS A NUMBER, SHE PUT A \$16.4 MILLION
10:53AM	16	NUMBER ON THE CLI, INDEPENDENT OF ANYTHING ELSE. HER
10:53AM	17	APPORTIONMENT ANALYSIS ALONE SHOWS THAT THERE'S ECONOMIC VALUE.
10:53AM	18	WHETHER WE AGREE WITH THAT NUMBER OR IT'S A DIFFERENT NUMBER,
10:53AM	19	AND THIS IS SLIDE 5 AGAIN.
10:53AM	20	THE COURT: SO LET ME, BECAUSE TIME IS SHORT, LET ME
10:53AM	21	WALK THROUGH SOME OF THE ARISTA'S ARGUMENTS.
10:53AM	22	MR. PAK: SURE.
10:53AM	23	THE COURT: BECAUSE ASIDE FROM THE LEGAL ISSUES
10:53AM	24	THERE'S THE DISCLOSURE ISSUE WHICH IS ALWAYS VERY IMPORTANT AND
10:53AM	25	THEY POINT TO YOUR RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NUMBER 6,

1 10:53AM 2 10:53AM 3 10:53AM 10:53AM 4 10:53AM 10:54AM 6 10:54AM 7 10:54AM 8 10:54AM 9 10:54AM 10 10:54AM 11 10:54AM 12 10:54AM 13 10:54AM 14 10:54AM 15 10:54AM 16 17 10:54AM 18 10:54AM 10:54AM 19 10:54AM 20 10:54AM 21 10:54AM 22 10:55AM 23 10:55AM 24 10:55AM 25

IDENTIFYING COPYRIGHTED WORK AS THE IOS.

AND THEN THEY, I MEAN, ESSENTIALLY THERE ARE NUMEROUS INTERROGATORY RESPONSES THAT MR. FERRALL WALKED THROUGH THAT SHOW YOUR IDENTIFICATION.

NOW I WASN'T TOO CONCERNED ABOUT THE REGISTERED WORK, I
THINK WE PUT THAT TO REST, OR I HAVE. BUT IN OTHER PLACES YOU
DID IDENTIFY THE WORK AND DEFINE THE WORK I WAS PARTICULARLY
INTERESTED IN THE INTERROGATORY 21. SO 6 AND 21 ARE MY BIGGEST
CONCERNS.

MR. PAK: YES, YOUR HONOR.

SO FIRST OF ALL, LET'S TALK ABOUT DISCOVERY. IT WOULD TAKE

ME TWO DAYS TO WALK YOU THROUGH, YOUR HONOR, THROUGH ALL OF THE

DISCOVERY RESPONSES IN THIS CASE. DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPTS.

THAT'S NOT THE EXERCISE HERE. WE ARE NOT TRYING TO CHERRY
PICK A FEW THINGS THAT WERE IN ONE INTERROGATORY RESPONSE AND
IGNORE THE TOTALITY OF WHAT'S BEEN SAID.

LET ME SHOW YOU ON SLIDE 14, YOUR HONOR. BECAUSE FIRST OF ALL, THE ISSUE IS NOT OPERATING SYSTEM GENERALLY WHETHER WE USE THE WORD OPERATING SYSTEM. OF COURSE WE USE THE WORD OPERATING SYSTEM, YOUR HONOR, BECAUSE THAT'S HOW THE REGISTRATIONS WERE DONE.

THE ISSUE IS WHETHER THE COPYRIGHTED WORK AT ISSUE HAS TO

BE JUST THE USER INTERFACE, OR USER INTERFACE PLUS CODE.

BECAUSE WHAT ARISTA WANTS TO DO, YOUR HONOR, IS TO SAY, BECAUSE

COPYRIGHT EXPRESSION DOESN'T PROTECT A FUNCTIONAL SYSTEM, YOU

DON'T OWN A COPYRIGHT IN A FUNCTIONAL OPERATING SYSTEM. YOU 1 10:55AM 2 OWN COPYRIGHT IN THE EXPRESSION THAT'S EMBODIED IN A PRODUCT. 10:55AM THERE ARE ONLY TWO TYPES OF EXPRESSIONS AT ISSUE HERE, IT 3 10:55AM 10:55AM COULD EITHER BE THE USER INTERFACE OR IT COULD BE THE SOURCE CODE THAT WAS WRITTEN. 10:55AM NOTHING THAT THEY HAVE SHOWN YOU INDICATES WHATSOEVER THAT 10:55AM 10:55AM 7 WE HAVE COLLECTIVELY DEFINED THE COPYRIGHTED WORK AS CODE PLUS 10:55AM 8 USER INTERFACE. 10:55AM 9 AND PARTICULARLY ON SLIDE 14, AS YOU SAW IN SOME OF THE 10:55AM 10 SAME RESPONSES, FOR EXAMPLE NUMBER 21, AND THEN ON ROG NUMBER ONE WHICH IS THE VERY FIRST INTERROGATORY, THEY ASKED ABOUT IN 10:55AM 11 10:55AM 12 TERMS OF OUR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT ALLEGATIONS. WE TALKED 10:55AM 13 ABOUT THE COPYRIGHTED CLI. 10:55AM 14 SO WE HAVE BEEN VERY CLEAR THAT ALTHOUGH OPERATING SYSTEMS 10:55AM 15 HAVE BOTH A USER INTERFACE --THE COURT: BUT LET'S LOOK AT, YOU KNOW, I GUESS I'M 10:56AM 16 17 KIND OF HUNG UP ON ARISTA'S SLIDE 13 WITH THAT FOOTNOTE OF YOUR 10:56AM 18 DEFINITION OF CISCO COPYRIGHTED WORKS. AND IT IS DEFINED AS 10:56AM 10:56AM 19 THE OPERATING SYSTEM. MR. PAK: ABSOLUTELY, YOUR HONOR. 10:56AM 20 AND THAT IS, IF YOU THINK ABOUT WHAT WAS REGISTERED AS THE 21 10:56AM 10:56AM 22 COPYRIGHTED WORK, IT IS THE OPERATING SYSTEM. 10:56AM 23 THE COURT: WELL, IN ONE PLACE YOU CALLED IT THE 10:56AM 24 REGISTERED WORK, I'M GOING TO GIVE YOU A PASS ON THAT, BUT THIS 10:56AM 25 ONE I'M NOT SURE I CAN.

MR. PAK: WELL, TWO THINGS, YOUR HONOR. 1 10:56AM SO THE COPYRIGHTED WORK, AND YOU CAN SEE IT'S COPYRIGHTED 2 10:56AM WORKS, PLURAL, THE COPYRIGHTED WORKS CLEARLY INCLUDES BOTH THE 3 10:56AM 10:56AM 4 CODE THAT WAS REGISTERED AS WELL AS THE USER INTERFACE. WHEN THIS TALKS ABOUT COPYRIGHTED OPERATING SYSTEMS AND 10:56AM 6 DOCUMENTATION --10:56AM THE COURT: WELL MR. FERRALL IS NOT ARGUING OTHERWISE 10:56AM HE JUST SAYS IT'S ONE WORD, IT INCLUDES BOTH. 8 10:56AM 10:56AM 9 MR. PAK: WHEN IT SAYS COPYRIGHTED OPERATING SYSTEM 10:56AM 10 YOUR HONOR, THIS IS NOT TALKING ABOUT CODE VERSUS USER INTERFACE, WE HAVE BEEN CLEAR THAT WHEN WE TALK ABOUT THE 10:57AM 11 10:57AM 12 OPERATING SYSTEM WITH RESPECT TO THE COPYRIGHTS AT ISSUE IT'S 10:57AM 13 THE USER INTERFACE COMPONENT OF THAT. 10:57AM 14 THERE'S NOTHING IN THIS STATEMENT YOU ARE SEEING ON PAGE 13 10:57AM 15 THAT INDICATES THAT WE EVER CONTEMPLATED INCLUDING THE CODE AS PART OF THE COPYRIGHTED WORK. 10:57AM 16 THIS LANGUAGE, YOUR HONOR, IS ENTIRELY CONSISTENT WITH THE 17 10:57AM 18 MANUFACTURERS TECH CASES. WHEN YOU REGISTER A COMPUTER PROGRAM 10:57AM 10:57AM 19 AND YOU SAY I HAVE COPYRIGHTED THE COMPUTER PROGRAM FILE, I'M GETTING A SEPARATE DISTINCT REGISTRATIONS, USER INTERFACE AND 10:57AM 20 21 CODE. 10:57AM 10:57AM 22 THIS DOESN'T IN ANY WAY NEGATE OR SUGGEST TO YOUR HONOR. 10:57AM 23 BUT MOST IMPORTANTLY, AGAIN IT GOES BACK TO DR. ELSTEN. SO WE 10:57AM 24 CAN SIT HERE AND DR. BLACK AS WELL, BUT DR. ELSTEN MAKE ITS 10:57AM 25 VERY CRYSTAL CLEAR, THIS IS A FAIR USE DISCOVERY RESPONSE

YOUR HONOR, CORRECT. THIS IS THE PURPOSE AND CHARACTER OF THE 1 10:57AM USE. SHE ANALYZED ALL OF THE DISCOVERY RESPONSES, ALL OF OUR 2 10:57AM ALLEGATIONS BEFORE SHE FORMED HER OPINIONS ON FAIR USE. 3 10:58AM 10:58AM SO IF YOU GO BACK TO SLIDE 5, MS. ELSTEN ANALYZED ALL OF THESE DISCOVERY RESPONSES IN TOTALITY, NOT JUST THE SNIPPETS 10:58AM THAT I HAVE SHOWN YOU OR NOT JUST THE SNIPPETS THAT COUNSEL FOR 6 10:58AM 10:58AM 7 ARISTA HAS SHOWN YOU. AND THIS IS WHAT SHE SAYS: I UNDERSTAND THAT THE COPYRIGHTS AT ISSUE DO NOT RELATE TO THE 8 10:58AM 10:58AM 9 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CLI, WHICH IS EXECUTED BY THE UNDERLYING 10:58AM 10 SOURCE CODE. 10:58AM 11 THIS RIGHT HERE ANSWERS ALL THE QUESTIONS. BECAUSE AGAIN, 10:58AM 12 WE ARE TALKING ABOUT RULE 26, WE ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT WHETHER 10:58AM 13 WE COULD, IN ISOLATION, CHERRY PICK THIS WORD OR NOT. 10:58AM 14 THEY KNEW. AND THAT ANSWERS THAT QUESTION 10:58AM 15 THE COURT: SO I THINK I'M SATISFIED THAT YOU HAVE GIVEN SUFFICIENT DISCLOSURE UNDER RULE 26. 10:58AM 16 17 THE ISSUE FOR ME IS THE FINAL ISSUE OF WHETHER I'M GOING TO 10:58AM 18 APPLY OUT-OF-CIRCUIT LAW TO THE DEFINITION OF THE WORK AND 10:58AM 10:58AM 19 ALLOW, REALLY, THE DISAGREEMENT ON THE SCOPE TO PLAY OUT IN THE 20 PRESENTATION OF THE CASE ON FAIR USE. 10:59AM 21 AND IT REALLY GETS DOWN TO WHAT IS THE SUBSTANTIAL PORTION 10:59AM 10:59AM 22 OF THE QUALITATIVE PORTION OF THE PROGRAM. 10:59AM 23 MR. PAK: YES, YOUR HONOR. 10:59AM 24 BUT I DO THINK IT'S IMPORTANT FOR YOUR HONOR TO BE ABLE TO 10:59AM 25 INSTRUCT THE JURY THAT, LOOK, CISCO IS NOT, CONSISTENT WITH ALL

10:59AM	1	OF THESE DISCOVERY RESPONSES AND OUR COMPLAINT, CISCO IS NOT
10:59AM	2	GOING TO COME IN HERE AND ASSERT THAT THE CODE IS THE
10:59AM	3	COPYRIGHTED WORK AT ISSUE.
10:59AM	4	THE COURT: RIGHT.
10:59AM	5	MR. PAK: BECAUSE OTHERWISE THEY HAVE NO IDEA WHAT
10:59AM	6	TYPE OF COPYING IS INVOLVED, WHAT TYPE OF COPYRIGHTABLE ISSUES
10:59AM	7	ARE INVOLVED
10:59AM	8	THE COURT: I PRESUME I CAN INSTRUCT THE JURY UP
10:59AM	9	FRONT THIS CASE DOESN'T INVOLVE ALLEGATIONS OF COPYING SOURCE
10:59AM	10	CODE.
10:59AM	11	MR. PAK: THAT'S RIGHT, YOUR HONOR.
10:59AM	12	AND I THINK FOR YOU TO THEN SAY THAT THE COPYRIGHTED WORK
10:59AM	13	THAT CISCO IS ALLEGING IS THE USER INTERFACE, I THINK THAT
10:59AM	14	WOULD BE ENTIRELY CONSISTENT WITH THE CASE LAW.
11:00AM	15	THERE'S NOTHING EVEN IF YOUR HONOR WANTED TO COUCH IT IN
11:00AM	16	TERMS OF, THIS IS WHAT CISCO IS ALLEGING AS THE COPYRIGHTED
11:00AM	17	WORK, IS THE USER INTERFACE
11:00AM	18	THE COURT: BUT YOU ARE TELLING ME THAT THE I
11:00AM	19	DON'T HAVE TO BE CONCERNED ABOUT NINTH CIRCUIT LAW ON THE
11:00AM	20	INDEPENDENT ECONOMIC VALUE.
11:00AM	21	MR. PAK: ABSOLUTELY NOT, BECAUSE THERE IS NO
11:00AM	22	NINTH CIRCUIT LAW THAT NEGATES ALL THE MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY
11:00AM	23	CASES. THIS WASN'T JUST A ONE-DISTRICT CASE, YOUR HONOR, THIS
11:00AM	24	HAS BEEN CITED BY A NUMBER OF COURTS AROUND THE COUNTRY.
11:00AM	25	AND EVEN IN AND I WANTED TO NOTE EVEN IN THE ONE

11:00AM	1	NINTH CIRCUIT CASE THAT COUNSEL FOR ARISTA CITED, THEY WERE
11:00AM	2	LOOKING AT SCREEN SHOTS IN THE CONTEXT OF THE OVER ALL USER
11:00AM	3	INTERFACE.
11:00AM	4	THEIR POINT WAS IF I TAKE A FEW SCREEN SHOTS OUT OF THE
11:00AM	5	TOTALITY OF ALL THE SCREEN SHOTS THAT CAN BE GENERATED USER
11:00AM	6	INTERFACE, IS THAT A FAIR WAY TO TREAT THE COPYRIGHTABLE WORK
11:01AM	7	TO SLICE OUT A FEW OF THE SCREENS OUT OF THE MANY SCREENS THAT
11:01AM	8	COULD BE IN THAT DISCUSSION THAT THE NINTH CIRCUIT DOES,
11:01AM	9	THERE'S NO DISCUSSION OF CODE.
11:01AM	10	AND THAT'S THE ISSUE, YOUR HONOR. THE CODE IS WHAT'S
11:01AM	11	REALLY IMPORTANT IS THEY HAD NEVER CITED TO YOU ANY CASE FROM
11:01AM	12	THE NINTH CIRCUIT THAT SAYS IT WOULD BE WRONG FOR A DISTRICT
11:01AM	13	COURT JUDGE IN OUR CIRCUIT TO ALLOW A PLAINTIFF TO ASSERT A
11:01AM	14	COPYRIGHTED WORK AS THE USER INTERFACE WHEN THEY HAVE
11:01AM	15	THE COURT: SO HAVE YOU GIVEN ME ANY CASE WHERE USER
11:01AM	16	INTERFACE WAS AT ISSUE?
11:01AM	17	MR. PAK: THE SYNOPSYS CASE, YOUR HONOR, AND
11:01AM	18	THE COURT: SYNOPSYS WAS USER INTERFACE?
11:01AM	19	MR. PAK: THAT WAS VERY SIMILAR IN TERMS OF THE
11:01AM	20	COMMAND LINE INTERFACE TECHNOLOGY.
11:01AM	21	THERE ARE OTHER CASES, I JUST WANT TO ALSO NOTE THAT IF
11:01AM	22	YOUR HONOR REALLY STOPS TO THINK ABOUT WHAT'S BEING SAID, THINK
11:01AM	23	ABOUT ALL THE MOVIE CASES THAT WE HAVE AND THE MUSIC CASES IN
11:01AM	24	THE NINTH CIRCUIT, IT MAKES NO DIFFERENCE WHETHER I ENCODE A
11:01AM	25	MOVIE USING A DIFFERENT ENCODING SCHEME WHERE THE BITS ARE

11:01AM	1	DIFFERENT. IT DOESN'T MATTER WHETHER I USE FILM OR A VCR TAPE
11:02AM	2	OR A AND THEN IN THE NINTH CIRCUIT THERE ARE A COUPLE OF
11:02AM	3	OTHER NINTH CIRCUIT CASES YOUR HONOR THAT WE DID NOTE, THIS IS
11:02AM	4	FOOTNOTE NUMBER ONE, THE APPLE COMPUTER V. MICROSOFT CASE.
11:02AM	5	THAT'S THE NORTHERN CALIFORNIA 1992 CASE.
11:02AM	6	THE COURT: IS THAT ONE OF THE DISTRICT COURT
11:02AM	7	DECISIONS?
11:02AM	8	MR. PAK: THAT'S ONE OF THE DISTRICT COURT CASES,
11:02AM	9	YOUR HONOR.
11:02AM	10	COMPUTER ACCESS TECHNOLOGIES V. CATALYST.
11:02AM	11	THE COURT: AND THESE ARE IN YOUR BRIEF?
11:02AM	12	MR. PAK: THESE ARE IN MY BRIEF, YOUR HONOR.
11:02AM	13	THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, I WILL CERTAINLY HAVE THAT.
11:02AM	14	MR. PAK: SO AGAIN, I THINK WHAT WE ARE ASKING IS WE
11:02AM	15	ARE SAYING WE SHOULD BE ABLE TO ASSERT WHAT WE HAVE DISCLOSED
11:02AM	16	IN DISCOVERY. THERE'S BEEN NO NINTH CIRCUIT LAW THAT PRECLUDES
11:02AM	17	THE ABILITY TO ASSERT COPYRIGHTABLE WORK AS THE USER INTERFACE.
11:02AM	18	THE COURT: WELL, WHEN I CAME IN THIS MORNING I
11:02AM	19	ACTUALLY THOUGHT YOUR POSITION WAS STRONG AND NOT REMARKABLE,
11:02AM	20	AS YOU SAY.
11:02AM	21	LET'S TAKE A BREAK. LET'S COME BACK AT A QUARTER PAST AND
11:02AM	22	THEN WE WILL BE ABLE TO MOVE ON, I HOPE.
11:03AM	23	MR. PAK: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
11:03AM	24	(WHEREUPON A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
11:18AM	25	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IT WOULD BE A PERFECT WORLD

11:18AM	1
11:18AM	2
11:18AM	3
11:18AM	4
11:18AM	5
11:18AM	6
11:18AM	7
11:18AM	8
11:18AM	9
11:18AM	10
11:18AM	11
11:19AM	12
11:19AM	13
11:19AM	14
11:19AM	15
11:19AM	16
11:19AM	17
11:19AM	18
11:19AM	19
11:19AM	20
11:19AM	21
11:19AM	22
11:19AM	23
11:19AM	24
11:19AM	25

IF I HAD, NOW, A COUPLE OF WEEKS TO PREPARE A LENGTHY,
THOUGHTFUL WRITTEN ORDER ON THE DEFINITION OF THE WORKS, AND
THAT PERFECT WORLD ISN'T WHERE WE ARE LIVING TODAY.

AND SO I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT I RULE NOW SO THAT YOU CAN PROCEED TO PREPARING YOUR PRESENTATION FOR TRIAL AND THAT WE CAN HAVE SOME CLEAR JURY INSTRUCTIONS.

AND YOU DID BRIEF THIS QUITE A BIT AGO, I DIDN'T PREPARE A WRITTEN ORDER IN ADVANCE OF TODAY'S HEARING.

ON THE RULE 26 ISSUE, I'M SATISFIED THAT BY THE SECOND

AMENDED COMPLAINT AND THE RESPONSES TO DISCOVERY, THAT CISCO

HAS ADEQUATELY DISCLOSED ITS INTENTION TO PROCEED ON THE

DEFINITION OF ITS WORKS, INCLUDING ITS USER INTERFACE, AND NOT

ITS ENTIRE OPERATING SYSTEM.

AND SO ON THAT GROUND, I WILL DENY THE MOTION, OR WHEREVER WE ARE, THE DEFINITION TO STRIKE THE REQUEST FOR THE DEFINITION OF USER INTERFACE AS THE OPERATING SYSTEM.

ON THE ISSUE OF THE -- THE LEGAL ISSUE THAT MR. KWUN

ARGUED, I THINK IT'S A DIFFICULT ISSUE. I THINK THAT THE CASES

THAT ARE CITED PERTAIN TO SPECIFIC FACTUAL CIRCUMSTANCES AND

DIFFERENT PROCEDURAL POINTS IN THE CASES, BUT I AM PERSUADED

BASED UPON THE MANUFACTURERS TECHNOLOGY CASE, AND THAT LINE OF

CASES THAT HAVE BEEN UTILIZED, I DID LOOK BRIEFLY AT THE APPLE

V. MICROSOFT DECISION, AND OF COURSE IT WASN'T THE HEART OF

THAT DECISION EITHER, BUT IT WAS A CASE THAT WAS BASED ON AN

ANALYSIS OF THE USER INTERFACE THAT, IN FACT, THE REGISTRATION

11:20AM	1
11:20AM	2
11:20AM	3
11:20AM	4
11:20AM	5
11:20AM	6
11:20AM	7
11:20AM	8
11:20AM	9
11:20AM	10
11:20AM	11
11:20AM	12
11:20AM	13
11:20AM	14
11:21AM	15
11:21AM	16
11:21AM	17
11:21AM	18
11:21AM	19
11:21AM	20
11:21AM	21
11:21AM	22
11:21AM	23
11:21AM	24
11:21AM	25

OF THE OPERATING SYSTEM IS CREATING HERE TWO SEPARATE

REGISTRATIONS AND PROTECTABLE WORKS, AND I WILL ALLOW CISCO TO

GO FORWARD ON THE DEFINITION OF ITS WORKS AS EACH OF THE USER

INTERFACES RELATED TO THE PARTICULAR VERSION OF THE OPERATING

SYSTEM AT ISSUE.

I DO AGREE WITH MR. KWUN THAT WHEN WE GET TO THE FAIR USE ARGUMENT IN THE CASE, FOR THAT DEFENSE, THAT WHETHER OR NOT THERE'S INDEPENDENT ECONOMIC VALUE OF THE USER INTERFACE, MAY BE AN ISSUE THAT WILL ALLOW YOU TO COMPLETELY WIN AND PREVAIL ON YOUR FAIR USE DEFENSE. BUT OBVIOUSLY, YOU WOULD HAVE PREFERRED IT TO BE AN ARGUMENT IN THE YOUR ARSENAL AT THE EARLIER STAGE OF DETERMINING INFRINGEMENT AND I RECOGNIZE THAT.

BUT THE ISSUE IS CERTAINLY ALIVE, AS YOU HAVE SHOWN ME
HERE, AND I THINK THAT WE PERHAPS MOVE THE PRESENTATION OF
EVIDENCE DOWN THE ROAD, BUT IT WILL STILL BE AVAILABLE FOR THE
PROOF ON THE FAIR USE DEFENSE.

ALL RIGHT. LET'S MOVE ON THEN. AND I THOUGHT THIS FIRST PART WOULD TAKE ABOUT 30 MINUTES, SO WE ARE ABOUT TWO-AND-A-HALF HOURS LATE FROM WHERE I THOUGHT WE WOULD BE. SO, YOU ARE, I'M SURE, EXQUISITELY ORGANIZED TO LEAD ME THROUGH THIS, ALTHOUGH I'M NOT SURE HOW IT HAPPENED THAT I TORMENTED YOU OF BRIEFING THIS ISSUE OF ANALYTIC DISSECTION SO MANY TIMES, AND GOING BACK THROUGH THE BRIEFING, I REALLY WAS SORRY YOU HAD DONE THAT SO MANY TIMES, BUT THANK YOU.

MR. VAN NEST: DID YOU WANT TO GO TO WHERE YOU

11:21AM	1	STARTED THIS MORNING ON THE LIST OF AGREED POINTS?
11:21AM	2	THE COURT: I GUESS, THAT'S HOW WE TEED IT UP.
11:21AM	3	ORIGINALLY YOU HAD SUGGESTED, MR. VAN NEST, THAT YOU HAD A
11:22AM	4	DIFFERENT WAY YOU WOULD LIKE TO PRESENT IT.
11:22AM	5	MR. VAN NEST: I DON'T THINK SO. I THINK THAT'S
11:22AM	6	FINE.
11:22AM	7	WHAT I WANTED TO DO IS START WHERE WE DID START, AND WE
11:22AM	8	DID, WITH THE ARGUMENTS WE ALREADY HEARD.
11:22AM	9	MR. SILBERT IS HERE AND HE'S PREPARED TO GO THROUGH THAT
11:22AM	10	LIST WITH YOU OF ITEMS THAT ARE NOT PROTECTABLE, MANY OF WHICH
11:22AM	11	ARE STIPULATED, SOME OF WHICH ARE NOT, AND TRY TO GET THAT DONE
11:22AM	12	SO WE CAN HAVE AN INSTRUCTION, EITHER PRELIMINARY OR FINAL ON
11:22AM	13	THAT AND HAVE THAT RESOLVED.
11:22AM	14	THE COURT: AND I'VE ASKED YOU THIS BEFORE, MR. VAN
11:22AM	15	NEST, AND MAYBE YOU WANT TO DEFER TO MR. SILBERT BETTER AND I
11:22AM	16	WILL HEAR FROM EITHER OF YOU. ON THE COMMAND LINES, THE
11:22AM	17	MULTIWORD COMMAND LINES, THERE ARE 508 LINES, AND THERE ARE
11:22AM	18	SOME, YOU'VE CATEGORIZED THEM FOR ME IN DIFFERENT WAYS. BUT
11:22AM	19	IT'S NOT CLEAR TO ME WHETHER I NEED TO LOOK AT EACH ONE OR
11:22AM	20	WHETHER I'M GOING TO TAKE A MORE DISTANT VIEW OF THEM AT A
11:23AM	21	HIGHER LEVEL ANALYSIS.
11:23AM	22	MR. VAN NEST: I THINK IT'S THE LATTER. I AM GOING
11:23AM	23	TO DEFER TO MR. SILBERT, BUT I THINK WE CONCLUDED THAT LOOKING
11:23AM	24	AT EACH ONE WAS NOT PRACTICAL.
11:23AM	25	SO I WILL LET MR. SILBERT ADDRESS THAT.

11:23AM	1	THE COURT: AND MR. PAK, I'M GOING TO, I'M STARTING
11:23AM	2	HERE WITH THE DEFENSE. DO YOU HAVE ANY OBJECTION TO THAT? OR
11:23AM	3	MR. NELSON?
11:23AM	4	MR. NELSON: I'M GOING TO YOU WILL HEAR FROM ME
11:23AM	5	TOO. THAT'S FINE, YOUR HONOR. IT'S REALLY HOW YOU WANT TO DO
11:23AM	6	IT AND YOU THINK IS GOING TO BE MORE USEFUL.
11:23AM	7	I KNOW THERE WERE A COUPLE OF THINGS YOU EXPRESSED IN
11:23AM	8	CHAMBERS FRIDAY THAT YOU MIGHT HAVE HAD SOME CONCERNS WITH,
11:23AM	9	SPECIFICALLY THE HIERARCHIES AND MAYBE SOME OF THE MODES AND
11:23AM	10	PROMPTS, SO WE CERTAINLY CAN EXPLAIN THAT.
11:23AM	11	I ALSO PLANNED TO MAYBE EXPLAIN SOME OF THE PROCESS HERE
11:23AM	12	CONSISTENT WITH THE CDN, ON THESE COMMANDS, BUT IT DOESN'T
11:23AM	13	SOUND LIKE YOU ARE REALLY GOING TO THE INDIVIDUAL COMMANDS, SO
11:23AM	14	THAT PART MAY BE SOMETHING WE CAN JUST SKIP OVER.
11:23AM	15	THE COURT: AND I GUESS FOR BOTH OF YOU, ONE OF MY
11:23AM	16	CONCERNS IS THAT I THINK IT COULD BE ERROR IF I SIMPLY HAVE
11:24AM	17	SOME CUT OFF, SAY WITH WORDS AND PHRASES. ANYTHING THAT'S
11:24AM	18	FEWER THAN FOUR WORDS IS OUT. I DON'T THINK I CAN DO THAT.
11:24AM	19	AND ONE WORD IS NOT ON THE TABLE ANYMORE.
11:24AM	20	SO THEN WE HAVE THE, MR. SILBERT, YOU'VE ARGUED IT AS THE
11:24AM	21	NUMBER THAT ARE TWO-WORD PHRASES, AND THE NUMBER OF PHRASES
11:24AM	22	THAT ARE THREE WORDS.
11:24AM	23	AND SO AGAIN, YOU SEEM TO BE ASKING ME TO DRAW A LYNN OF
11:24AM	24	TWO WORDS IS OUT. AND I DON'T ACTUALLY SEE ANY SUPPORT IN THE
11:24AM	25	LAW FOR SUCH A BUTCHER KNIFE APPROACH.

1 11:24AM 2 11:24AM 3 11:24AM 11:24AM 4 11:24AM 11:24AM 6 11:24AM 7 11:25AM 8 11:25AM 9 11:25AM 10 11:25AM 11 11:25AM 12 11:25AM 13 11:25AM 14 11:25AM 15 11:25AM 16 11:25AM 17 11:25AM 18 11:25AM 19 11:25AM 20 11:25AM 21 11:25AM 22 11:25AM 23 11:25AM 24 11:25AM 25

MR. SILBERT: AND YOUR HONOR --

THE COURT: AND THAT'S ONE ISSUE AND IT'S NOT AT THE TOP OF THE LIST. I'M JUST TRYING TO DECIDE WHETHER THERE ARE REALLY CATEGORIES OR NOT.

MR. SILBERT: IT'S ONE ISSUE, BUT I THINK IT IS AN ISSUE THAT CAN MAKE OUR JOBS AND OUR LIVES A LITTLE BIT EASIER AT THIS STAGE OF THE PROCEEDING. AND IT IS AT LEAST ONE POINT ON WHICH I THINK WE ALL AGREE.

BECAUSE I UNDERSTOOD YOUR HONOR TO SAY WHEN WE WERE

TOGETHER ON FRIDAY THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO UNDERSTAND SOME

INFORMATION AND SOME CONTEXT ABOUT THE INDIVIDUAL WORDS IN THE

COMMANDS EVEN SHORT TWO-WORD COMMANDS BEFORE MAKING A DECISION

ABOUT THE WORDS AND SHORT PHRASES DOCTRINE. YOU WEREN'T GOING

TO USE THAT HIGH LEVEL CUTOFF.

THE COURT: THAT'S RIGHT.

MR. SILBERT: AND THAT THEN TAKES US, I THINK, TO THE QUESTION YOU STARTED WITH WITH MR. VAN NEST, WHICH IS DO YOU NEED TO AND ARE WE -- SHOULD WE NOW BE GOING KIND OF COMMAND-BY-COMMAND AND MAKING INDIVIDUAL DECISIONS.

AND I THINK OUR UNDERSTANDING, AND I BELIEVE THIS IS

CONSISTENT WITH CISCO'S UNDERSTANDING OF THIS PRETRIAL PROCESS

AT A MINIMUM, THE DECISIONS YOU ARE GOING TO MAKE NOW ARE THAT

THEY WOULD NOT INVOLVE THAT LEVEL OF GRANULARITY.

WE ARE TRYING TO MAKE THE DECISIONS WE CAN MAKE NOW WITHOUT HAVING TO HEAR EVIDENCE ABOUT WHERE EVERY INDIVIDUAL WORD CAME

11:26AM	1	FROM AND SO FORTH.
11:26AM	2	SO I THINK ON THAT PARTICULAR ISSUE, I THINK THE RESULT IS
11:26AM	3	THAT IT'S NOT SOMETHING YOU PROBABLY COULD TACKLE NOW AND THAT
11:26AM	4	IT'S PENDING YOUR HEARING THE EVIDENCE AT TRIAL.
11:26AM	5	THE COURT: OKAY.
11:26AM	6	SO ARE YOU GOING TO START, JUST WALK THROUGH THE LIST OF
11:26AM	7	ITEMS THAT WE, THAT YOU AGREED UPON FOR THIS HEARING?
11:26AM	8	MR. SILBERT: WELL, YES. I THINK SO.
11:26AM	9	I PLAN TO WHAT I PLANNED TO DO WAS TO REFER TO THE LIST
11:26AM	10	WE CREATED IN OUR REVISED PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTION, BECAUSE WHAT
11:26AM	11	YOUR HONOR HAD INDICATED AT THE WHEN WE WERE TOGETHER ON
11:26AM	12	FRIDAY WAS YOU DID NOT TO PRESENT A LIST TO THE JURY BUT OF
11:26AM	13	WHAT IS EVERYONE AGREES IS NOT PROTECTABLE. BUT YOU WANTED
11:26AM	14	IT AT A HIGHER LEVEL I THINK WAS YOUR
11:26AM	15	THE COURT: THAT'S CORRECT.
11:26AM	16	BUT AND I'M SORRY, I HAVE NOTES IN TOO MANY PLACES. BUT
11:27AM	17	I WAS ABLE TO EASILY EXTRACT FROM YOUR BRIEFING, A LIST OF 12
11:27AM	18	SUBJECTS THAT CISCO WAS NOT ASSERTING, SUCH AS INDIVIDUAL
11:27AM	19	ISOLATED WORDS THAT WERE PRE-EXISTING AND WELL KNOWN. YOU ARE
11:27AM	20	NOT ASSERTING THAT.
11:27AM	21	WHAT MR. VAN NEST DID OR SIGNED THIS BRIEF, YOU JUST
11:27AM	22	EXTRACTED WHAT CISCO SAID IN ITS PAPERS, AND YOU QUOTED THEM
11:27AM	23	EXACTLY.
11:27AM	24	MR. NELSON: WELL, I THINK THAT'S RIGHT, YOUR HONOR.
11:27AM	25	AND I HAD ACTUALLY PLANNED TO MAYBE GO THROUGH SOME OF THESE
		1

1 11:27AM 2 11:27AM 3 11:27AM 11:27AM 11:28AM 6 11:28AM 11:28AM 8 11:28AM 11:28AM 9 11:28AM 10 11:28AM 11 11:28AM 12 11:28AM 13 11:28AM 14 11:28AM 15 11:28AM 16 17 11:28AM 18 11:28AM 11:28AM 19 20 11:28AM 21 11:29AM 11:29AM 22 11:29AM 23 11:29AM 24 11:29AM 25

THINGS A BIT TO GIVE SOME CONTEXT BECAUSE I KNOW THAT THERE'S BEEN SOME CONFUSION. I THINK IT PARTICULARLY HELPS WITH RESPECT TO EXPLAINING SOME OF THE HIERARCHIES AND THINGS.

BUT ON THAT POINT, IT'S TRUE WHAT WE HAVE GONE THROUGH AND WHAT WE IDENTIFIED IN THE BRIEFING WERE THINGS THAT WE ARE NOT ASSERTING IN THE CASE, RIGHT.

AND WE TALKED A BIT ABOUT THIS ON FRIDAY. WE ARE NOT ASSERTING THAT BECAUSE WE USED A PARTICULAR WORD, THAT MAKES THAT COMMAND PROTECTABLE, RIGHT. IT'S THE OVERALL CHOICE IN TERMS OF THE ORDER OF THE WORDS, WHAT PARTICULAR THINGS WERE SELECTED, ALL OF THOSE KINDS OF THINGS.

SO WE ARE NOT SAYING WE CAME UP WITH AN INDUSTRY STANDARD TERM. WE ARE NOT SAYING THAT THIS COMMAND IS PROTECTABLE, NECESSARILY, THERE ARE SOME WHERE WE ACTUALLY DID INVENT THE TERMS, BUT EVEN ON THAT BASIS, THAT'S NOT REALLY WHAT WE ARE ARGUING ABOUT HERE.

SO WE ARE NOT GOING THROUGH AND SAYING HEY, BECAUSE WE PICKED THIS WORD, BPDU, THAT MAKES THIS COMMAND PROTECTABLE. THAT ISN'T THE LEVEL OF ASSERTION THAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT HERE. AND THAT'S WHAT WE HAD KIND OF GONE THROUGH BECAUSE MAYBE IT SIMPLIFIES THINGS FOR YOUR HONOR.

THE ONLY CONCERN THAT I HAVE WITH RESPECT TO THE JURY INSTRUCTIONS, AND THIS WAS DISCUSSED A BIT BY MR. PAK IN CHAMBERS ON FRIDAY AS WELL, IS WE DON'T WANT TO CREATE THE IMPRESSION THAT YOU CROSSED THOSE WORDS OUT. YOU KNOW WHAT I'M

11:29AM	1	SAYING. AND YOUR HONOR TALKED ABOUT THAT.
11:29AM	2	SO LET'S SAY THEY OFFER A TERM, AND IT'S THREE-WORDS IN THE
11:29AM	3	COMMAND OR FOUR, WHATEVER, AND DR. BLACK GETS UP AND SAYS, WELL
11:29AM	4	THIS TERM, THIS WORD IS AN INDUSTRY STANDARD TERM, RIGHT.
11:29AM	5	MEANING IT PRE-EXISTED AND PEOPLE USED IT AND WHATEVER.
11:29AM	6	THE COURT: YEAH, YEAH.
11:29AM	7	MR. NELSON: THEREFORE BLACK IT OUT. THAT'S NOT WHAT
11:29AM	8	YOU DO.
11:29AM	9	BUT I AGREE THAT ON THE BASIS OF THOSE TERMS, THAT ISN'T A
11:29AM	10	BASIS UPON WHICH WE'RE ASSERTING ORIGINALITY.
11:29AM	11	THE COURT: AND THE JURY IS GOING TO HAVE TO
11:29AM	12	DETERMINE WHETHER THERE IS THE CREATIVE SPARK IN COMBINING
11:29AM	13	THOSE TERMS.
11:29AM	14	MR. NELSON: CORRECT.
11:29AM	15	THE COURT: AND I'M HOPING TO SEPARATE THIS ARGUMENT
11:29AM	16	FROM THE JURY INSTRUCTIONS, BUT IN THAT PRELIMINARY
11:30AM	17	INSTRUCTION, WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO IS TO DRAW SOME OF THOSE
11:30AM	18	DISTINCTIONS FOR THE JURY.
11:30AM	19	YOU WILL NOT BE ASKED TO DETERMINE IF AN INDIVIDUAL WORD IS
11:30AM	20	PROTECTABLE UNDER THE COPYRIGHT LAWS, RATHER YOU ARE GOING TO
11:30AM	21	BE ASKED TO DETERMINE WHETHER CISCO HAS PROVED ORIGINALITY OR
11:30AM	22	COPYRIGHTABILITY, WHATEVER WORD WE WANT TO DO, IN THE CREATION
11:30AM	23	OF THE COMBINATION OF WORDS.
11:30AM	24	MR. NELSON: EXACTLY.
11:30AM	25	THE COURT: THAT'S AT A HIGH LEVEL VERY SIMPLE

1 11:30AM 2 11:30AM 3 11:30AM 11:30AM 4 11:30AM 6 11:30AM 11:30AM 7 THAT IN A VERY NEUTRAL WAY. 8 11:31AM 11:31AM 9 11:31AM 10 11:31AM 11 11:31AM 12 11:31AM 13 TABLE. 11:31AM 14 11:31AM 15 11:31AM 16 17 11:31AM 18 11:31AM 11:31AM 19 11:31AM 20 11:31AM 21 11:31AM 22 11:31AM 23 11:31AM 24 11:31AM 25

WITHOUT REALLY GETTING INTO THE LAW, OF JUST SORT OF WARNING THEM OFF OF SOME THINGS THAT ARE NOT ON THE TABLE.

AND THIS I THINK SPEAKS TO ARISTA'S CONCERN THAT THEY NOT

BE DRAWN INTO SEEING COPYING OF THINGS THAT AREN'T PROTECTABLE

BEFORE THEY GET THE INSTRUCTION. IT WILL BE HARD TO SHAKE THEM

FROM THAT BELIEF. AND SO I WANT TO DO THAT, BUT I WANT TO DO

THAT IN A VERY NEUTRAL WAY.

YOU ARE NOT GOING TO BE ASKED TO DO THIS, YOU ARE GOING TO BE ASKED TO DO THIS. AND THE HAVE A FEW CATEGORIES LIKE THAT, THAT'S WHAT I WAS LOOKING AT IN INSTRUCTION NUMBER 12, THAT I THOUGHT JUST GOT TOO GRANULAR FOR AN EARLY PHASE. BUT THAT KIND OF BEGS THE QUESTION OF WHAT WE ARE GOING TO TAKE OFF THE TABLE.

SO MR. NELSON, CISCO HAS ACKNOWLEDGED A NUMBER OF THINGS
IT'S NOT SEEKING PROTECTION ON. SOME OF THEM ARE SO
COMPLICATED THAT IT WOULD CONFUSE THE JURY TO EVEN TELL THEM
WHAT IT IS AND THEN NEVER MIND.

AND I THINK IN YOUR ARGUMENT AND THE JURY INSTRUCTIONS, YOU'VE SUGGESTED THAT. AND I AGREE WITH YOU.

SO MY LIST OF 12, SOME OF THEM ARE VERY DIFFICULT TO EVEN UNDERSTAND, THEY ARE SO SPECIFIC.

MR. SILBERT: YOUR HONOR, IF I MAY JUST AS A PROCESS POINT, BECAUSE I'M NOT SURE WE WERE CLEAR.

WE DID, AFTER WE WERE TOGETHER ON FRIDAY, YOUR HONOR SAID SOMETHING SIMILAR TO WHAT YOU JUST SAID, WHICH WAS THE LIST WE

11:31AM	1	HAD WHICH WAS THE SAME LIST WE EXTRACTED FROM CISCO'S BRIEFING
11:32AM	2	IN OUR ORIGINAL INSTRUCTION 12 WAS TOO GRANULAR TO PRESENT.
11:32AM	3	THE COURT: YEAH.
11:32AM	4	MR. SILBERT: AND THAT YOU PREFERRED SOMETHING HIGH
11:32AM	5	LEVEL.
11:32AM	6	WE DID GO AND REVISE IT.
11:32AM	7	THE COURT: OH, GOOD.
11:32AM	8	MR. SILBERT: AND WE FILED IT, I BELIEVE LAST NIGHT.
11:32AM	9	WE MADE AN ATTEMPT TO DO A MORE HIGH LEVEL.
11:32AM	10	THE COURT: SO MINE IS THE SECOND ONE.
11:32AM	11	MR. SILBERT: PROBABLY THE OLDER ONE.
11:32AM	12	THE COURT: I CAN'T KEEP READING WHAT AMOUNTS TO
11:32AM	13	400 PAGES EVERY TIME YOU PUT IT OUT, SO I'VE STOPPED READING.
11:32AM	14	AND YOU CAN GIVE ME A REDRAFTED ONE INDIVIDUALLY, BUT I'M
11:32AM	15	NOT GOING TO HUNT THROUGH. AND YOU FILED IT CORRECTLY,
11:32AM	16	MR. SILBERT, BUT I'M NOT READING IT. I AM NOT READING IT, AND
11:32AM	17	I CAN'T BECAUSE I DON'T HAVE ANOTHER 30 HOURS TO START OVER
11:32AM	18	AGAIN ON TRYING TO PARSE WHAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN 400 PAGES BUT
11:32AM	19	YOU CHOSE, BOTH OF YOU, TO SINGLE-SPACE 200 PAGES OF DOCUMENT.
11:32AM	20	SO I'M GLAD YOU FILED IT, AND IF YOU WANT IT USED, YOU ARE
11:32AM	21	GOING TO HAVE TO POINT IT OUT TO ME, BECAUSE I'M NOT READING
11:32AM	22	WHAT YOU GAVE ME.
11:33AM	23	MR. SILBERT: ABSOLUTELY, UNDERSTOOD.
11:33AM	24	THE COURT: I THINK THEN, MR. SILBERT, I BETTER LET
11:33AM	25	MR. NELSON START BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW HOW YOU WANT TO ORGANIZE

	ı
11:33AM	1
11:33AM	2
11:33AM	3
11:33AM	4
11:33AM	5
11:33AM	6
11:33AM	7
11:33AM	8
11:33AM	9
11:33AM	10
11:33AM	11
11:33AM	12
11:33AM	13
11:33AM	14
11:33AM	15
11:33AM	16
11:34AM	17
11:34AM	18
11:34AM	19
11:34AM	20
11:34AM	21
11:34AM	22
11:34AM	23
11:34AM	24
11:34AM	25

THIS DISCUSSION, AND IT'S NOT EXACTLY CLEAR WHAT YOU ARE EXPECTING AS A RESULT OF THIS ARGUMENT.

WE WILL GO FOR ABOUT A HALF AN HOUR AND THEN TAKE OUR LUNCH BREAK, AND THEN WE WILL COME BACK THIS AFTERNOON.

SO I'M SORRY, MR. SILBERT, WE ARE JUST GOING TO TRADE PLACES HERE.

MR. SILBERT: THAT'S FINE.

MR. NELSON: I HAVE SOME SLIDES TOO, YOUR HONOR.

AND DON'T GET CONCERNED BY THE THICKNESS BECAUSE I DON'T

USE THEM ALL, SOME OF THEM IS JUST WHAT YOU ARE INTERESTED IN.

AND SOME OF THEM THERE'S, LIKE, SOME PRINTED OUT ANIMATIONS.

AND YOU KNOW WHEN YOU DO AN ANIMATION, IT MAKES A LOT OF PAGES.

SO LET ME JUST FIRST START WITH SOMETHING PROBABLY MAYBE A LITTLE TOO BASIC, BUT I WOULD JUST LIKE TO MAKE SURE WE ARE ON THE SAME PAGE IN THE PROCESS.

SO THE WAY I UNDERSTAND THIS, WHAT WE ARE DOING NOW, SO WE'VE DEFINED THE WORK, THE WORK HAS WHAT THE WORK HAS, AND WE ALLEGE THAT THEY COPIED SOME PORTION OF THAT, RIGHT.

BUT THEN IT ISN'T NECESSARILY JUST SIMPLY UP TO US ABOUT WHETHER WE CAN ARGUE THOSE THINGS TO THE JURY, SAY HEY, LOOK, THEY COPIED THIS, THEY COPIED THAT, BECAUSE THERE MAY BE SOME THINGS THAT YOUR HONOR THINKS BASED UPON THE LAW, THAT NO, NO, NO, YOU CAN'T ARGUE THAT BECAUSE THAT REALLY ISN'T SOMETHING THAT'S PROTECTABLE UNDER THE LAW, SO I'M NOT GOING TO LET YOU DO THAT BECAUSE THEY COULD GET THE WRONG IDEA AND BASE

1 INFRINGEMENT ON A WRONG THING. 11:34AM SO WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO DO HERE IS FIGURE OUT WHAT THOSE 2 11:34AM GUIDELINES ARE, THE PARAMETERS AROUND WHAT THAT EVIDENCE IS 3 11:34AM 11:34AM 4 THAT I CAN OFFER. ME AND MY COLLEAGUES, BUT FROM THE CISCO 11:34AM SIDE. SO WITH THAT, I KNOW THAT THERE'S BEEN SOME DISCUSSION IN 6 11:34AM 11:34AM 7 THE PAST, BUT I THINK IT'S HELPFUL TO UNDERSTAND SOME OF THE CONTEXT OF WHAT THESE ARE AND WHAT CHOICES GO IN. BECAUSE WHEN 8 11:34AM 11:35AM 9 WE ARE TALKING ABOUT TEXTUAL, RELATIVELY SMALL TEXTUAL PHRASES, 11:35AM 10 FOR LACK OF A BETTER TERM, YOU KNOW, SOME OF THEM TWO WORDS, SOME OF THEM, 3, 4, 5, YOU KNOW YOU KIND OF GET THE IMPRESSION 11:35AM 11 11:35AM 12 AFTER THE FACT, THAT SEEMS PRETTY BASIC, RIGHT. IT HAPPENS A 11:35AM 13 LOT OF TIMES. 11:35AM 14 THE COURT: WELL, IT SEEMS BASIC, BUT REALLY, IT GETS 11:35AM 15 TO ME, GETS TO THE POINT WHERE THERE'S REALLY ONLY ONE WAY TO SAY, SET CLOCK. 16 11:35AM MR. NELSON: THAT'S WHY I WANT TO TALK ABOUT SOME OF 17 11:35AM 18 THESE THINGS TO GIVE SOME CONTEXT. 11:35AM 11:35AM 19 OBVIOUSLY YOUR HONOR IS GOING TO MAKE THE DECISION IN THE END, AND THAT'S YOUR JOB. I DON'T GET PAID FOR THAT. ALL I 11:35AM 20 21 GET PAID IS TO TRY TO SHOW YOU THE INFORMATION FOR YOU TO DO 11:35AM 11:35AM 22 THAT. 11:35AM 23 SO THAT'S WHAT I'M TRYING TO DO HERE, AND IF I'M NOT 11:35AM 24 HELPFUL, PLEASE TELL ME AND I WILL MOVE ON TO SOMETHING ELSE. 11:35AM 25 SO YOU KNOW, ONE OF THE THINGS, IF I GO TO THE FIRST SLIDE

1 11:35AM 2 11:35AM 3 11:35AM 11:36AM 11:36AM 6 11:36AM 11:36AM 7 8 11:36AM 11:36AM 9 11:36AM 10 11:36AM 11 11:36AM 12 11:36AM 13 11:36AM 14 11:36AM 15 16 11:36AM 17 11:36AM 18 11:36AM 11:36AM 19 20 11:36AM 21 11:37AM 11:37AM 22 11:37AM 23 11:37AM 24 11:37AM 25

HERE, JUST FOR ONE TEXT HERE, WE ARE NOT CLAIMING THIS AS A PROTECTABLE ELEMENT, BUT IT IS IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND JUST ON THIS CONCEPT THAT YOUR HONOR SAID ABOUT THE IDEA THAT THERE'S ONLY ONE WAY TO DO THIS, BECAUSE THAT IS PART OF THE MAIN THEME THAT WE HEAR FROM ARISTA OR AT LEAST A VERY SMALL SUBSET OF WAYS TO DO THIS.

SO I MEAN, THAT'S NOT EVEN TRUE FROM THE BEGINNING, AND WE'VE TALKED ABOUT THAT, THAT THERE ARE, AND OTHER PEOPLE OUT THERE IN THE INDUSTRY USED THESE DIFFERENT TYPES OF INTERFACES, RIGHT.

AND SO WHAT CISCO PICKED IS THIS COMMAND LINE INTERFACE
WHICH IS A YOUR TEXTUALLY BASED, BUT THERE ARE OTHERS OUT THERE
THAT HAVE MORE, IF WE WERE THINKING ABOUT WINDOWS, THE
GRAPHICAL USER INTERFACE, THE MENU DRIVEN USER INTERFACE, THOSE
THINGS ARE OUT THERE, THOSE ARE VIABLE CHOICES AND THOSE ARE
CHOICES THAT PEOPLE MADE IN THIS PARTICULAR INDUSTRY.

NOW WE ARE CERTAINLY NOT CLAIMING AS AN ELEMENT, RIGHT,
THAT OH, THE FACT THAT WE PICKED THAT, YOU KNOW, THAT THAT
SOMEHOW IS COPYRIGHTABLE, THESE THINGS EXISTED TO US,
YOUR HONOR IS FAMILIAR WITH THAT, THAT IS THE POINT, BUT THAT
BACKGROUND I THINK IS IMPORTANT TO RECOGNIZE THAT THERE ARE A
LOT OF CHOICES THAT GO INTO THIS THING AND IT'S NOT SIMPLY A
SITUATION WHERE AM YOU'RE IN THIS INDUSTRY, SO IT'S DICTATED
THAT YOU HAVE TO DO THIS. AND THAT, I THINK, IS AN IMPORTANT
THING.

11:37AM	1	SO LET ME JUST PULL OUT, THIS IS FOR DESCRIPTION, SOME OF
11:37AM	2	THESE MULTIWORD COMMAND EXPRESSIONS. THIS IS ONE THAT'S
11:37AM	3	ASSERTED IN THE CASE. THIS IS SPANNING-TREE PORTFAST BDU
11:37AM	4	FILTER DEFAULT.
11:37AM	5	AND I'M NOT GOING THROUGH EACH OF THESE INDIVIDUALLY, I'M
11:37AM	6	USING THIS AS AN EXAMPLE, YOUR HONOR, ON THE CHOICES AND THE
11:37AM	7	CREATIVITY AND THE ORIGINALITY THAT GOES INTO THESE THINGS.
11:37AM	8	SO IF I GO TO SLIDE 4, WE WILL SEE HERE, AND WHAT I HAVE
11:37AM	9	EXCERPTED IS THIS COMES FROM DR. BLACK'S REPORT, YOU WILL
11:37AM	10	REMEMBER WHEN WE TALKED IN CHAMBERS FRIDAY, HE SAID YOU DID
11:37AM	11	THREE THINGS, THE BROWN ONES ARE THE LEGACY COMMAND TERM,
11:38AM	12	MEANING IT'S IN SOME PRE-EXISTING OPERATING SYSTEM, MAYBE NOT
11:38AM	13	INDUSTRY STANDARD MAYBE NOT COMMON INDUSTRY TERM BUT IT'S IN A
11:38AM	14	PREEXISTING ONE.
11:38AM	15	THEN THERE'S THIS IDEA OF THE INDUSTRY STANDARD TERM. AND
11:38AM	16	THAT'S WORD-BY-WORD. THAT'S WHAT HE WENT THROUGH. YOU CAN SEE
11:38AM	17	ON THE COLOR CODING.
11:38AM	18	SO FOR EXAMPLE, IN THIS PARTICULAR ONE HE'S GOT GREEN ON
11:38AM	19	SPANNING-TREE. SO DR. BLACK'S OPINION, RIGHT, THAT
11:38AM	20	SPANNING-TREE, THAT'S AN INDUSTRY STANDARD TERM.
11:38AM	21	THE COURT: PRE-EXISTING CISCO.
11:38AM	22	MR. NELSON: CORRECT.
11:38AM	23	THE COURT: OKAY. THAT'S IMPORTANT HERE.
11:38AM	24	MR. NELSON: IT IS EXACTLY IMPORTANT, AND I SHOULD
11:38AM	25	MAKE THAT CLEAR THAT WHEN I'M TALKING ABOUT THAT, I AM TALKING

1 11:38AM 2 11:38AM 3 11:38AM 11:38AM 4 11:38AM 11:38AM 11:38AM 7 8 11:39AM 11:39AM 9 11:39AM 10 11:39AM 11 11:39AM 12 13 11:39AM 11:39AM 14 11:39AM 15 11:39AM 16 17 11:39AM 18 11:39AM 11:39AM 19 20 11:39AM 21 11:39AM 11:39AM 22 11:39AM 23 11:39AM 24 11:40AM 25

ABOUT, YOU KNOW, AT THE TIME OF CREATION, BECAUSE WHAT HAPPENED AFTERWARDS --

THE COURT: AND WE ARE LOOKING ONLY AT THE TIME OF CREATION HERE.

MR. NELSON: RIGHT. EXACTLY.

WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THE PROTECTABILITY, WE ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT FAIR USE ISSUES AND THINGS LIKE THAT WHERE SOME OF THAT OTHER STUFF MAY OR MAY NOT CREEP IN.

SO THEN THERE'S THE BLUE WITH THE COMMON INDUSTRY TERM, RIGHT. SO I THINK THE DISTINCTION THERE, AS I RECALL, IS IT MIGHT NOT GO TO THE LEVEL OF INDUSTRY STANDARD IN THE SENSE THAT IT'S NOT DEFINED IN SOME IEEE DOCUMENT OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, BUT SAYING HEY, THIS IS THE KIND OF TERM THAT PEOPLE USE A LOT, RIGHT.

YOU CAN SEE EVEN IN THIS COMMAND, TWO OF THE WORDS THEY DON'T CONTEST, THOSE ARE UNIQUE TO CISCO, ALL OF THOSE KINDS OF THINGS. SO THIS ONE WOULD BE PRETTY STRAIGHTFORWARD. SO OF COURSE THAT ISN'T ALWAYS THE CASE, BUT I USE THIS AS AN EXAMPLE BECAUSE I THINK IT'S AN IMPORTANT ONE TO GET INTO, EVEN WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE IDEA THAT THERE MIGHT BE INDUSTRY STANDARD TERMINOLOGY OR THERE MAY BE COMMON INDUSTRY TERMS, THERE'S A LOT OF CREATIVITY THAT GOES INTO THIS.

AND WHEN I SAY A LOT OF CREATIVITY, YOUR HONOR, LET ME BE CLEAR, I'M TALKING ABOUT THE CDN CONTEXT. I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT THE CONTEXT OF CHAUSSER OR ONE OF THOSE GUYS, BECAUSE

1 11:40AM 2 11:40AM 3 11:40AM 11:40AM 11:40AM 11:40AM 11:40AM 7 8 11:40AM 11:40AM 9 11:40AM 10 11:40AM 11 11:40AM 12 11:40AM 13 11:40AM 14 11:40AM 15 11:40AM 16 17 11:41AM 18 11:41AM 11:41AM 19 11:41AM 20 11:41AM 21 11:41AM 22 11:41AM 23 11:41AM 24 11:41AM 25

THAT'S NOT THE STANDARD, THAT'S NOT REALLY HELPFUL TO GO THROUGH THIS, BECAUSE CERTAINLY YOU ARE WRITING THIS FOR AN AUDIENCE, THERE'S NO QUESTION.

I'M SITTING HERE AS SOMEBODY WHO IS DESIGNING THIS USER INTERFACE, FOR SOME -- KNOWING WHAT MY AUDIENCE IS, THE PEOPLE THAT ARE GOING TO USE THIS, AND WHAT'S GOING TO MAKE SENSE TO THEM. WHAT DO I THINK IS GOING TO MAKE SENSE TO THEM? WHAT DO I THINK WHEN THEY ARE SITTING THERE BEHIND THESE TERMINALS AND THEIR NETWORK IS GOING DOWN, HOW ARE THEY GOING TO BE ABLE TO GET AT THE INFORMATION THEY NEED TO QUICKLY, EFFICIENTLY, CONFIGURE THESE SWITCHES, DO THESE KINDS OF THINGS.

SO IT ISN'T WRITTEN FOR YOU AND ME, NECESSARILY, BUT WITHIN THAT CONTEXT, THERE ARE A LOT OF CHOICES THAT GO INTO THIS.

SO, LET'S JUST PULL OUT THIS FIRST ONE, RIGHT. SPANNING-TREE. AND THEN GO TO ONE OF THESE OFFICIAL CERTIFICATION GUIDES. SO THIS IS KIND OF AN INDUSTRY STANDARD TERMINOLOGY THAT DESCRIBES THIS. THIS FUNCTIONALITY OF WHAT THE SPANNING-TREE IS, THE THIRD PRIMARY FEATURE OF LAN SWITCHES IS LOOP PREVENTION AS IMPLEMENTED BY SPANNING-TREE PROTOCOL, RIGHT. STP.

SO SURE, YOU KNOW, PEOPLE KNOW WHAT SPANNING TREES ARE, BUT THERE'S A LOT OF WAYS YOU CAN SEE EVEN WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THIS DOCUMENT LET ALONE OTHER DOCUMENTS OF HOW PEOPLE MIGHT DESCRIBE THAT KIND OF DATA STRUCTURE, WHICH IS WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT HERE.

11:41AM	1	THE COURT: DESCRIBE OR REPRESENT IT.
11:41AM	2	MR. NELSON: EXACTLY.
11:41AM	3	SO WE JUST GAVE SOME EXAMPLES HERE LIKE SPANNING OR TREE OR
11:41AM	4	STP OR SPAN TREE.
11:41AM	5	THE COURT: BUT I DON'T THINK YOU ARE GETTING DOWN
11:41AM	6	TO THE WORD-BY-WORD.
11:41AM	7	MR. NELSON: NO, I'M TRYING TO PIECE TOGETHER THE
11:41AM	8	ENTIRETY OF THE COMMAND.
11:41AM	9	THE COURT: UH-HUH.
11:41AM	10	MR. NELSON: AND MAYBE IT'S NOT HELPFUL BECAUSE
11:41AM	11	YOUR HONOR HAS ALREADY PASSED THAT.
11:41AM	12	THE COURT: WELL, YOU KNOW, FIVE WORDS, AND TWO OF
11:41AM	13	THEM ARE UNIQUE TO CISCO.
11:42AM	14	MR. NELSON: YEAH. THIS ONE IS A TOTALLY EASY ONE.
11:42AM	15	THE COURT: IS PRETTY EASY. THE HARD ONE IS WHERE
11:42AM	16	ALL THREE WORDS ARE GREEN.
11:42AM	17	MR. NELSON: WELL, YEAH.
11:42AM	18	THE COURT: THAT'S THE HARDER CASE.
11:42AM	19	MR. NELSON: AGREED.
11:42AM	20	THE COURT: AND DR. BLACK HAS PLENTY OF THOSE.
11:42AM	21	MR. NELSON: RIGHT.
11:42AM	22	HE'S GOT SOME OF THOSE, BUT THAT'S WHY I WAS TRYING TO
11:42AM	23	START ON A GREEN ONE WITH THE IDEA THAT THERE ARE, EVEN WITHIN
11:42AM	24	THE CONFINES OF THIS IDEA OF INDUSTRY STANDARD, RIGHT, THAT YOU
11:42AM	25	WANT TO EXPRESS THIS WHERE YOU COULD USE DIFFERENT TERMINOLOGY.

1 11:42AM 2 11:42AM 3 11:42AM 11:42AM 11:42AM 11:42AM 11:42AM 7 8 11:42AM 9 11:43AM 11:43AM 10 11:43AM 11 11:43AM 12 11:43AM 13 11:43AM 14 11:43AM 15 11:43AM 16 17 11:43AM 18 11:43AM 11:43AM 19 20 11:43AM 21 11:43AM 11:43AM 22 11:43AM 23 11:43AM 24 11:44AM 25

THIS STP, SPAN TREE, SPAN TREE PROTOCOL, ALL THESE THINGS THAT PEOPLE WOULD RECOGNIZE, YOU KNOW, MEANING WHEN I SAY PEOPLE, PEOPLE IN THE INDUSTRY WOULD RECOGNIZE.

SO THERE ARE A NUMBER OF MEANS, WE'VE COME UP WITH SIX OF THOSE HERE. SO IT ISN'T CONFINED TO SAY, AND IT'S NOT CORRECT TO SAY, THAT WELL, BECAUSE THIS IS THE DATA STRUCTURE YOU ARE REFERRING TO, EVERYONE IN THIS INDUSTRY ALWAYS REFERS TO IT IN THIS FASHION, WHICH I THINK IS THE ARGUMENT THAT ARISTA IS MAKING, RIGHT, BUT THE POINT IS AND THE EVIDENCE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAR IS THAT ISN'T THE CASE.

THERE IS A LOT OF CHOICES, EVEN WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF TRYING TO DEFINE THAT INDUSTRY STANDARD, FOR LACK OF A BETTER TERM, YOU KNOW I DON'T LIKE THAT TERM VERY MUCH, BUT IT IS WHAT IT IS. THERE ARE WAYS TO EXPRESS THAT.

AND THAT IN AND OF ITSELF, EVEN WITH THE WORD CHOICE, THE INDIVIDUAL WORD CHOICE, IT DOES RISE TO THE LEVEL SUFFICIENT SOME CREATIVE SPARK, RIGHT. THERE ARE CHOICES YOU COULD MAKE WITHIN THE CONFINES OF THIS THAT SAY, IT DOESN'T HAVE TO BE THAT, IT COULD BE STP, IT COULD BE THESE OTHER THINGS. AND YOU ARE GOING TO HEAR THAT EVIDENCE. SO I DON'T THINK --

THE COURT: I GUESS YOU ARE LOSING ME.

WE ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT DE FACTO INDUSTRY STANDARD, THAT'S OFF THE TABLE. WE ARE TALKING ABOUT ACTUAL INDUSTRY STANDARD. AND ONCE THERE'S AN INDUSTRY STANDARD, ISN'T A COMPANY FOOLISH -- I MEAN, IT'S ALMOST PREPOSTEROUS TO THINK A COMPANY

1 11:44AM 2 11:44AM 3 11:44AM 11:44AM 4 11:44AM ALREADY KNOW. 11:44AM 11:44AM 7 HERE. 8 11:44AM 11:44AM 9 11:44AM 10 11:44AM 11 11:44AM 12 11:44AM 13 11:44AM 14 11:44AM 15 11:44AM 16 17 11:44AM 18 11:45AM 11:45AM 19 11:45AM 20 THAT WAY, RIGHT. 21 11:45AM 11:45AM 22 11:45AM 23 11:45AM 24 11:45AM 25

WOULD DISREGARD AN INDUSTRY STANDARD AND PICK SOMETHING UNIQUE AND LEAVE ALL THEIR CUSTOMERS IN THE DARK.

BECAUSE THAT'S THE WHOLE POINT OF THESE PROGRAMS IS TO ALLOW THE ENGINEERS TO OPERATE THE SYSTEM BASED ON WHAT THEY

MR. NELSON: OKAY. SO A COUPLE OF THINGS TO CLARIFY

SO YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF INDUSTRY STANDARD, WE ARE ON THE SAME PAGE WITH RESPECT TO THAT. BUT REMEMBER, INDUSTRY STANDARDS, THEY ARE DEFINED FOR A PARTICULAR APPLICATION.

SO LIKE, IEEE, THEY MAY HAVE AN IEEE 802.11(A)(C), WHICH HAPPENS TO BE A RELATIVELY RECENT WIFI STANDARD THAT WE CALL IT. SO THEY WILL USE TERMINOLOGY IN THAT CONTEXT. AND YOU ARE RIGHT THAT IN THAT PARTICULAR CONTEXT.

BUT THAT'S NOT WHAT DR. BLACK WENT THROUGH AND DID. HE DIDN'T FIND HERE'S AN INDUSTRY STANDARD FOR HOW YOU CONFIGURE THESE NETWORK SWITCHES, THESE GIGABIT ETHERNET SWITCHES, WHICH IS A WORD I HAVE TROUBLE SAYING, AND I WILL THROUGHOUT TRIAL.

SO IT'S A GOOD THING WE ARE NOT DEFINING THE USER INTERFACE

SO THAT IS AN IMPORTANT DISTINCTION AND AN IMPORTANT POINT TO CLEAR UP FOR YOUR HONOR. BECAUSE I WOULD AGREE IF WE HAD A SITUATION IF THEY CAME IN, THEY BEING ARISTA AND DR. BLACK SAID THERE IS AN INDUSTRY STANDARD THAT GOVERNS THIS SPECIFIC FUNCTIONALITY, THAT MAY RESTRICT A BIT.

11:45AM	1	BUT EVEN BEYOND THAT, WHAT YOU ARE GOING TO FIND IS THAT A
11:45AM	2	LOT OF TIMES CISCO DIDN'T DO THAT, RIGHT. THERE ARE
11:45AM	3	SITUATIONS, AND WE WILL TALK ABOUT SOME OF THOSE, WHERE THERE
11:45AM	4	WAS A WAY WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THESE SWITCHES THAT PEOPLE USE
11:45AM	5	TERMS TO REFER TO CERTAIN THINGS AND CISCO DIDN'T GO THAT WAY,
11:45AM	6	RIGHT.
11:45AM	7	SHOW INVENTORY IS ONE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAR ABOUT. SO SHOW
11:45AM	8	INVENTORY, YOU AND I THINK ABOUT THAT AND YOU THINK OKAY,
11:46AM	9	THAT'S PRETTY STRAIGHTFORWARD. BUT I HAVE SLIDES ON THIS, BUT
11:46AM	10	I CAN JUST EXPLAIN IT BECAUSE WE'VE GOT TIME AND IF I NEED TO
11:46AM	11	COME BACK AND SHOW YOU THOSE THINGS I CAN CERTAINLY DO THAT.
11:46AM	12	BUT WHAT YOU WILL HEAR FROM THE CISCO WITNESSES ON THAT,
11:46AM	13	THAT ACTUALLY IS TOTALLY CONTRARY TO HOW THE INDUSTRY WENT,
11:46AM	14	RIGHT. THEY ACTUALLY REFERRED TO THAT KIND OF THOSE
11:46AM	15	COMPONENTS WITHIN THE SWITCHES AS MODULES, RIGHT.
11:46AM	16	AND SO IF YOU WERE GOING TO DO IT, THAT'S WHAT YOU WOULD
11:46AM	17	USE. INVENTORY IS USED FOR SOMETHING ELSE. SO THAT
11:46AM	18	TERMINOLOGY, IT'S ACTUALLY KIND OF A SILLY THING, RIGHT.
11:46AM	19	AND IF YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT IT FROM THE STANDPOINT OF,
11:46AM	20	MAYBE SILLY IS NOT THE RIGHT WORD, I'M USING ARISTA'S WORD HOW
11:46AM	21	THEY DESCRIBE THESE THINGS, IT'S COUNTER INTUITIVE. AND THAT
11:46AM	22	RIGHT THERE TO ME, THAT'S A CREATIVE SPARK.
11:46AM	23	THAT'S SOMETHING THAT'S UNIQUE TO CISCO. SO THAT WHEN
11:46AM	24	CISCO WENT OUT TO TRAIN
11:47AM	25	THE COURT: WELL, BUT LET'S JUST BE CAREFUL HERE.

11:47AM	1	I CAN'T JUST LET ALL 500 OF THESE, I WILL CALL THEM
11:47AM	2	PHRASES, YOU CAN CALL THEM STRINGS, WHATEVER, PASS THROUGH AT
11:47AM	3	THIS TIME JURY.
11:47AM	4	YOU KNOW, I HAVE A JOB HERE, AND YOU'VE DONE PART OF IT FOR
11:47AM	5	ME BECAUSE YOU'VE TAKEN SOME THINGS OFF THE TABLE. AND SO I
11:47AM	6	APPRECIATE THAT.
11:47AM	7	I MEAN, ON THE BASIC IDEA EXPRESSION DICHOTOMY, I THINK YOU
11:47AM	8	HAVE DONE, AS WE GOT TO THE END OF THIS I THINK YOU'VE TAKEN
11:47AM	9	MUCH OF WHAT ARISTA WAS ASKING ME TO TAKE OUT OF THE CASE,
11:47AM	10	YOU'VE TAKEN IT OUT YOURSELVES AND THAT'S GREAT. WE GET MORE
11:47AM	11	INTO THE FUNCTIONALITY, MERGER, SCENES A FAIRE THAT WILL TAKE
11:47AM	12	OUT PERHAPS SOME OTHER THINGS, PERHAPS NOT, BUT I'M NOT DOING
11:47AM	13	THAT TODAY.
11:47AM	14	SO I'M REALLY LOOKING AT THIS, AND IF YOU ARE GOING TO GO
11:47AM	15	THROUGH, AND I ASKED YOU TO AND I APPRECIATE IT, OF EXPLAINING
11:47AM	16	EACH ONE OF THESE TO ME, WE ARE INTO A FACTUAL THING. AND YOU
11:47AM	17	WOULD BASICALLY BE TELLING ME THAT EVERY TWO-WORD COMBINATION
11:47AM	18	HAS SOME CREATIVE SPARK THAT THE JURY OUGHT TO BE ABLE TO SEE.
11:48AM	19	SO I SHOULD FILTER OUT NOTHING, WHICH I KNOW YOU'VE ARGUED
11:48AM	20	YOU SAID YOU DID THE FILTERING AND I'M GOOD TO GO. BUT YOU
11:48AM	21	WOULDN'T BE A GOOD ADVOCATE TO YOUR CLIENT IF YOU ACTUALLY HAD
11:48AM	22	DONE MY JOB FOR ME YOU ARE DOING YOUR CLIENT'S JOB.
11:48AM	23	MR. NELSON: NO, I UNDERSTAND THAT, YOUR HONOR. AND
11:48AM	24	I THINK THAT'S A FAIR POINT.
11:48AM	25	SO THERE CERTAINLY ARE GOING TO BE INSTRUCTIONS THAT YOU

1 11:48AM 2 11:48AM 3 11:48AM 11:48AM 11:48AM 11:48AM 11:48AM 8 11:48AM 9 11:48AM 10 11:48AM 11:49AM 11 11:49AM 12 11:49AM 13 11:49AM 14 11:49AM 15 16 11:49AM 17 11:49AM 18 11:49AM 11:49AM 19 20 11:49AM 21 11:49AM 11:49AM 22 11:49AM 23 24 11:49AM 25 11:49AM

DO, GUIDELINES AND SOME OF THOSE WE TALKED ABOUT AND WE WANT TO BE CLEAR ON WHAT THOSE THINGS ARE.

SO I AGREE THAT EVEN IF WE TOOK THIS, YOU KNOW, THE EXAMPLE, RIGHT, AND WE NEED TO BE CLEAR THAT WE DIDN'T INVENT THIS WORK, RIGHT, SO WE ARE NOT CLAIMING COPYRIGHT PROTECTION ON THAT, AND THAT'S ONE OF THE THINGS THAT THEY, THEY BEING ARISTA, WAS CONCERNED ABOUT BECAUSE FROM A LAY JUROR STANDPOINT, SOME OF THESE WORDS MAY LOOK WEIRD OR, YOU KNOW, THEY MAY LOOK UNIQUE.

BUT THEY WANT TO BE ABLE TO SAY, WELL THEY ARE NOT REALLY BECAUSE THEY PRE-EXISTED. SO THEY DIDN'T INVENT THAT WORD. I DON'T HAVE ANY PROBLEM WITH THAT BECAUSE THAT'S NOT WHAT I'M ARGUING AT ALL.

BUT THE, I THINK ULTIMATELY WHAT WE ARE GOING TO BE TALKING ABOUT, AND YOU ARE RIGHT WE ARE NOT GOING TO BE GOING THROUGH, I HAVE 22 HOURS TO PUT ON AN ENTIRE CASE, AND HOPEFULLY IT'S SOMEWHAT INTERESTING.

IF I SPENT ALL 22 HOURS GOING THROUGH EACH COMMAND ONE BY ONE, THAT WOULDN'T BE SO GOOD. I'M NOT GOING TO DO THAT. I SUSPECT ARISTA IS NOT GOING TO DO THAT. THERE CERTAINLY WILL BE SOME ARGUMENT ON EXAMPLES.

I MEAN, I'M SURE THERE ARE PLENTY OF THE COMMANDS THAT THEY
WON'T EVEN, THEY WON'T EVEN ARGUE TO THE JURY. I'M TALKING
ABOUT THE ORIGINALITY STANDPOINT. THEY ARE NOT EVEN GOING TO
ARGUE IT. THERE ARE SOME THAT I MAY CHOOSE NOT TO ARGUE THAT

11:49AM	1	THEY MAY USE AS EXAMPLES. SHOW INVENTORY MIGHT BE ONE OF THEM,
11:49AM	2	RIGHT. AND MY RESPONSE MAY BE EXACTLY WHAT I TOLD YOUR HONOR.
11:49AM	3	I AGREE THAT'S A FACTUAL QUESTION.
11:49AM	4	SO I THINK IT'S TRUE, WE ARE NOT GOING THROUGH AND CROSSING
11:50AM	5	OUT INDIVIDUAL COMMANDS ONE BY ONE. YOU ARE PROVIDING THE
11:50AM	6	GUIDELINES, AND IT MAY BE THAT THEY CHOOSE FROM AN EVIDENTIARY
11:50AM	7	STANDPOINT THAT I WANT TO MAKE THIS ARGUMENT TO THE JURY ON
11:50AM	8	ORIGINALITY AND YOUR HONOR WILL INSTRUCT FURTHER AT THE END OF
11:50AM	9	THE CASE WHAT THOSE ARE, AND THE JURY WILL APPLY THOSE THINGS.
11:50AM	10	JUST LIKE WE DO OFTEN TIMES IN ANY CASE, THAT'S WHAT THE
11:50AM	11	INSTRUCTIONS ARE
11:50AM	12	THE COURT: MY ANALYTIC DISSECTION ULTIMATELY WILL BE
11:50AM	13	AT A FAIRLY HIGH LEVEL IN CATEGORIES AND DESCRIPTIONS OF THINGS
11:50AM	14	THAT ARE NOT SUBJECT TO PROTECTION, AS OPPOSED TO THE FOLLOWING
11:50AM	15	LINES ARE PROTECTABLE AND THE FOLLOWING ARE NOT.
11:50AM	16	MR. NELSON: EXACTLY.
11:50AM	17	AND I AGREE WITH YOU THERE BECAUSE I THINK IT WOULD BE A
11:50AM	18	COMPLETELY UNWIELDY INSTRUCTION TO TRY TO DO IT DIFFERENTLY AND
11:50AM	19	IT PROBABLY WOULDN'T REALLY SERVE A PURPOSE.
11:50AM	20	SO THE BUT WITHIN THAT CONTEXT, TO THE EXTENT YOUR HONOR
11:51AM	21	KEEPS USING THE CLOCK SET EXAMPLE, RIGHT
11:51AM	22	THE COURT: I PICKED ONE OUT I UNDERSTOOD.
11:51AM	23	MR. NELSON: WE OBVIOUSLY DO THE SAME THING.
11:51AM	24	SO THE CLOCK SET EXAMPLE, I THINK, LET ME ASK YOUR HONOR A
11:51AM	25	QUESTION IF I MAY BE SO BOLD, ARE YOU THINKING THAT FROM THE

1 11:51AM 2 11:51AM 3 11:51AM 11:51AM 11:51AM 6 11:51AM 11:51AM 7 8 11:51AM 11:52AM 9 11:52AM 10 11:52AM 11 11:52AM 12 13 11:52AM 11:52AM 14 11:52AM 15 16 11:52AM 17 11:52AM 18 11:52AM 11:52AM 19 20 11:52AM 21 11:53AM 11:53AM 22 11:53AM 23 24 11:53AM 11:53AM 25

STANDPOINT OF HEY, I WANT TO FILTER THAT ONE OUT, I DON'T WANT YOU ARGUING THAT ONE TO THE JURY, RIGHT. SO NOW YOU ARE 506 TO 505? OR DO YOU WANT THE CONTEXT OF WHY, EVEN THAT, THERE IS SOME FACTUAL QUESTION AS TO ORIGINALITY?

THE COURT: SO I GUESS WHEN I TOOK A LOOK AT THIS, IT WAS VERY CLEAR TO ME THAT SOME OF THE INITIAL WORDS, AND I'M LOOKING AT WHETHER IT'S BANNER, ALTHOUGH THERE ARE ONLY A COUPLE, OR CLEAR, OR IP OR SHOW, THEY ARE VERY STANDARD, AND IF THERE'S ONLY ONE WORD AFTER THAT, IP HOST, ARE THERE OF THE TWO-WORD PHRASES REALLY PROTECTABLE?

I MEAN, I KNOW ARISTA IS ASKING ME JUST ON THE NUMBER, TO EXCLUDE THEM. SO I PICKED CLOCK SET, AND THERE ARE OTHERS THAT ARE GOING TO BE AS EQUALLY OR SHOW CLOCK, AS EXAMPLES, BUT THAT WOULD -- THE SAME MIGHT APPLY TO SHOW ARP. EVEN THOUGH I DON'T KNOW WHAT ARP STANDS FOR.

MR. NELSON: SO THE ANSWER TO YOUR QUESTION IS YES. THE FIRST QUESTION IS YES. WHICH ARE ANY OF THOSE PROTECTABLE? AND THEY ARE.

I MEAN, CERTAINLY THERE ARE GUIDELINES THAT ARE GOING TO GIVE AND THERE WILL BE SOME ARGUMENT ABOUT ORIGINALITY THERE. AND FRANKLY, IT MAY TURN OUT THAT BY ME TRYING TO MAKE THAT ARGUMENT IT'S A BAD IDEA BECAUSE THE JURY LOOKS AT IT AND SAYS, WELL, I DON'T THINK UNDER THE JUDGE'S GUIDELINES THESE ARE, SO THEY GET THE IMPRESSION THAT THERE'S A WHOLE BUNCH OF STUFF IN THERE THAT WE ARE SPENDING TIME ON THAT'S NOT PROTECTABLE.

1 I AGREE WITH THAT. 11:53AM BUT THERE ARE THE TWO-WORD COMMAND. SO FOR EXAMPLE, YOU 2 11:53AM TALKED ABOUT SHIP, RIGHT. THERE ARE AND YOU WILL HEAR EVIDENCE 3 11:53AM 11:53AM 4 ON THIS, THERE ARE MANY WAYS THAT YOU COULD, AND OTHER SWITCH MANUFACTURES DO THIS, THAT YOU COULD CALL FOR THAT 11:53AM FUNCTIONALITY. 11:53AM 11:53AM 7 SO IN THE CISCO SYSTEM THEY DECIDED TO TRY TO USE THAT TERMINOLOGY WHEN THEY WANTED TO INTERROGATE THE SWITCH TO GIVE 8 11:53AM 11:53AM 9 BACK CERTAIN INFORMATION, RIGHT. MEANING, TELL ME THIS, SHOW 11:53AM 10 ME THAT, RIGHT. THERE ARE OTHER TERMINOLOGIES THAT ARE USED, RIGHT, THAT YOU CAN DISPLAY, THAT'S ONE THAT'S USED, I KNOW BY 11:53AM 11 11:54AM 12 OTHER SWITCH MANUFACTURERS IN THE INDUSTRY. 11:54AM 13 SO THERE ARE CHOICES THAT WENT INTO EVEN WITH THAT, RIGHT. 11:54AM 14 SO IT ISN'T JUST THE FACT THAT THAT WORD STANDING ALONE, 11:54AM 15 THAT'S NOT WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THE COURT: MR. NELSON, THIS ARGUMENT TELLS ME THAT 11:54AM 16 17 MY JOB IS DONE ON ANALYTIC DISSECTION, I WILL JUST SEND IT ALL 11:54AM 18 TO THE JURY. 11:54AM 11:54AM 19 THIS ISN'T HELPFUL TO ME. YOU ARE NOT PAINTING FOR ME THE 11:54AM 20 PICTURE OF ANY ORGANIZED WAY FOR ME TO GET AT THIS, NOT AT ALL. 11:54AM 21 MR. NELSON: WELL, ACTUALLY, I THINK --11:54AM 22 THE COURT: THAT'S, FRANKLY, MY ENORMOUS FRUSTRATION 11:54AM 23 ABOUT THE WAY -- THAT'S WHY I GUESS I ASKED YOU TO BRIEF IT 11:54AM 24 THREE DIFFERENT TIMES BECAUSE I STILL DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU ARE 11:54AM 25 ASKING ME TO DO EXCEPT TO TRUST YOU AND LET IT ALL GO TO THE

1 11:54AM 2 11:54AM 3 11:54AM 11:54AM 11:54AM 11:54AM 6 11:54AM 7 11:54AM 8 11:55AM 9 11:55AM 10 11:55AM 11 11:55AM 12 11:55AM 13 11:55AM 14 11:55AM 15 11:55AM 16 11:55AM 17 11:55AM 18 11:55AM 19 11:55AM 20 11:55AM 21 11:55AM 22 11:55AM 23 11:55AM 24 11:55AM 25

JURY.

MR. NELSON: NO, THAT'S NOT WHAT I'M ASKING YOU TO DO, RIGHT.

AND I HAVE PREPARED THIS AND I CAN GO THROUGH ALL OF THESE THINGS IN ORDER TO SHOW YOU EXACTLY THE QUESTION YOUR HONOR IS ASKING, RIGHT.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. NELSON: SO IF I GO BACK TO SLIDE 4, ACTUALLY I WAS ON SLIDE FIVE, AND THERE I'VE EXPLAINED TO YOU THAT WITH RESPECT TO THE SPANNING-TREE, THERE ARE NUMEROUS CHOICES THAT WENT INTO THAT.

AND JUST TO BE CLEAR HERE, YOUR HONOR, I'M NOT ARGUING THIS AT THE INDIVIDUAL WORD LEVEL, I'M SHOWING YOU THE CREATIVITY OF THE OVER ALL CONSTRUCTION OF THE OF THE COMMAND.

IF I GO TO SLIDE 6, THE NEXT ONE, WE HAVE PORTFAST, AND HERE'S A DESCRIPTION OF WHAT PORTFAST IS. ENABLES PORTFAST MODE WHETHER THE INTERFACE IS IMMEDIATELY PUT INTO THE FORWARDING STATE UPON LINK UP WITHOUT WAITING FOR THE TIMER TO EXPIRE.

SO IN OTHER WORDS, THAT'S THE FUNCTIONALITY THAT'S BEING DESCRIBED THAT YOU ARE TRYING TO IMPLEMENT.

NOW PORTFAST HAPPENS TO BE A WORD THAT CISCO MADE UP. BUT, YOU KNOW, THERE ARE, YOU CAN SEE FROM THAT FUNCTIONALITY WHEN YOU DESCRIBE IT, THERE ARE A NUMBER OF OTHER CHOICES THAT COULD HAVE BEEN MADE. AND WE PICKED SIX HERE THAT KIND OF COME FROM

1 11:55AM 2 11:56AM 3 11:56AM 11:56AM 11:56AM 11:56AM 11:56AM 8 11:56AM 9 11:56AM 10 11:56AM 11:56AM 11 11:56AM 12 13 11:56AM 14 11:56AM 11:57AM 15 16 11:57AM 17 11:57AM 18 11:57AM 11:57AM 19 20 11:57AM 21 11:57AM 11:57AM 22 11:57AM 23 24 11:57AM

25

11:57AM

THIS DEFINITION, THAT WOULD INDICATE TO ONE OF THESE NETWORK ENGINEERS, HEY, THAT'S THE FUNCTIONALITY YOU HAVE.

AND IF I KEEP GOING FORWARD, I DON'T NEED TO MAKE THE POINT TO SHOW YOU, WITH THE BPDU FILTER, THERE'S ANOTHER COMMAND THAT BRANCHES OFF OF PORTFAST THAT'S BPDU GUARD THAT'S THE SAME THING. BASED UPON THE DEFINITION, AGAIN, THIS IS ANOTHER WORD THAT CISCO MADE UP, BUT BASED UPON THAT DEFINITION, YOU CAN HAVE A NUMBER OF TERMS THAT WOULD INDICATE TO SOMEONE, AGAIN AFTER TRAINING BECAUSE CISCO SPENT A LOT OF MONEY TRAINING THESE ENGINEERS IN THE CISCO SYSTEM, HOW TO WORK WITH THESE THINGS, AGAIN, A NUMBER OF CHOICES THAT GO INTO THAT.

AND THE SAME WITH DEFAULT, RIGHT, BECAUSE IF WE LOOK AT WHAT DEFAULT DOES IN THIS PARTICULAR PORTFAST MODE, IT GLOBALLY ENABLES GLOBALLY BDU FILTERING PORTS THAT ARE IN PORTFAST MODE.

SO YOU CAN SEE GLOBAL ENABLE ON, ACTIVE, ENABLED,

OPERATIONAL, WORKING. AGAIN, OTHER CHOICES THAT WOULD DESCRIBE

THAT FUNCTIONALITY. SO WITH THAT PARTICULAR FOUR-LETTER

COMMAND, JUST BY VIRTUE OF WHAT I SHOWED YOU, THESE CHOICES ARE

THERE, IF WE GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE, AND I'M NOT GOING TO GO

THROUGH ALL OF THESE, YOU COME UP WITH ALMOST 1300 DIFFERENT

COMBINATIONS THAT YOU CAN HAVE HERE. AND THAT'S JUST WITH

RESPECT TO THE DIFFERENT SELECTION OF WORDS.

THE COURT: BUT ARE YOU TELLING ME THAT THE AUTHOR ACTUALLY CONSIDERED THESE DIFFERENT POSSIBILITIES, OR NOW IN 2016, AS YOU GET READY FOR TRIAL, YOUR CURRENT EXPERTS ARE

11:57AM	1	SAYING THESE OTHERS COULD HAVE BEEN THOUGHT OF?
11:57AM	2	MR. NELSON: I'M DOING THIS FROM THE CDN PERSPECTIVE,
11:57AM	3	YOUR HONOR. THE TESTIMONY WILL BE THAT THIS IS EXACTLY THE
11:57AM	4	TYPE OF PROCESS THAT THE AUTHORS WENT THROUGH.
11:58AM	5	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
11:58AM	6	MR. NELSON: AND THAT THE PARSER POLICE THAT SET OUT
11:58AM	7	GUIDELINES, YOU MIGHT HAVE HEARD THAT TERM A FEW TIMES, FOR
11:58AM	8	THESE THINGS OFFERED UP.
11:58AM	9	SO THIS IS THE EXACT PROCESS. I'M NOT GOING TO GET INTO,
11:58AM	10	WITH THE EXCEPTION OF A FEW EXAMPLES, TO BRING THAT HOME.
11:58AM	11	THE COURT: AND THIS IS A GOOD TEXTBOOK EXAMPLE, I
11:58AM	12	THINK, AND I DO APPRECIATE YOU SELECTING THIS, OF TWO WORDS
11:58AM	13	THAT CISCO ACTUALLY CREATED AND GAVE MEANING TO.
11:58AM	14	MR. NELSON: RIGHT.
11:58AM	15	THE COURT: AND IN THE CONTEXT OF FOUR TOTAL WITH TWO
11:58AM	16	KNOWN, TO STRING TOGETHER THIS CONCEPT.
11:58AM	17	I MEAN, I CAN SEE THIS IS A GOOD EXAMPLE OF SOMETHING
11:58AM	18	THAT SHOULD BE PROTECTED IN THE CREATIVE SPARK OF MAKING THIS
11:58AM	19	COMBINATION.
11:58AM	20	MR. NELSON: RIGHT. I THINK THERE'S NO QUESTION
11:58AM	21	ABOUT THAT.
11:58AM	22	THE COURT: AND THAT DOESN'T EVEN SUGGEST WHETHER
11:58AM	23	MERGER OR SCENES A FAIRE IS GOING TO APPLY BECAUSE THAT'S
11:58AM	24	LATER.
11:58AM	25	MR. NELSON: AGREED. AGREED. THAT'S NOT WHAT WE ARE

11:58AM	1	DOING HERE AT THIS PHASE OF THE GAME.
11:58AM	2	BUT USE THAT AS AN ILLUSTRATION TO SHOW THAT THERE ARE,
11:59AM	3	EVEN ONE OF THE AREAS OF CREATIVITY IS THAT THEY SAT DOWN TO
11:59AM	4	DO, AND YOU WILL HEAR THE EVIDENCE ON THIS, IS WHAT WORDS AM I
11:59AM	5	GOING TO CHOOSE TO DESCRIBE THIS FUNCTIONALITY, RIGHT.
11:59AM	6	ANOTHER THING THAT THEY DID, AND I'M WATCHING THE CLOCK
11:59AM	7	THE COURT: OH, WE CAN GO A COUPLE OF MINUTES.
11:59AM	8	MR. NELSON: AND I'M GOING TO PICK UP, I REALLY WANT
11:59AM	9	TO LAUNCH FROM HERE TO THE HIERARCHIES IN A SECOND, SO I WILL
11:59AM	10	GO A COUPLE MORE MINUTES, THEN WE WILL CUT OFF THERE AND WE
11:59AM	11	WILL PICK UP THERE AFTER LUNCH, IF THAT'S OKAY WITH YOUR HONOR.
11:59AM	12	THE COURT: ABSOLUTELY.
11:59AM	13	MR. NELSON: BECAUSE I KNOW THE HIERARCHIES IS
11:59AM	14	SOMETHING YOU CONCERNED ABOUT, AND PERHAPS I CAN EXPLAIN THOSE
11:59AM	15	A LITTLE BETTER.
11:59AM	16	THE COURT: SO YOU HAVE GIVEN ME THE EXAMPLE WITH
11:59AM	17	THIS ONE PHRASE, AND I GUESS I'M GOING TO REALLY NEED YOU TO BE
11:59AM	18	ABLE TO PERSUADE ME IN ONE OF THE TWO OR THREE-WORD PHRASES,
11:59AM	19	BECAUSE WHAT ARISTA HAS ARGUED IS THAT MAKES UP THE VAST
11:59AM	20	MAJORITY OF THE MULTIWORD COMMAND LINES.
11:59AM	21	MR. NELSON: YEAH, FOR SURE. I HAVE THOSE IN THE
12:00PM	22	PRESENTATION.
12:00PM	23	AND IN FACT, I DID THE SET CLOCK ONE WHICH I CAN DO WHEN WE
12:00PM	24	COME BACK YOUR HONOR, AND THE SHOW INVENTORY AS WELL, BECAUSE
12:00PM	25	THOSE ARE TWO, I THINK, AT A GESTALT LEVEL

1 12:00PM 2 12:00PM 3 12:00PM 12:00PM 4 12:00PM 12:00PM 12:00PM 7 8 12:00PM 12:00PM 9 12:00PM 10 12:00PM 11 12:00PM 12 12:00PM 13 12:00PM 14 12:00PM 15 12:01PM 16 17 12:01PM 18 12:01PM 12:01PM 19 12:01PM 20 12:01PM 21 12:01PM 22 12:01PM 23 12:01PM 24 12:01PM 25

THE COURT: I WOULD LIKE TO SEE HOW IT PLAYS OUT. MR. NELSON: EXACTLY.

WHETHER THERE REALLY IS SOME FACTUAL ISSUE THERE TO BE DECIDED OR YOU THINK THAT THOSE ARE THINGS THAT YOU SHOULD JUST FILTER OUT AT THIS STAGE. I UNDERSTAND. SO I CAN ADDRESS THOSE DIRECTLY.

BUT ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I WANT TO LEAVE YOUR HONOR WITH OR THE THING THAT I WANT TO LEAVE, BECAUSE I KNOW IT'S TIME NOW, IT ISN'T JUST THE SELECTION OF THE WORDS, WHICH IS THE PART THAT I WENT THROUGH THAT'S IMPORTANT, IT'S ACTUALLY THE ORDER OF THE WORDS IS VERY IMPORTANT. HOW YOU DECIDE TO DO THAT.

AND I CAN EXPLAIN TO YOU HOW I UNDERSTAND IT AND MAYBE IT WILL HELP YOU. WHEN YOU ARE TRAINING UP AN ENGINEER IN THESE THINGS, THERE'S A LOT OF THINGS TO REMEMBER A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT COMMANDS AND WHAT THE FUNCTIONALITIES ARE AND THE INFORMATION THEY ARE TRYING TO GET OUT. THERE'S ALSO THE IDEA THAT YOU ADD FUNCTIONS DOWN THE ROAD. YOU KNOW, YOU DON'T WANT TO CREATE A STATIC THING, A STATIC USER INTERFACE THAT YOU CAN'T REALLY ADD TO VERY EASILY, BECAUSE THEN IT'S HARD FOR PEOPLE TO LEARN AND HARD TO USE.

SO ONE OF THE CHOICES THAT YOU MAKE IS HOW AM I GOING TO ORDER THESE KINDS OF WORDS, BECAUSE IF WE JUST GO BACK TO THIS SPANNING-TREE EXAMPLE.

NOW YOU PICK SPANNING-TREE BECAUSE THE PREDOMINANT THING

12:01PM	1	WHEN YOU ARE PERFORMING THESE TYPE OF FUNCTIONS IS WHAT KIND OF
12:01PM	2	DATA STRUCTURE YOU WANT TO OFFER.
12:01PM	3	SO THE ENGINEER WHEN THEY ARE SITTING THERE, THEY ARE LIKE,
12:01PM	4	OKAY, I KNOW WE ARE WORKING WITH SPANNING TREES, SO THAT'S WHAT
12:01PM	5	COMES TO MIND. SO YOU WANT TO ORGANIZE THESE COMMANDS, MEANING
12:01PM	6	WHEN I'M OPERATING ON THESE DATA STRUCTURES, IT'S IMPORTANT FOR
12:01PM	7	ME TO CHOOSE THAT AS THE FIRST WORD AND NOT SOME OTHER.
12:02PM	8	SO IF I JUST STICK WITH THE SAME, AND I HAVE IT UP HERE,
12:02PM	9	LIKE PORTFAST. WE TALKED ABOUT WHAT PORTFAST WAS, THAT WAS A
12:02PM	10	PARTICULAR MODE OF OPERATION.
12:02PM	11	NOW IT COULD BE WHEN YOU GO THROUGH THIS YOU SAY THAT'S THE
12:02PM	12	PREDOMINANT THING, WHEN I'M IN PORTFAST MODE WHAT KIND OF
12:02PM	13	THINGS DO I WANT TO DO.
12:02PM	14	SO THE ENGINEER MAY SAY, THAT'S WHAT PEOPLE ARE REALLY
12:02PM	15	GOING TO THINK ABOUT AND WHEN THEY ARE UNDER PRESSURE, THAT'S
12:02PM	16	GOING TO BE WHAT'S COMING TO MIND. SO I WANT TO ORGANIZE ALL
12:02PM	17	THE THINGS I CAN DO IN PORTFAST MODE UNDER THAT WE CALL THEM
12:02PM	18	HIERARCHIES, BUT THAT BECOMES IMPORTANT.
12:02PM	19	SO I KNOW IT SOUNDS TRIVIAL WHEN YOU SAY THE ORDERS OF THE
12:02PM	20	WORDS IS IMPORTANT, SOMETIMES YOU SAY OKAY, YOU GOT
12:02PM	21	THE COURT: THAT'S DIFFERENT THAN SYNTAX.
12:02PM	22	MR. NELSON: ACTUALLY, THAT'S A GOOD QUESTION.
12:02PM	23	THE COURT: BECAUSE YOU HAVE DISCLAIMED ANY
12:02PM	24	PROTECTABILITY OF SYNTAX ITSELF.
12:02PM	25	MR. NELSON: WELL, THOSE ARE TWO DIFFERENT THINGS.

12:02PM	1	SO WHEN THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT SYNTAX, THEY ARE TALKING
12:02PM	2	ABOUT IN THAT CONTEXT, TALKING ABOUT THE SPECIFIC PARAMETERS
12:03PM	3	THAT MAY BE PUT IN. SO NOBODY IS CLAIMING THAT.
12:03PM	4	BUT CERTAINLY THE COMMANDS THEMSELVES
12:03PM	5	THE COURT: THE WORD ORDER IS DIFFERENT THAN I
12:03PM	6	JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE YOU ARE TELLING ME THAT.
12:03PM	7	MR. NELSON: BECAUSE WE DON'T WANT TO GET IT CONFUSED
12:03PM	8	WITH THAT TYPE OF SYNTAX BECAUSE YOU ARE RIGHT, NOBODY IS
12:03PM	9	CLAIMING THAT IN TERMS OF THE SPECIFIC PARAMETERS THAT ARE PUT
12:03PM	10	ON AND WHICH ONES AGREE, YOUR HONOR.
12:03PM	11	BUT THIS ORDERING THAT I'M TALKING ABOUT AS WELL AS THE
12:03PM	12	HIERARCHIES WE CLAIM FROM A COMPUTER PROGRAMMING STANDPOINT
12:03PM	13	WOULD TYPICALLY BE REFERRED TO AS SYNTAX. BUT I WOULD RATHER
12:03PM	14	USE A DIFFERENT TERM SO WE DON'T GET CONFUSED.
12:03PM	15	THE COURT: OKAY.
12:03PM	16	MR. NELSON: AND IN FACT, YOU KNOW, IT'S KIND OF LIKE
12:03PM	17	ENGLISH GRAMMAR, THE STRUCTURE OF HOW YOU DECIDE TO DO IT,
12:03PM	18	THAT'S SYNTAX AS WELL.
12:03PM	19	THE COURT: YES.
12:03PM	20	MR. NELSON: BUT WE TRY TO MAYBE USE DIFFERENT TERMS.
12:03PM	21	SO I KNOW WE ARE GETTING LATE AND I CAN GO OR I CAN PICK UP
12:03PM	22	WITH THE HIERARCHIES BECAUSE THAT REALLY DOES LAUNCH
12:04PM	23	THE COURT: THAT'S FINE, WE CAN GO ON TO HIERARCHIES
12:04PM	24	BUT THEN YOU ARE GOING TO GO BACK TO THE MULTIWORD THAT ARE
12:04PM	25	TWO-WORD.

12:04PM	1	MR. NELSON: I WILL DO THAT AFTER THE LUNCH BREAK
12:04PM	2	BECAUSE THAT WILL TAKE A LITTLE BIT LONGER.
12:04PM	3	THE COURT: THAT'S FINE.
12:04PM	4	ALL RIGHT. AND I GUESS WE HAVE TO KEEP AN EYE ON OUR TIME
12:04PM	5	BECAUSE WE HAVE TO HAVE TOMORROW FOR JURY INSTRUCTIONS.
12:04PM	6	MR. NELSON: UNDERSTOOD, YOUR HONOR.
12:04PM	7	THE COURT: AND SO YOU NEED TO REALLY CONFINE THIS SO
12:04PM	8	THAT I HAVE ENOUGH TIME TO GET THROUGH ALL THE ARGUMENTS ON IT.
12:04PM	9	MR. NELSON: YEAH, NO, AGREED.
12:04PM	10	I UNDERSTAND AND THAT'S I APPRECIATE THE FEED BACK
12:04PM	11	BECAUSE I AM TRYING TO BE HELPFUL TO YOUR HONOR. SO DON'T
12:04PM	12	WORRY, IF I'M NOT, JUST TELL ME.
12:04PM	13	THE COURT: OKAY. MR. VAN NEST.
12:04PM	14	MR. VAN NEST: YOUR HONOR, IF WE ARE ABOUT TO BREAK,
12:04PM	15	I JUST WANTED TO, IN LIGHT OF YOUR COMMENTS TO MR. SILBERT, TO
12:04PM	16	APOLOGIZE, I'M THE ONE THAT SAID FILE THE FULL SET, BECAUSE I
12:04PM	17	THOUGHT THAT WAS REQUIRED. SO I WILL TAKE THE HEAT THERE.
12:04PM	18	BUT WHAT I WOULD SUGGEST IS REALLY IN TERMS OF THIS ISSUE
12:04PM	19	WHERE WE ARE TALKING ABOUT WHETHER THE JURY SHOULD GET A HIGHER
12:05PM	20	LEVEL INSTRUCTION UP FRONT, WE DID PREPARE AND I'M PREPARED TO
12:05PM	21	HAND IT UP, NUMBER 12 THAT WE MODIFIED.
12:05PM	22	YOU TOLD US FRIDAY YOU WANT TO GIVE AN INSTRUCTION AND THE
12:05PM	23	JURY SHOULD BE TOLD SOME THINGS STANDING ALONE ARE JUST NOT
12:05PM	24	PROTECTABLE, BUT YOU THOUGHT OURS WAS DETAILED. WE TOOK IT OUT
12:05PM	25	OF THE BRIEFING, AS YOU KNOW, BUT WE PREPARED A MORE HIGH-LEVEL

12:05PM	1	VERSION, AND I'M PREPARED TO HAND IT UP
12:05PM	2	THE COURT: IS IT DISPUTED?
12:05PM	3	MR. VAN NEST: IT'S STILL DISPUTED.
12:05PM	4	MR. PAK: IT IS, YOUR HONOR.
12:05PM	5	WE DO HAVE A SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT VERSION OF THIS THAT WE
12:05PM	6	WILL HAVE PRINTED OUT SEPARATELY.
12:05PM	7	THE COURT: AND THEN WE WILL LOOK AT THIS TOMORROW
12:05PM	8	THEN.
12:05PM	9	MR. PAK: YES, YOUR HONOR.
12:05PM	10	MR. VAN NEST: BUT I DO THINK IN TERMS OF HOW MUCH
12:05PM	11	YOU HAVE TO HEAR IN THIS AFTERNOON, THIS WILL GUIDE YOU BECAUSE
12:05PM	12	IT'S NOT AS THOUGH WE HAVE A FIGHT ABOUT HIERARCHIES AND
12:05PM	13	MODES, THAT'S TRUE. BUT AGAIN, AS MR. SILBERT SAID, WE ARE NOT
12:05PM	14	ASKING YOU TO GO WORD-BY-WORD OR COMMAND-BY-COMMAND AND GO
12:05PM	15	THROUGH ALL 500. WE ARE WANTING SOMETHING MORE GENERAL.
12:05PM	16	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
12:06PM	17	AND WE ARE GOING TO BREAK. THERE IS A PRELIMINARY
12:06PM	18	INSTRUCTION THAT IS ACTUALLY NUMBER 95.
12:06PM	19	MR. VAN NEST: RIGHT.
12:06PM	20	THE COURT: SO OF COURSE I GOT TO THAT LATE
12:06PM	21	YESTERDAY, SO I WASN'T IN THE BEST MOOD. HOWEVER, THE CONCEPT
12:06PM	22	IS VERY GOOD, I WILL ONLY GIVE THE INSTRUCTION IF YOU GIVE ME A
12:06PM	23	STIPULATED VERSION OF IT.
12:06PM	24	AND IT'S JUST, THE DISPARITY BETWEEN THE TWO VERSIONS IS
12:06PM	25	SUCH THAT I'M NOT PREPARED TO HAGGLE WITH YOU ON IT, BUT IT'S A

12:06PM	1	PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTION. SO I WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW THAT.
12:06PM	2	THOSE ON YOUR TEAMS WHO ARE WORKING ON THE INSTRUCTIONS,
12:06PM	3	THAT'S, IT'S EITHER IN AS AN AGREED UPON INSTRUCTION OR I'M NOT
12:06PM	4	GIVING IT.
12:06PM	5	MR. VAN NEST: AT ALL?
12:06PM	6	THE COURT: AS A PRELIMINARY. I'M NOT GIVING IT AS A
12:06PM	7	PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTION.
12:06PM	8	MR. VAN NEST: WE HAVE TO AGREE ON IT, BOTH OF US, TO
12:06PM	9	GET IT?
12:06PM	10	THE COURT: THAT'S RIGHT. BECAUSE THE PRESSURE IS ON
12:06PM	11	THE PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTIONS AND WE STILL HAVE TIME TO WORK ON
12:06PM	12	THE OTHERS.
12:06PM	13	MR. PAK: WE WILL MAKE THAT HAPPEN.
12:06PM	14	MR. VAN NEST: BY THE WAY, I THINK ALL THE
12:06PM	15	PRELIMINARIES ARE AGREED EXCEPT THIS 1 AND 95. THOSE ARE THE
12:06PM	16	ONLY TWO PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTIONS THAT ARE DISPUTED.
12:07PM	17	THE COURT: THAT'S GREAT. AND THAT'S WHAT WE WILL
12:07PM	18	WORK ON THAT FIRST THING TOMORROW MORNING, BUT NOW I DON'T HAVE
12:07PM	19	TO WORK ON 95 OR 12.
12:07PM	20	ALL RIGHT. LET'S COME BACK AT TEN PAST 1.
12:07PM	21	MR. NELSON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
12:07PM	22	(WHEREUPON A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
01:13PM	23	THE COURT: PLEASE BE SEATED. GOOD AFTERNOON, EVERYONE.
01:13PM	24	OKAY. MR. NELSON, LET'S, IF WE CAN, PICK UP WHERE YOU LEFT
01:13PM	25	OFF.

01:13PM	1	MR. NELSON: OKAY.
01:13PM	2	THE COURT: CAN I ASK YOU ONE QUESTION BEFORE YOU
01:14PM	3	START?
01:14PM	4	MR. NELSON: ABSOLUTELY.
01:14PM	5	THE COURT: WOULD IT BE WRONG FOR ME TO CONSIDER EACH
01:14PM	6	OF THESE MULTIWORD COMMAND LINES LIKE A COMPILATION?
01:14PM	7	MR. NELSON: THAT'S AN INTERESTING QUESTION.
01:14PM	8	I HADN'T REALLY THOUGHT ABOUT IT THAT WAY, AND MAYBE IT'S
01:14PM	9	BECAUSE I DON'T FULLY UNDERSTAND WHAT THEY MEAN EXACTLY BY
01:14PM	10	COMPILATION IN A COPYRIGHT LAW.
01:14PM	11	THE COURT: YEAH, I DON'T EITHER. SO YOU MAKE ME
01:14PM	12	FEEL BETTER BY SAYING THAT.
01:14PM	13	MR. NELSON: I MEAN, I THINK YOU CAN IN A WAY, AND
01:14PM	14	MAYBE, MAYBE YOU ARE LED TO THAT QUESTION BY KIND OF THE WAY I
01:14PM	15	EXPLAINED IT, BECAUSE YOU ARE PUTTING THESE THINGS TOGETHER
01:14PM	16	WITH A FEW THINGS IN MIND, RIGHT.
01:14PM	17	YOU ARE TRYING TO DO SOMETHING THAT'S ONE, CONSISTENT WITH
01:14PM	18	WHAT YOU'VE DONE BEFORE, BECAUSE REMEMBER YOU ARE TEACHING
01:14PM	19	THESE PEOPLE, THE ENGINEERS AND THINGS HOW TO DO THAT, SO IF
01:14PM	20	YOU COME UP WITH SOMETHING THAT'S TOTALLY OFF THE WALL, THEY
01:14PM	21	ARE PROBABLY NOT GOING TO LIKE THAT TOO MUCH, IT'S NOT GOING TO
01:14PM	22	WORK TOO WELL. SO WE GET THAT.
01:14PM	23	THEN YOU WANT SOMETHING THAT MAKES SOME SENSE IN TERMS OF
01:14PM	24	WHAT IT IS YOU ARE TRYING TO DO, WHAT YOU ARE TRYING TO OPERATE
01:15PM	25	ON, AND THOSE KINDS OF THINGS. AND YOU ARE ALSO TRYING TO

01:15PM	1	ORDER THESE THINGS IN AN INTUITIVELY ACCESSIBLE, MAYBE IS THE
01:15PM	2	WORD I'M LOOKING AT, WAY, RIGHT.
01:15PM	3	SO WHEN YOU TAKE THOSE THINGS TOGETHER, I THINK YOU COULD
01:15PM	4	THINK OF THOSE THINGS AS A COMPILATION OF THOSE THINGS, BUT I'M
01:15PM	5	NOT A HUNDRED PERCENT SURE THAT THAT'S WHAT THEY MEAN. HERE'S
01:15PM	6	WHY I THINK IT MIGHT BE A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT, YOUR HONOR.
01:15PM	7	MY UNDERSTANDING, THE BEST WAY I CAN UNDERSTAND THIS
01:15PM	8	COMPILATION THING IS YOU'VE GOT A WHOLE BUNCH OF FACTS OUT
01:15PM	9	THERE, RIGHT, THINGS THAT EXIST OUT IN THE WORLD, AND WHAT YOU
01:15PM	10	ARE TRYING TO DO IS MAKE A SELECTION OF THOSE THAT'S A CREATIVE
01:15PM	11	SELECTION OF HERE'S HOW I CHOOSE TO PRESENT THESE THINGS.
01:15PM	12	SO IN SOME WAYS YOU COULD DO THAT. LIKE, LET'S SAY, IT'S
01:15PM	13	NOT TRUE BECAUSE I'VE ALREADY SHOWN YOU THAT, BUT LET'S JUST
01:15PM	14	SAY THAT EVERYTHING WE CHOSE WAS INDUSTRY STANDARD
01:16PM	15	THE COURT: WELL, THERE ARE CERTAINLY PHRASES THAT
01:16PM	16	HAVE WORDS THAT WERE INDUSTRY STANDARD.
01:16PM	17	MR. NELSON: ABSOLUTELY.
01:16PM	18	THE COURT: THE ONE YOU SHOWED ME WAS NOT ONE OF
01:16PM	19	THEM.
01:16PM	20	MR. NELSON: NO QUESTION ABOUT THAT, RIGHT.
01:16PM	21	BUT WHAT YOU SELECT AND HOW YOU ORDER THOSE THINGS
01:16PM	22	CERTAINLY CAN BE VIEWED AS A COMPILATION OF THOSE THINGS. I
01:16PM	23	THINK THERE'S NO QUESTION ABOUT THAT.
01:16PM	24	SO WHETHER YOU VIEW IT IN THE CREATIVITY OF THE SELECTION
01:16PM	25	AND THE ORDERING AND THE HIERARCHY, THAT STRUCTURE OR WHETHER

01:16PM	1	YOU VIEW IT AS SOME CREATIVITY IN THE SELECTION OF THOSE
01:16PM	2	THINGS, I THINK YOU CAN LOOK AT THOSE THINGS BOTH WAYS.
01:16PM	3	THE COURT: WELL, BECAUSE I THINK YOU ARE ONLY
01:16PM	4	CLAIMING COPYRIGHT PROTECTION FOR THAT SELECTION AND
01:16PM	5	ARRANGEMENT.
01:16PM	6	MR. NELSON: RIGHT.
01:16PM	7	THE COURT: AND SO MAYBE IT'S NOT A IT MAY BE THAT
01:16PM	8	YOUR ENTIRE BUILDING BLOCK OF MULTIWORD COMMANDS, WHICH IS
01:16PM	9	BIGGER THAN THE 508, IS A COMPILATION. AND I DON'T THINK THAT
01:16PM	10	ARISTA IS EVEN ARGUING THAT AT THIS HIGH LEVEL, THAT THERE MAY
01:17PM	11	BE PROTECTABLITY AT THAT HIGH LEVEL.
01:17PM	12	I GUESS WHAT I'M REALLY GETTING AT, AND MAYBE I'M JUMPING
01:17PM	13	THE GUN ON IT BUT, I'M LOOKING AT THE OPS CASE, AND OF COURSE
01:17PM	14	THEY TALK ABOUT THE USER INTERFACE IN THAT CASE.
01:17PM	15	MR. NELSON: RIGHT.
01:17PM	16	THE COURT: AND THEY TALK ABOUT THE COMPILATIONS AND
01:17PM	17	OF COURSE IT GETS TO THE ISSUE OF PROTECTABLITY AND THE SCOPE
01:17PM	18	OF PROTECTION.
01:17PM	19	AND I FEEL LIKE WE ARE MARCHING DOWN THAT ROAD THAT WE GET
01:17PM	20	THROUGH ANALYTIC DISSECTION AND WE GO RIGHT TO SCOPE BECAUSE I
01:17PM	21	DO THINK THEY BUILD, BUT THAT'S REALLY WHAT MOTIVATES MY
01:17PM	22	QUESTION IS THE IS LOOKING AT OPS.
01:17PM	23	MR. NELSON: OKAY. AND IT'S PROBABLY SOMETHING I
01:17PM	24	SHOULD ADDRESS DIRECTLY RATHER THAN TRY TO GO ON MEMORY FROM
01:17PM	25	THAT.

1 01:17PM 2 01:17PM 3 01:17PM 01:17PM 4 01:18PM 01:18PM 01:18PM 8 01:18PM 01:18PM 9 01:18PM 10 01:18PM 11 01:18PM 12 01:18PM 13 01:18PM 14 01:18PM 15 16 01:18PM 17 01:18PM 18 01:18PM 01:18PM 19 20 01:18PM 21 01:18PM 01:18PM 22 01:19PM 23 24 01:19PM 01:19PM 25

THE COURT: OKAY. SURE. LET'S GO BACK TO YOUR PRESENTATION.

MR. NELSON: OKAY. SO THEN IF I PICK UP WITH SLIDE 20, KIND OF WHERE I LEFT OFF, I WAS TALKING ABOUT THE ORDERING AND LEADING INTO HIERARCHIES, BECAUSE I DON'T WANT YOU TO THINK THAT HERE'S MR. NELSON JUST SITTING UP HERE AND SAYING OH, LOOK AT ALL THESE PERMUTATIONS I CAN MAKE. THAT'S NOT THE PURPOSE OF WHY I'M DOING THIS. IT ACTUALLY DOES MATTER IN THIS CONTEXT AND IT MATTERS QUITE A BIT.

SO IF YOU LOOK AT THAT WITH JUST WITH THE EXAMPLE I GAVE, YOU GOT FOUR WORDS, 24 DIFFERENT COMBINATIONS, THAT'S THAT MATH THING I LEARNED WHERE YOU GO 4 TIMES 3 TIMES 2 TIMES 1, AND IT TELLS YOU TO GET 24.

SO TAKING THE TWO EXAMPLES THAT I GAVE TOGETHER WERE THE DIFFERENT -- EVEN WITH KNOW THE DIFFERENT INDUSTRY STANDARD CONTEXT, LOOKING AT THE FUNCTIONALITY, THERE'S SIX DIFFERENT COMBINATIONS, YOU HAVE 31,000 AND BETTER COMBINATIONS OF THESE THINGS.

NOW THAT'S NOT JUST TO THE GIVE YOU A BIG NUMBER AND SHOW YOU, BUT THAT WAS THE PROCESS THAT PEOPLE WENT THROUGH. I'M NOT SAYING THAT THEY NECESSARILY WERE GOING TO HAVE SOMEBODY TESTIFY AND SAY I LOOKED AT THIS WORD AND I LOOKED AT THAT WORD AND I LOOKED AT THIS WORD, BECAUSE WE COULDN'T. BREAKING DOWN TO THE INDIVIDUAL COMMAND LEVEL WOULD MAKE THIS TRIAL COMPLETELY UNWIELDY, NEITHER ONE OF US WOULD WANT TO BE IN THAT

1 01:19PM 2 01:19PM 3 01:19PM 01:19PM 4 01:19PM 01:19PM 01:19PM 7 8 01:19PM 9 01:19PM 01:19PM 10 01:19PM 11 01:19PM 12 13 01:19PM 01:19PM 14 01:19PM 15 01:19PM 16 17 01:20PM 18 01:20PM 01:20PM 19 20 01:20PM 21 01:20PM 01:20PM 22 01:20PM 23 24 01:20PM

01:20PM 25

TRIAL, RIGHT. BUT THAT PROCESS IS IMPORTANT.

AND THAT'S WHY I KEEP GOING BACK TO THE CDN CASE, BECAUSE IT IS, AND THAT'S WHAT THAT CASE TEACHES US IS I CAN LOOK AT THIS PROCESS AND I CAN HAVE TESTIMONY AS THE PROCESS THAT WAS DONE AND WHAT THE VARIOUS FACTORS THAT WENT INTO IT TO SHOW THAT THERE WAS THAT MINIMUM CREATIVE SPARK FOR ORIGINALITY. AND THAT'S ENOUGH, I DON'T NEED TO GO THROUGH EACH OF THE INDIVIDUAL, IN THAT CASE COIN PRICES, AND SAY YES, THIS PARTICULAR ONE IS CREATIVE, THIS ONE IS NOT.

SO THAT'S THE REASON FOR GOING THROUGH THIS.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. NELSON: NOW IF I MOVE FORWARD THEN, AND THIS LEADS RIGHT INTO THE HIERARCHIES, AND I TALKED ABOUT THIS A BIT BEFORE LUNCH, BUT LET ME EXPAND ON IT A BIT.

AND THE WAY THESE -- THE WAY WE ILLUSTRATED THE HIERARCHIES WAS WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF WE USED A TEXTUAL REPRESENTATION WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE ASSERTED COMMANDS BECAUSE WE DIDN'T WANT TO GET INTO LIKE A FIGHT THAT WE ARE ASSERTING MORE COMMANDS, RIGHT.

SO I DON'T WANT TO IMPLY THAT THE HIERARCHIES THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT ARE LIMITED TO THE SPECIFIC COMMANDS, BUT THAT'S THE WAY THEY ILLUSTRATE THEM TEXTUALLY WITHOUT GETTING INTO A HEY, WAIT A MINUTE, NOW, CISCO, YOU'RE ADDING 252 COMMANDS BECAUSE OF THE WAY YOU DESCRIBED THESE HIERARCHIES.

BUT YOU CAN ALSO EXPRESS THEM IN THIS KIND OF TREE

01:20PM	1	STRUCTURE WHERE YOU KNOW, YOU HAVE THE PARENT, AND THEN YOU
01:20PM	2	MIGHT HAVE THE CHILD BRANCHES AND YOU CAN HAVE GRAND CHILD
01:20PM	3	BRANCHES FROM THOSE.
01:20PM	4	NOW HOW YOU STRUCTURE THAT, AS I SAID, IS IMPORTANT BECAUSE
01:20PM	5	IT'S HOW IT FITS INTO, YOU KNOW, THE OVERALL USER INTERFACE,
01:20PM	6	HOW YOU WANT THIS TO BE DESIGNED SO THAT IT'S ACCESSIBLE AND
01:21PM	7	EFFICIENT FOR THE TRAINED ENGINEERS TO USE.
01:21PM	8	SO IF I MOVE FORWARD IN THE CONTEXT THE INSTRUCTIONS WE ARE
01:21PM	9	DISCUSSING I'M GOING TO SHOW A SPANNING-TREE HIERARCHY. THIS
01:21PM	10	ACTUALLY IS ONE THAT'S IN THE ASSERTED IOS CLI, AND HERE YOU
01:21PM	11	HAVE THE SPANNING-TREE AS BEING
01:21PM	12	THE COURT: I'M JUST NOT RECALLING THAT PARTICULAR
01:21PM	13	ONE.
01:21PM	14	MR. NELSON: YEAH, I'M NOT SURE IF THAT'S I'M
01:21PM	15	ILLUSTRATING THAT WITH A COMMAND, I'M NOT SURE IF THAT'S
01:21PM	16	EXACTLY ONE WE ASSERTED, YOUR HONOR.
01:21PM	17	THE COURT: YOU HAVE MANY MORE THAN I CAN MEMORIZE.
01:21PM	18	MR. NELSON: AND YEAH, UNFORTUNATELY ME AS WELL.
01:21PM	19	BUT WHAT I WANT TO SHOW IS THIS, JUST IN THE CONTEXT OF
01:21PM	20	THOSE COMMANDS THAT I WAS JUST TALKING ABOUT.
01:21PM	21	SO HERE, THE WAY THIS WAS ORGANIZED WAS TO START WITH
01:21PM	22	SPANNING-TREE, THAT'S THE LAST SLIDE IN THE TREE THAT WOULD BE
01:21PM	23	THE PARENT.
01:21PM	24	SO IT'S, YOU KNOW, THINGS THAT WHEN AN ENGINEER IS GOING IN
01:21PM	25	THAT I MIGHT INFORMATION I MIGHT BE ABLE TO GET FROM THAT

01:22PM	1
01:22PM	2
01:22PM	3
01:22PM	4
01:22PM	5
01:22PM	6
01:22PM	7
01:22PM	8
01:22PM	9
01:22PM	10
01:22PM	11
01:22PM	12
01:22PM	13
01:22PM	14
01:22PM	15
01:22PM	16
01:23PM	17
01:23PM	18
01:23PM	19
01:23PM	20
01:23PM	21
01:23PM	22
01:23PM	23
01:23PM	24
01:23PM	25

DATA STRUCTURE AND THE VARIOUS THINGS I CAN GET FROM DATA STRUCTURE IS THAT THE NEXT BUILD IN THAT.

IF I STICK WITH PORTFAST, THAT'S WHERE THEY DECIDE TO BRANCH IT, BECAUSE THERE'S A FEW THINGS YOU CAN DO WITH PORTFAST, THE BDU FILTER AND THE BDU GUARD, RIGHT. SO YOU SET THIS UP, AND THEY COULD HAVE, AND IF I GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE FOR EXAMPLE, ACTUALLY, LET'S GO TO SLIDE 25.

MR. PAK: FOR YOUR HONOR'S BENEFIT, THE SPANNING-TREE HIERARCHIES ARE IN OUR PROTECTABLE FILING ON PAGE 77.

THE COURT: 77. THANK YOU.

MR. NELSON: THANK YOU, MR. PAK.

SO YOU KNOW, YOU COULD AND THIS IS THE WAY THESE ARE -- AND I WANT TO SHOW AN EXAMPLE. THE ONE IS THE RIGHT IS NOT ACTUALLY A HIERARCHY THAT EXISTS, BUT IT'S ILLUSTRATING THE EXAMPLE THAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT.

SO WE KNOW ABOUT THE SHOW HIERARCHY AND THAT'S CERTAINLY ASSERTED IN THE CASE. AND HERE WITHIN THAT SHOW HIERARCHY, WE ACTUALLY HAVE A SPANNING-TREE FUNCTIONALITY, RIGHT.

AND THAT'S BECAUSE THAT -- THE DESIGNER DECIDED THAT INTUITIVELY IT MADE SENSE FOR THE FUNCTIONALITY THAT HE WAS TRYING TO EXPOSE THERE, TO PLACE THAT SPANNING-TREE AS THE CHILD, RATHER THAN IF WE GO OVER TO THE RIGHT YOU SEE -- I HAVE JUST KIND OF DONE AN EXPANSION OF THE SPANNING-TREE HIERARCHY THAT WE LOOKED AT IN THE PREVIOUS SLIDE AND SAID, YOU COULD HAVE PUT SHOW DOWN THERE AS JUST ANOTHER THING YOU COULD DO

1 01:23PM 2 01:23PM 3 01:23PM 01:23PM 01:23PM 01:23PM 01:24PM 8 01:24PM 01:24PM 9 01:24PM 10 01:24PM 11 01:24PM 12 01:24PM 13 01:24PM 14 01:24PM 15 16 01:24PM 17 01:24PM 18 01:24PM 01:24PM 19 20 01:24PM 21 01:24PM 01:24PM 22 01:24PM 23 24 01:25PM 01:25PM 25

WITH THE SPANNING-TREE. BUT THEY DECIDED THAT THAT DIDN'T, WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF WHAT IT WAS THEY WERE TRYING TO DO, THAT DIDN'T MAKE SENSE.

SO HOW YOU STRUCTURE THESE THINGS AND HOW YOU ORDER THE COMMANDS, EXCUSE ME, IT MATTERS FROM A USABILITY STANDPOINT HOW SOMEBODY CAN BE TAUGHT, HOW -- BECAUSE LET'S LOOK AT IT THIS WAY, YOUR HONOR.

THE REASON FOR THIS KIND OF STRUCTURE, AT LEAST IN MY OWN MIND THAT MAKES SENSE TO ME, AND THERE'S DOCUMENTATION AND THERE WILL BE EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT THIS, IS TWOFOLD, RIGHT.

ONE IS ON THE CREATION SIDE, MEANING WHEN YOU ARE EXPOSING NEW FUNCTIONALITY THAT ARE ADDING TO THESE SWITCHES AND YOU WANT TO EXPAND THE USER INTERFACE, IT PUTS SOME GUIDELINES. AND I USE THE TERM "GUIDELINES" INTENTIONALLY BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT RULES, THEY ARE NOT CONSTRAINTS, THAT'S THE EVIDENCE YOU WILL HEAR, BUT GUIDELINES BECAUSE OTHERWISE YOU END UP WITH RANDOMNESS, PERHAPS. EVERYBODY WANTS TO DO IT DIFFERENTLY AND THEN IT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE AND IT BECOMES, FROM AN EFFICIENCY STANDPOINT, IT BECOMES A MUCH LESS EFFICIENT CLI OR MUCH LESS EFFICIENT USER INTERFACE.

IT'S ALSO IMPORTANT FROM THE OTHER SIDE, FROM THE USER STANDPOINT. BECAUSE THERE'S A LOT OF COMMANDS IN HERE.

I MEAN, THAT'S PART OF WHAT WE WILL BE ARGUING ABOUT AT TRIAL AND WE ALL KNOW AND THERE'S NO SECRET ABOUT THAT. BUT IT'S HARD, AT LEAST IT'S HARD FOR ME, JUST TO MEMORY RISE ALL

THOSE THINGS INDIVIDUALLY. 1 01:25PM 2 01:25PM 3 01:25PM 01:25PM 4 01:25PM SPANNING-TREE HIERARCHY, AND THEN --6 01:25PM 01:25PM 7 8 01:25PM 01:25PM 9 01:25PM 10 01:25PM 11 BGP FILTER. 01:25PM 12 01:25PM 13 01:25PM 14 01:25PM 15 01:25PM 16 17 PICK IN THE HIERARCHY. 01:26PM 18 01:26PM 01:26PM 19 01:26PM 20 21 01:26PM 01:26PM 22 OUESTION MARK FUNCTION. 01:26PM 23 01:26PM 24 01:26PM 25 HIERARCHIES WERE ACTUALLY A DISPLAY THAT THE ENGINEER WOULD

TO SIT THERE, EVEN IF I'M A TRAINED ENGINEER, TO SAY OKAY, THIS PARTICULAR COMMAND DOES THIS, THIS PARTICULAR COMMAND DOES THAT, BUT WHEN I GET MENTAL CUES, LIKE OKAY, I KNOW I'M OPERATING ON A SPANNING-TREE. SO I GO INTO THE, THAT THE COURT: SO IF I GO INTO SPANNING-TREE HIERARCHY, I'M THEN GIVEN THIS LIST OF CHOICES TO SELECT AMONG? MR. PAK: YOUR HONOR, JUST TO BE CLEAR, YOU CAN, THIS IS A TEXTUAL INTERFACE SO YOU CAN TYPE IN SPANNING-TREE, BDU, REMEMBER, YOUR HONOR, WE TALKED ABOUT HELP DESCRIPTIONS. SO ONE OF THE THINGS YOU CAN DO IN THE SYSTEM THAT'S ALSO ANOTHER ASPECT OF THE CLI USER INTERFACES, IS YOU CAN TYPE SPANNING-TREE, QUESTION MARK. THEN THE SYSTEM WILL LAY OUT FOR YOU THESE OPTIONS IN TERMS OF HERE'S THE NEXT WORD THAT YOU CAN SO THE HIERARCHY IS REPRESENTED IN THE PARSER WHICH RECOGNIZES COMMANDS WHICH IS DESCRIBED ALSO IN THE MANUALS THAT YOU WILL SEE. BUT ALSO IN THE SYSTEM ITSELF, IT'S REFLECTED IN THE HELP DESCRIPTIONS AND HOW IT'S TO ORGANIZE THROUGH THE THE COURT: SORRY, THIS SOUNDS STUPID, BUT WE ARE DEALING WITH THE USER INTERFACE, AND SO I THOUGHT THE

01:26PM	1	MANIPULATE. BUT YOU ARE SAYING THIS IS UNDERNEATH.
01:26PM	2	MR. PAK: IT'S BOTH, YOUR HONOR. IT'S NOT A DROP
	3	DOWN MENU SYSTEM.
01:26PM		
01:26PM	4	SO IN A DROP DOWN MENU SYSTEM, YOU WOULD HAVE A HIERARCHY
01:26PM	5	SHOWN ON THE SCREEN ALL THE TIME. THIS IS A TEXT-BASED INPUT
01:26PM	6	SYSTEM. SO WHEN YOU START YOU WITH A MODE AND PROMPT SO THE
01:26PM	7	USER CAN TYPE THESE WORDS IN.
01:26PM	8	THE USER CAN ALSO DO A QUESTION MARK TO SAY, GIVE ME THE
01:26PM	9	NEXT WORD IN THE HIERARCHY FOR THIS PARTICULAR STARTING POINT
01:27PM	10	WORD. OR THERE'S ALSO A COMMAND CALLED PARSE DUMP WHICH IS A
01:27PM	11	COMMAND THAT YOU MIGHT HEAR SOME EVIDENCE ABOUT IN THIS CASE
01:27PM	12	WHERE IF YOU WANT TO KNOW WHAT THE SYSTEM CAN SUPPORT IN TERMS
01:27PM	13	OF ALL THE DIFFERENT COMMANDS
01:27PM	14	THE COURT: SO I GUESS NOW I'M CONFUSED AS TO WHAT'S
01:27PM	15	THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE HIERARCHIES AND EACH OF THE COMMAND
01:27PM	16	LINES. IS THAT WHERE WE ARE GOING?
01:27PM	17	MR. NELSON: THAT IS WHERE WE ARE GOING.
01:27PM	18	MR. VAN NEST: THAT'S WHERE WE ARE GOING.
01:27PM	19	MR. NELSON: I'M GETTING THERE BOB, DON'T WORRY ABOUT
01:27PM	20	IT.
01:27PM	21	SO THAT'S WHEN I WAS TALKING ABOUT, AND I DON'T WANT TO USE
01:27PM	22	THE TERM SYNTAX, RIGHT, BECAUSE THAT'S THE WAY WE'VE KIND OF
01:27PM	23	USED IT IN THE CASE OR MY UNDERSTANDING WAS REFERRING TO, LIKE,
01:27PM	24	THE PARAMETERS AND THE SPECIFIC INPUTS THAT YOU MIGHT HAVE IN A
01:27PM	25	COMMAND BECAUSE YOU ARE SAYING DO THESE THINGS ON A CERTAIN

SWITCH OR A CERTAIN PORT OR A CERTAIN NETWORK. 1 01:27PM THAT'S NOT WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT. 2 01:27PM BUT THIS, THAT STRUCTURE IN TERMS OF HOW YOU LAY OUT THE 3 01:27PM 01:27PM 4 COMMANDS, IS PART OF THE USER INTERFACE, RIGHT. IT IS PART OF THE USER INTERFACE. BOTH FOR THE REASONS MR. PAK SUGGESTED, AS 01:27PM WELL AS FOR THESE USABILITY REASONS. 01:28PM 01:28PM SO IT DOES -- IT EXISTS SEPARATE AND APART FROM THE COMMANDS FOR THE EXACT REASONS THAT I DESCRIBED, RIGHT. YOU 8 01:28PM 01:28PM 9 HAVE THIS OVERALL, AND WE CAN GO THROUGH DOCUMENTATION ON BOTH 01:28PM 10 SIDES, BUT THERE ARE, I DON'T WANT TO TURN THIS INTO AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING ARE FOR YOUR HONOR BUT THERE'S 01:28PM 11 01:28PM 12 DOCUMENTATIONS ON BOTH SIDES THAT ARE TALKING ABOUT BOTH OF 01:28PM 13 THESE PARTICULAR HIERARCHIES EXISTING WITHIN THE USER INTERFACE 01:28PM 14 AND THAT THEY ARE IMPORTANT TO DECIDE WHAT HIERARCHIES YOU ARE 01:28PM 15 GOING TO USE AND MAINTAIN CONSISTENCY WITH THOSE. THE COURT: SO THIS IS THE CREATOR DECIDING WHICH 01:28PM 16 17 ONES TO USE, NOT THE USER DECIDING? 01:28PM MR. NELSON: THAT'S CORRECT. 18 01:28PM 01:28PM 19 THE COURT: OKAY. 20 MR. NELSON: SO IT WOULD BE BECAUSE THE CREATOR IS 01:28PM 21 BEING CONSISTENT WITH THAT HIERARCHICAL ORGANIZATION, BECAUSE I 01:28PM DON'T WANT TO USE THE TERM SYNTAX, THAT MAKES IT EASIER FOR THE 01:28PM 22 01:28PM 23 USER, RIGHT. 01:28PM 24 SO THE USER UNDERSTANDS THAT THESE TYPES OF COMMANDS I'M 01:29PM 25 WORKING ON A SPANNING-TREE, RIGHT, I CAN GO SPANNING-TREE

01:29PM	1	QUESTION MARK AND I CAN GET WHAT MY OPTIONS ARE FROM THERE
01:29PM	2	RATHER THAN LIKE WE HAVE THIS OTHER ONE, SHOW SPANNING-TREE.
01:29PM	3	YOU HAVE TO REMEMBER THAT, IF YOU WERE TRYING TO THINK, CAN I
01:29PM	4	LOOK AT SOME PARTICULAR INFORMATION FROM THE SPANNING-TREE
01:29PM	5	MIGHT BE HARD IF THE GUY, HE DIDN'T REMEMBER THAT THAT WAS PART
01:29PM	6	OF A DIFFERENT HIERARCHY, RIGHT.
01:29PM	7	SO LET ME I DON'T KNOW IF THIS IS GOOD BUT I'M GOING TO
01:29PM	8	GO THERE
01:29PM	9	THE COURT: SO WE ARE LOOKING FOR PROTECTION OF
01:29PM	10	AND YOU'VE PUT THEM IN BOXES.
01:29PM	11	SO I'M LOOKING AT PAGE 77, AND I'M LOOKING AT YOUR
01:29PM	12	SPANNING-TREE HIERARCHY FOR IOS.
01:29PM	13	MR. NELSON: CORRECT.
01:29PM	14	THE COURT: THAT IS A HIERARCHY, AND YOU ARE SEEKING
01:29PM	15	PROTECTION OF THAT AS ITS PRESENTED TO ME THERE? THAT'S WHAT
01:29PM	16	YOU'VE SAID.
01:29PM	17	MR. NELSON: WHAT WE'VE SAID, IF IT YOU LOOK AT THE
01:30PM	18	LEAD IN TO THAT, THAT'S AN ILLUSTRATION OF WHAT THE HIERARCHY
01:30PM	19	IS.
01:30PM	20	THE COURT: SO THAT'S WHERE YOU LOSE ME, I WAS BEING
01:30PM	21	VERY LITERAL. SO NOW I'M NOT EVEN UNDERSTANDING WHERE THE
01:30PM	22	HIERARCHY EXISTS AND HOW THE USER, IF THIS IS THE USER
01:30PM	23	INTERFACE, HOW IT OPERATES. I'M A STEP BEHIND YOU THERE.
01:30PM	24	MR. NELSON: OKAY.
01:30PM	25	SO THE, LET'S AND CAN YOU GIVE ME THAT SPECIFIC LANGUAGE

01:30PM	1	FROM THE USABILITY BRIEF.
01:30PM	2	SO LET ME LOOK AT THIS WAY AND SEE IF I HAVE A BETTER, A
01:30PM	3	MORE REAL-WORLD EXAMPLE.
01:30PM	4	LET'S SAY THAT I HAD A USER INTERFACE OR AN OPERATING
01:30PM	5	SYSTEM THAT I WAS GOING TO USE TO WRITE A WHOLE BUNCH OF
01:30PM	6	DIFFERENT SPORTS VIDEO GAMES.
01:30PM	7	SO I HAD I WANTED TO COVER BASEBALL AND HOCKEY AND
01:30PM	8	FOOTBALL AND SOCCER AND VARIOUS OTHER THINGS, RIGHT BEAR
01:30PM	9	WITH ME JUST A SECOND
01:31PM	10	THE COURT: I'M WITH YOU.
01:31PM	11	MR. NELSON: SO THERE'S WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF
01:31PM	12	THAT, THERE'S COMMONALITY ACROSS SPORTS, RIGHT.
01:31PM	13	SO LIKE, YOU KNOW, AND BASKETBALL I FORGOT. SO SOMETHING
01:31PM	14	LIKE SHOOT, YOU MAY SHOOT, THERE'S A WHOLE YOU HAVE THAT IN
01:31PM	15	SOCCER OR A LEAST YOU CAN CALL A KICK A SHOT, RIGHT. AND YOU
01:31PM	16	HAVE THAT IN BASKETBALL FOR SURE. YOU GOT IT IN HOCKEY, THINGS
01:31PM	17	LIKE THAT.
01:31PM	18	SO YOU MIGHT DECIDE THAT THERE'S ENOUGH COMMONALITY WITH
01:31PM	19	RESPECT TO THAT, THAT WHEN YOU ARE ORGANIZING THE STRUCTURE,
01:31PM	20	YOU WANT TO PUT, SO YOU WOULD HAVE SHOOT PUCK, SHOOT BALL,
01:31PM	21	RIGHT. YOU WOULD WANT OR SHOOT SOCCER BALLS, SHOOT
01:31PM	22	BASKETBALL, YOU WOULD WANT TO HAVE THEM ORGANIZED THAT WAY
01:31PM	23	BECAUSE IT MAKES MORE SENSE, AS OPPOSED TO ORGANIZING
01:31PM	24	EVERYTHING BY PLAYER.
01:31PM	25	SO YOU MIGHT GO IN AND SAY, OKAY, SOCCER PLAYER, WHAT ARE

01:31PM	1	ALL THE THINGS? A SOCCER PLAYER CAN DRIBBLE. WELL, A
01:31PM	2	BASKETBALL PLAYER CAN DRIBBLE. A SOCCER PLAYER CAN TACKLE,
01:31PM	3	WELL, A FOOTBALL PLAYER CAN TACKLE. SO A BASKETBALL PLAYER CAN
01:32PM	4	DRIBBLE, YES, I MEAN, SOME OF THEM.
01:32PM	5	SO BASKETBALL PLAYER CAN DRIBBLE, RIGHT, BUT A SOCCER
01:32PM	6	PLAYER CAN DRIBBLE TOO. WELL, I DON'T KNOW, I HAD ANOTHER
01:32PM	7	EXAMPLE, I FORGOT WHAT IT WAS.
01:32PM	8	THE COURT: YEAH.
01:32PM	9	MR. NELSON: SO YOU THAT'S KIND OF THE SAME THING,
01:32PM	10	RIGHT, SO YOU GO IN AND YOU ARE TRYING TO DECIDE HOW BEST TO
01:32PM	11	ORGANIZE THESE THINGS SO THAT IT PRESENTS ITSELF TO A USER IN
01:32PM	12	AN EFFICIENT AND UNDERSTANDABLE FASHION, RIGHT. AND BOTH FOR
01:32PM	13	WHEN YOU ARE CREATING NEW COMMANDS AND TO FOR TEACHABILITY
01:32PM	14	PERSPECTIVE.
01:32PM	15	THE COURT: WHAT I'M GLEANING, FROM WHAT YOU ARE
01:32PM	16	TELLING ME THOUGH, IS THAT THE HIERARCHY IS THE IDEA BEHIND THE
01:32PM	17	EXPRESSION OF THE COMMAND.
01:32PM	18	MR. NELSON: WELL, THE HIERARCHY ITSELF IS AN
01:32PM	19	EXISTING EXPRESSION, RIGHT. AND THAT'S WHAT WE ARE NOT
01:32PM	20	CLAIMING THE IDEA OF HIERARCHIES.
01:32PM	21	THE COURT: SO I'M LOOKING FOR THE EXPRESSION. AND
01:33PM	22	I'M SORRY, THAT'S WHAT I'M A LITTLE BIT STUCK ON.
01:33PM	23	IF THE EXPRESSION, YOU ARE TELLING ME THESE ARE ONLY
01:33PM	24	EXEMPLARY. IF PAGE 77, THE SPANNING-TREE EXAMPLE UNDER IOS,
01:33PM	25	THE SPANNING-TREE HIERARCHY, I'VE ASKED YOU ARE YOU CLAIMING

01:33PM	1	PROTECTION OF THIS AS A HIERARCHY, AND I THOUGHT YOU JUST TOLD
01:33PM	2	ME NO, YOU WEREN'T.
01:33PM	3	MR. NELSON: NO, WE ARE CLAIMING
01:33PM	4	THE COURT: THIS EXISTS IN YOUR USER INTERFACE.
01:33PM	5	MR. NELSON: IT DOES EXIST IN THE USER INTERFACE.
01:33PM	6	THAT'S EXACTLY RIGHT.
01:33PM	7	THE COURT: AND IT'S NOT JUST WHAT IS THE PREDICATE
01:33PM	8	TO THE COMMAND LINE THAT IS PART OF THE 508 OR MORE.
01:33PM	9	MR. NELSON: THAT'S CORRECT. THAT IS CORRECT.
01:33PM	10	IT IS REFLECTIVE OF THE PARTICULAR STRUCTURE, JUST AS I WAS
01:33PM	11	GOING THROUGH THESE THINGS, OF HOW YOU ORGANIZE THESE COMMANDS.
01:33PM	12	SO THAT'S WHY WE USE THE ACCUSED COMMANDS IN ORDER TO
01:33PM	13	ILLUSTRATE THOSE THINGS
01:33PM	14	THE COURT: BUT IT SEEMS TO ME AS THOUGH THERE IS A
01:33PM	15	COMMAND IN THIS IOS THAT IS SPANNING-TREE BP, IT HAS ALL OF
01:34PM	16	THESE WORDS IN IT, I CAN'T EVEN THAT IS SPANNING-TREE, BPDU
01:34PM	17	FILTER.
01:34PM	18	MR. NELSON: CORRECT.
01:34PM	19	THE COURT: BUT THAT'S WHAT THE COMMAND LINE IS.
01:34PM	20	MR. NELSON: THOSE EXACTLY.
01:34PM	21	BECAUSE THE HIERARCHY, SEE, WHAT WE'VE DONE IS ILLUSTRATED
01:34PM	22	THAT HIERARCHY. THE HIERARCHY IS THE ORGANIZATION, RIGHT. IN
01:34PM	23	OTHER WORDS, THE CHOICE
01:34PM	24	THE COURT: WELL, I DON'T KNOW THAT YOU CAN COPYRIGHT
01:34PM	25	THE ORGANIZATION IN GENERAL, ISN'T THAT THE IDEA?

01:34PM	1	MR. NELSON: NO, IT'S NOT THE IDEA BECAUSE IT IS A
01:34PM		
01:34PM	2	PARTICULAR EXPRESSION.
01:34PM	3	SO IF I GO BACK, LET'S JUST GO BACK TO SLIDE 25 ON THE
01:34PM	4	EXAMPLE. AND YOU CAN SEE THAT HERE SO WITH THE SHOW
01:34PM	5	HIERARCHY, THERE ACTUALLY IS A SPANNING-TREE FUNCTIONALITY
01:34PM	6	THAT'S A CHILD OF THE SHOW HIERARCHY.
01:34PM	7	BUT
01:34PM	8	THE COURT: BUT REALLY EACH OF THESE IS A COMMAND
01:34PM	9	LINE, EACH OF THESE SELECTIONS IS A COMMAND LINE, RIGHT?
01:34PM	10	MR. NELSON: THAT'S CORRECT.
01:35PM	11	THE COURT: OKAY.
01:35PM	12	MR. NELSON: THAT IS CORRECT. BECAUSE THAT'S IN
01:35PM	13	TERMS OF WHAT SO IN TERMS OF THE ASSERTION THOUGH, THOSE
01:35PM	14	ARE THAT'S AN ADDITIONAL THING, RIGHT.
01:35PM	15	SO FOR EXAMPLE, EVEN IF YOU FOUND, SO THAT STRUCTURE, IN
01:35PM	16	TERMS OF THE, SETTING UP THAT SPANNING-TREE HIERARCHY AND
01:35PM	17	PUTTING ALL THESE THINGS, THAT'S PART OF WHAT WAS COPIED HERE,
01:35PM	18	AS OPPOSED TO TRY TO TAKE PARTICULAR COMMANDS AND REORDER.
01:35PM	19	SO WE WOULD SAY, OKAY, I WANT EVERYTHING UNDER PORTFAST.
01:35PM	20	SO I MIGHT USE THE SAME TERMINOLOGY, BUT I DECIDED TO USE A
01:35PM	21	PORTFAST HIERARCHY. THEY DIDN'T DO THAT, THAT'S WHAT WE ARE
01:35PM	22	SAYING. WHAT THEY DID WAS, YOU KNOW, KEPT THAT SAME HIERARCHY
01:36PM	23	FOR THEIR THINGS. AND THERE MAY BE ADDITIONAL COMMANDS THAT
01:36PM	24	THEY ORDER WITHIN THAT PARTICULAR HIERARCHY.
01:36PM	25	BUT SEE, YOUR HONOR, THAT'S AN ADDITIONAL REASON BECAUSE

1 01:36PM 2 01:36PM 3 01:36PM 01:36PM 01:36PM 01:36PM 01:36PM 8 01:36PM 9 01:36PM 01:36PM 10 01:36PM 11 01:36PM 12 13 01:36PM 14 01:37PM 01:37PM 15 16 01:37PM 17 01:37PM 18 01:37PM 01:37PM 19 20 01:37PM 21 01:37PM 01:37PM 22 01:37PM 23 24 01:37PM 01:37PM 25

THAT IS A SEPARATE AND DISTINCT EXPRESSION OF -- EXPRESSION, RIGHT, THAT'S SEPARATE AND APART FROM THE COMMANDS THEMSELVES.

THE COURT: I GUESS IT'S A PRETTY THIN LINE BETWEEN THE COMMAND ITSELF, AND THIS PARTICULARS TO ME TO BE A FURTHER EXPLANATION OF THE, WHAT YOU CALL THE CREATIVE PROCESS TO CREATE THE COMMAND LINE AS OPPOSED TO SOMETHING THAT IS SEPARATELY PROTECTABLE.

AND I'M JUST NOT SEEING ANY -- YOU KNOW, THIS JUST LOOKS TO ME LIKE THIS IS WHAT YOUR ARCHITECTS, YOUR AUTHORS WERE LOOKING AT TO CREATE, IT'S A STEP ALONG THE WAY TO CREATING THE COMMAND LINE AND NOT A SEPARATELY PROTECTABLE ELEMENT.

MR. NELSON: WELL, EXCEPT FOR IT IS SOMETHING THAT EXISTS, IT IS SOMETHING THAT EXISTS IN THE USER INTERFACE.

AND IT DOES IT FOR THE PURPOSE OF ONE, YOU KNOW, ORDERING THE HELP, FIGURING OUT WHAT'S IN THE HELP COMMANDS AND THE DISPLAYS CAN BE, FIGURING OUT WHAT THE, FROM THE USER STANDPOINT HOW YOU ACCESS CERTAIN INFORMATION IN TERMS OF GETTING AT THE EXPOSED FUNCTIONALITY, WHAT KIND OF PATH YOU TAKE, AND IT HAS TO PREEXIST WHEN YOU THINK ABOUT IT BECAUSE IT'S ALSO GOING TO BE PART OF THE CONSIDERATION THAT'S USED WHEN YOU ADD NEW COMMANDS TO THINGS.

SO I UNDERSTAND, YOUR HONOR, AND YOU'RE A HUNDRED PERCENT CORRECT THAT THERE IS AN ASPECT OF IT THAT YOU NEED TO THINK ABOUT IN THE CONTEXT OF THE CREATIVE PROCESS OF -- CREATING THE COMMANDS THEMSELVES, BUT THAT TO ME ANYWAY, THE DIFFERENCE IS

THAT THAT PART OF CREATIVE PROCESS IS CHOOSING WHAT HIERARCHY 1 01:37PM YOU ARE GOING TO PUT THE COMMAND INTO OR WHETHER YOU ARE GOING 2 01:37PM 3 TO CREATE A NEW HIERARCHY. 01:38PM AND THAT'S SOMETHING -- THEY COULD HAVE USED EXACTLY THE 01:38PM SAME FUNCTIONALITY AND THE SAME, YOU KNOW, CHOICE OF WORDS AND 01:38PM ALL THOSE KINDS OF THINGS, BUT DECIDED TO CREATE DIFFERENT 01:38PM 01:38PM 7 HIERARCHIES IN THE SYSTEM, RIGHT. SO I WOULD SUGGEST THAT THAT'S AN ADDITIONAL REASON WHY 8 01:38PM 01:38PM 9 SOME OF THE SHORTER COMMANDS THAT WE HAVE WOULD REMAIN BECAUSE 01:38PM 10 THOSE ARE PART OF THE ACCUSED HIERARCHIES IN THE CASE. AND IF I GET TO THE DOCUMENTS -- AND SEAN, CAN YOU POINT ME 01:38PM 11 01:38PM 12 TO EXACTLY WHERE WE HAVE THE DOCUMENTATION IN THE HIERARCHIES. 01:38PM 13 MR. PAK: YEAH. 01:38PM 14 MR. NELSON: IF I GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE HERE, MAYBE 01:38PM 15 THIS CAN ILLUSTRATE A BIT MORE. SO THESE ARE THE HIERARCHIES THAT EXIST IN THE SYSTEM. SO 16 01:38PM 17 WE KNOW ABOUT IP AND WE'VE TALKED ABOUT IP QUITE A BIT. AND 01:38PM 18 YOU WILL SEE AND THIS IS AN EXCERPT I HAVE ON SLIDE 26 OF THE 01:39PM 01:39PM 19 IP HIERARCHY. BUT IF I LOOK AT THE "SHOW HIERARCHY," THERE'S 20 ACTUALLY PART OF IT THAT HAS IP FUNCTIONALITY IN THERE. 01:39PM 21 SO IN OTHER WORDS, THERE WAS A CONSCIENCE DECISION MADE NOT 01:39PM 01:39PM 22 TO EXPAND THE IP HIERARCHY TO INCLUDE THIS SHOW FUNCTIONALITY, 01:39PM 23 RIGHT. THAT WAS PLACED IN THE SHOW HIERARCHY. 01:39PM 24 AND SIMILARLY, YOU CAN SEE ONE OF THE THINGS IN THIS 01:39PM 25 EXAMPLE THAT CAN BE SHOWN AS SOMETHING ABOUT VRRP, WHICH IS MY

UNDERSTANDING, A PARTICULAR PROTOCOL. BUT RATHER THAN EXPAND 1 01:39PM 2 01:39PM VRRP HIERARCHY AS WELL. 3 01:39PM 01:39PM 01:39PM 01:39PM 01:40PM 8 01:40PM 9 01:40PM 01:40PM 10 01:40PM 11 01:40PM 12 13 DIFFERENT STRUCTURE TO THE USER INTERFACE. 01:40PM 14 01:40PM 01:40PM 15 16 01:40PM 17 01:40PM 18 01:40PM 01:40PM 19 20 YOU DON'T HAVE ONE WITHOUT THE OTHER. 01:40PM 21 01:40PM 01:40PM 22 01:41PM 23 01:41PM 24 THE HIERARCHY, IT'S NOT SOMETHING DISTINCT. 01:41PM 25 MR. NELSON: WELL, I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU ARE SAYING,

THERE, YOU WILL SEE THAT THE DESIGNERS, THEY CREATED A SEPARATE SO THEY CHOSE TO, RATHER THAN EXPAND -- BECAUSE THAT'S PART OF WHAT YOU ARE TRYING TO DO IS YOU MAY NOT WANT THE HIERARCHIES TO BE SO LARGE BECAUSE THAT MAKES IT DIFFICULT FROM A USABILITY STANDPOINT AND ALSO, YOU KNOW, LOCKS YOU IN BECAUSE YOU MIGHT ADD ADDITIONAL FUNCTIONALITY DOWN THE ROAD AND THEN SOME OF THE COMMANDS MAY BECOME VERY, VERY COMPLEX. SO YOU SEE HERE THAT YOU CAN HAVE SIMILAR FUNCTIONALITY OR THE SAME FUNCTIONALITY THAT WOULD BE EXPOSED, BUT YOU CHOOSE TO PUT IT IN A DIFFERENT HIERARCHY, AND THEREFORE YOU HAVE A SO THAT'S THE ASPECT OF THE HIERARCHIES THAT WE'RE CLAIMING TO BE SEPARATE AND APART FROM THE COMMANDS THEMSELVES. THE COURT: AND THAT'S WHAT I'M STRUGGLING WITH. BECAUSE IT SEEMS TO ME THAT IF YOU PROVE THAT ARISTA HAS COPIED OR INFRINGED THE, BY COPYING THE HIERARCHIES, THEY NECESSARILY HAVE COPIED THE COMMANDS. YOU CAN'T SEPARATE THEM. AND THAT'S WHERE I'M JUST REALLY STRUGGLING. THAT'S WHY I THINK THE HIERARCHIES ARE MORE ON THE IDEA RATHER THAN EXPRESSION SIDE, OR THE COMMAND IS REALLY JUST THE OUTPUT OF

01:41PM	1	BUT YOU COULD ALSO HAVE A SITUATION I MEAN, LET'S SAY, WE'VE
01:41PM	2	ILLUSTRATED IN A SENSE BECAUSE WE CHOSE TO DO THAT, WE DIDN'T
01:41PM	3	WANT TO EXPAND THE CASE AND CREATE THE AMBIGUITY, THAT
01:41PM	4	PARTICULAR COMMANDS ARE NOT YOU ARE GOING TO FILTER THOSE,
01:41PM	5	RIGHT, THAT MAY BE THE CASE, I UNDERSTAND THAT.
01:41PM	6	BUT THEY WOULD STILL BE PART OF THE ASSERTED HIERARCHY
01:41PM	7	THAT'S HERE SEPARATE AND APART. SO THAT'S WHY THAT IS
01:41PM	8	DIFFERENT.
01:41PM	9	NOW, WE COULD HAVE INCLUDED A WHOLE BUNCH OF OTHER COMMANDS
01:41PM	10	THAT ARE WITHIN THE HIERARCHY AS ILLUSTRATIVE OF THAT, BUT THAT
01:41PM	11	JUST MAKES THE CASE MORE AND MORE UNWIELDY, SO WE CHOSE NOT TO
01:41PM	12	DO THAT. BUT I DO THINK THAT IT IS A SEPARATE AND DISTINCT
01:41PM	13	THING.
01:41PM	14	AND IF I GO TO SLIDE 72, YOU WILL SEE THIS IS FROM ARISTA'S
01:42PM	15	OWN DOCUMENTATION, THEY HAVE CLI CONVENTIONS AND STYLE
01:42PM	16	GUIDELINES.
01:42PM	17	SO I MEAN, THEY TALK ABOUT THIS INTERNALLY AS BEING
01:42PM	18	SOMETHING HAD THAT EXISTS. USE CONVENTIONAL COMMAND
01:42PM	19	HIERARCHIES, RIGHT. WHEN NAMING A
01:42PM	20	THE COURT: THEY MAY USE THE SAME IDEA YOU USE,
01:42PM	21	THAT'S REALLY WHAT I'M GETTING AT HERE. AND YOU ARE NOT
01:42PM	22	SEEKING PROTECTION OF THE IDEA OF A HIERARCHY.
01:42PM	23	MR. NELSON: NO, NO. I'M NOT DOING THAT AT ALL. WE
01:42PM	24	ARE NOT DOING THAT. THAT'S WHY WE NAMED AND ILLUSTRATED IT IN
01:42PM	25	THE SENSE OF THE ASSERTED COMMANDS, THE SPECIFIC HIERARCHIES WE

01:42PM	1	ARE CLAIMING.
01:42PM	2	SO YOU ARE RIGHT IN THE TERMS OF THEY LOOK AND MAYBE THAT
01:42PM	3	WAS A MISTAKE ON OUR PART NOT TO EXPAND THE CASE FATHER, BUT
01:42PM	4	THEY LOOK TO BE COEXTENSIVE BECAUSE WE CHOSE TO ILLUSTRATE THE
01:42PM	5	ACCUSED HIERARCHIES WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE ASSERTED
01:42PM	6	COMMANDS, RIGHT.
01:42PM	7	BUT JUST TAKING THE EXAMPLE, YOU KNOW, THERE MAY HAVE BEEN
01:43PM	8	ADDITIONAL ONES THAT THEY HAD THAT WERE SINGLE WORD COMMANDS
01:43PM	9	THAT FELL ON THAT HIERARCHY OR OTHER COMMANDS THAT THEY ADDED
01:43PM	10	WITHIN THAT HIERARCHY, SO IT MAINTAINED THE SAME HIERARCHY BUT
01:43PM	11	IT WASN'T A COPIED COMMAND.
01:43PM	12	AND SO RATHER THAN CREATE THAT AMBIGUITY, WE CHOSE TO GO
01:43PM	13	WITH THAT. BUT IF YOUR HONOR FILTERS THINGS OUT, CLEARLY THEY
01:43PM	14	WOULDN'T BE COEXTENSIVE, THEY WOULD BE
01:43PM	15	THE COURT: SURE, THAT'S TRUE.
01:43PM	16	MR. NELSON: RIGHT.
01:43PM	17	AND SO, I DON'T KNOW IF THAT EXPLAINS TO YOU WHAT WE ARE
01:43PM	18	TALKING ABOUT WITH RESPECT TO THE HIERARCHIES, BUT IF YOU HAVE
01:43PM	19	QUESTIONS, I CAN DEAL WITH IT, BUT I DON'T WANT TO JUST KEEP
01:43PM	20	THE COURT: OKAY. NO, LET'S GO ON.
01:43PM	21	MR. NELSON: OKAY.
01:43PM	22	SO NO, I'M NOT DONE YET, THAT WAS JUST HIERARCHIES.
01:43PM	23	SO YOUR HONOR ASKED THE QUESTIONS, A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS
01:43PM	24	ABOUT SOME OF THESE TWO-WORD COMMANDS.
01:44PM	25	THE COURT: YEAH.

01:44PM	1	MR. NELSON: AND HONESTLY, I WELCOME THIS PROCESS
01:44PM	2	BECAUSE I WANT TO KNOW HOW I'M TRYING MY CASE, RIGHT.
01:44PM	3	THE COURT: SURE.
01:44PM	4	MR. NELSON: I DON'T IF YOUR HONOR IS
01:44PM	5	UNCOMFORTABLE WITH SOMETHING OR IF I CAN'T GET YOU THERE WITH
01:44PM	6	THAT, I WANT TO TELL YOU WHAT I THINK MY EVIDENCE IS GOING TO
01:44PM	7	BE AND THEN WE CAN SEE. BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO TRY A CASE
01:44PM	8	WHERE I'M TELLING THE JURY IN OPENING THERE'S THESE 506
01:44PM	9	COMMANDS AND THEN, YOU KNOW, YOU DECIDE, OKAY, WELL 200 OF THEM
01:44PM	10	ARE GOING AWAY, RIGHT. I WOULD RATHER HAVE AN INDICATION OF
01:44PM	11	THAT BECAUSE THAT HELPS ME.
01:44PM	12	THE COURT: SURE.
01:44PM	13	MR. NELSON: AND I THINK YOUR HONOR WOULD LIKE THAT
01:44PM	14	TOO BECAUSE IT MAKE ITS EASIER FOR THE JURY.
01:44PM	15	SO I WILL TELL YOU WHAT OUR REASONING IS AND DO THE BEST I
01:44PM	16	CAN WITH THAT, BUT I APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO IT.
01:44PM	17	SO LET ME GO TO SLIDE 27, BECAUSE THIS IS ONE SPECIFICALLY
01:44PM	18	THAT YOU'VE ASKED ABOUT. AND I TOTAL UNDERSTAND THE GESTALT,
01:44PM	19	RIGHT. THERE'S NO QUESTION ABOUT THAT. BUT WE HAVE TO LOOK AT
01:45PM	20	THE CONTEXT AND WHAT THE CHOICES ARE WITHIN THAT.
01:45PM	21	LIKE, SOMEBODY TOLD ME TO BE OR NOT TO BE, YOU KNOW, THAT'S
01:45PM	22	PRETTY SIMPLE, THOSE ARE SIMPLE WORDS, BUT WELL, IT WAS
01:45PM	23	PROTECTABLE AT ONE TIME, SOMEBODY WROTE IT NOW.
01:45PM	24	SO I THINK WE NEED TO GET PAST THAT MAYBE AND LET ME JUST
01:45PM	25	EXPLAIN TO YOU WHERE WE ARE AT ON THAT.

01:45PM	1	SO IF I LOOK AT SLIDE 27, AND THIS IS IN THE CONTEXT OF THE
01:45PM	2	HIERARCHIES MAKE SENSE TOO, SO THERE IS A CLOCK SET, RIGHT, AND
01:45PM	3	THERE'S ACTUALLY A COUPLE WITHIN THAT CLOCK HIERARCHY, THERE'S
01:45PM	4	ALSO CLOCK TIMEZONE. CLOCK SET ACTUALLY DOESN'T DO WHAT YOU
01:45PM	5	THINK I WOULD THINK OKAY, IT SETS THE TIME ON THE CLOCK.
01:45PM	6	THE COURT: IT'S NOT EVEN IN THIS HIERARCHY, IS IT?
01:45PM	7	MR. NELSON: WELL, THIS IS A SEPARATE HIERARCHY ON
01:45PM	8	THE RIGHT, THAT'S THE SHOW.
01:45PM	9	THE COURT: OKAY. THAT'S THE "SHOW HIERARCHY."
01:45PM	10	OKAY.
01:45PM	11	MR. NELSON: SO CLOCK SET ACTUALLY WILL DO THE
01:46PM	12	CURRENT MONTH, THE CURRENT YEAR, AND YOU CAN DO THE TIME AS
01:46PM	13	WELL. SO THE FUNCTIONALITY IS THERE. TIMEZONE, OF COURSE THAT
01:46PM	14	ONE WOULD DO, SET THE CURRENT TIMEZONE.
01:46PM	15	BUT SO THAT'S THE FUNCTIONALITY THAT'S EXPOSED. BUT YOU
01:46PM	16	CAN SEE, AND THIS GOES BACK TO HOW YOU ORGANIZE THESE THINGS,
01:46PM	17	YOU COULD HAVE DONE SET CLOCK TOO, BECAUSE LET'S SAY YOU WANTED
01:46PM	18	TO CREATE A SET HIERARCHY AND JUST DO EVERYTHING YOU CAN SET IN
01:46PM	19	THE SYSTEM, LET'S PUT THAT UNDER A SET HIERARCHY, SO THERE
01:46PM	20	WOULD BE DIFFERENT STRUCTURE TO THAT PARTICULAR COMMAND. NO
01:46PM	21	QUESTION ABOUT THAT. AND THAT'S ILLUSTRATED HERE IN SHOW.
01:46PM	22	SO WITHIN THE "SHOW HIERARCHY" THERE IS A SHOW CLOCK,
01:46PM	23	RIGHT.
01:46PM	24	SO IT WAS SPECIFICALLY CHOSEN, I'M GOING TO PUT THAT IN AS
01:46PM	25	ONE OF THE THINGS YOU CAN SHOW AS OPPOSED TO PUTTING IT IN THE

01:46PM	1	CLOCK HIERARCHY AND SAYING CLOCK SHOW.
01:47PM	2	SO THOSE ARE CHOICES THAT ARE OUT THERE AND THERE ARE REAL
01:47PM	3	UI DIFFERENCES, RIGHT, IT'S A DIFFERENT SEQUENCE STRUCTURE
01:47PM	4	ORGANIZATION TO THE USER INTERFACE WHEN YOU MAKE THOSE CHOICES.
01:47PM	5	AND I SHOW JUNIPER OVER HERE BECAUSE THEY HAVE, AND YOU'VE
01:47PM	6	HEARD ABOUT THAT DURING THE CASE, THEY HAVE DIFFERENT, WHOLLY
01:47PM	7	DIFFERENT USER INTERFACE. AND COMMANDS THAT THEY HAVE FOR
01:47PM	8	SIMILAR FUNCTIONALITY, THEY ACTUALLY HAVE A SET, YOU KNOW, THEY
01:47PM	9	PUT EVERYTHING UNDER SET AND THEY SAY SET DATE, AND
01:47PM	10	THE COURT: SO THIS HAS TO DO WITH THE CREATION OF A
01:47PM	11	HIERARCHY, THAT'S THE PROCESS HERE AS TO WHETHER IT'S CLOCK AND
01:47PM	12	THEN THE CLOCK FUNCTION CHOICES, OR WHETHER IT'S SET AND ALL
01:47PM	13	THE SET OPTIONS.
01:47PM	14	MR. NELSON: CORRECT. THAT'S A HIERARCHY ISSUE.
01:47PM	15	THE COURT: OKAY, IT'S NOT A COMMAND
01:47PM	16	MR. NELSON: WELL, IT'S AS YOUR HONOR
01:48PM	17	THE COURT: BECAUSE THE COMMAND IS THE RESULT OF THE
01:48PM	18	HIERARCHY, THERE'S NOTHING
01:48PM	19	MR. NELSON: IN THAT CONTEXT WITH RESPECT TO THAT
01:48PM	20	PARTICULAR CHOICE.
01:48PM	21	THE COURT: SO IT SEEMS TO ME THERE'S NOTHING
01:48PM	22	SEPARATELY CREATIVE ABOUT THE COMMAND, IT'S ALL IN THE
01:48PM	23	HIERARCHY CREATION. AND THE COMMANDS JUST ARE PUSHED OUT,
01:48PM	24	THERE'S NOTHING THAT HAPPENS NEW AND APART FROM THE HIERARCHY.
01:48PM	25	MR. NELSON: WELL, YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT THE SHORT

01:48PM	1	COMMANDS?
01:48PM	2	THE COURT: AUTOMATIC OF THEM, ACTUALLY. I MEAN,
01:48PM	3	THAT'S WHERE, BECAUSE IT SEEMS TO ME THAT EVERY COMMAND EXISTS
01:48PM	4	AS PART OF A HIERARCHY.
01:48PM	5	MR. NELSON: ACTUALLY, NO.
01:48PM	6	THE COURT: NO?
01:48PM	7	MR. NELSON: IT WOULD SEEM THAT WAY BECAUSE THAT'S
01:48PM	8	THE WAY WE CHOSE TO ILLUSTRATE THE HIERARCHIES, BUT THEY ARE
01:48PM	9	NOT COEXTENSIVE.
01:48PM	10	WE HAVE PLENTY OF COMMANDS THAT ARE ASSERTED IN THE CASE,
01:48PM	11	AND I CAN GIVE YOU SOME OF THOSE EXAMPLES AND CERTAINLY WITHIN
01:48PM	12	THE UI THAT ARE NOT A PARTICULAR PART OF A HIERARCHY.
01:48PM	13	THE COURT: OKAY.
01:48PM	14	MR. NELSON: THE OTHER THING IS AS YOU TRACE DOWN,
01:48PM	15	YOU WILL SEE FOR EXAMPLE, IF I JUST STICK WITH SLIDE 27, AND I
01:48PM	16	GO FOLLOWING THE "SHOW HIERARCHY," I HAVE SNMP.
01:49PM	17	AND REMEMBER SHOW IS THERE'S NOTHING DICTATIVE ABOUT
01:49PM	18	THAT, THERE WILL BE TESTIMONY THAT YOU COULD USE DISPLAY, YOU
01:49PM	19	COULD USE PRINT, THAT WAS THERE'S A NUMBER OF THINGS THAT
01:49PM	20	PEOPLE WOULD USE AND THEY WOULD KNOW, YOU KNOW, IN THE INDUSTRY
01:49PM	21	WHAT IT IS THAT YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT, OR AT LEAST GENERALLY.
01:49PM	22	BUT YOU SEE I HAVE SHOW SNMP. AND AGAIN, YOU HAD CHOICES
01:49PM	23	ABOUT SNMP AND WHAT YOU DECIDED TO CALL THAT. BUT THEN THERE'S
01:49PM	24	ALL SORTS OF THINGS OFF OF THAT. AND THOSE ARE ALL DIFFERENT
01:49PM	25	CHOICES THAT WERE MADE.

1 01:49PM 2 01:49PM 3 01:49PM 01:49PM 01:49PM 6 01:49PM 01:50PM 7 8 01:50PM 01:50PM 9 01:50PM 10 01:50PM 11 01:50PM 12 01:50PM 13 01:50PM 14 01:50PM 15 01:50PM 16 17 01:50PM 18 01:50PM 01:50PM 19 20 01:50PM 21 01:50PM 01:50PM 22 01:50PM 23 01:50PM 24 01:51PM 25

SO THIS HIERARCHY, BECAUSE SNMP, THIS WAS CHOSEN TO PLACE IN THE CHILD OF THE SHOW HIERARCHY, IT DIDN'T DICTATE WHAT THE COMMAND WAS --

THE COURT: RIGHT.

MR. NELSON: IT MAY BE BECAUSE OF THOSE HIERARCHIES, THAT GIVES YOU SOME ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE, SOME GUIDELINES AS TO HOW IT IS THAT YOU MIGHT STRUCTURE THE COMMAND IN TERMS OF HOW YOU ARE GOING TO PLACE THE DESCRIPTIONS ON WHAT YOU WANT TO CALL THE VARIOUS FUNCTIONALITIES OR ACTIONS OR THINGS YOU ARE OPERATING UPON. WHETHER THERE'S FLEXIBILITY THERE SO IT MAY GIVE GUIDELINES. BUT THE ONE DOESN'T RESULT FROM THE OTHER.

I FULLY AGREE THAT THERE'S AN INTERRELATIONSHIP, THERE'S THE INTERRELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ALL THE ELEMENTS OF THIS UI, IT IS A USER INTERFACE, SO EVEN THOUGH WE TALK ABOUT THINGS AS SEPARATE AND DISTINCT ELEMENTS, THEY ALL DO HAVE SOME RELATIONSHIP, THERE'S NO QUESTION ABOUT THAT.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. NELSON: SO YOU CAN SEE WITH JUNIPER FOR SIMILAR FUNCTIONALITY, IT SHOES SOMETHING DIFFERENT, IT SHOWS SET DATE. AND THAT WOULD BE ONE OF THE THINGS YOU COULD GO IN THERE AND YOU COULD SET THE CLOCK.

AND JUST TO ILLUSTRATE TOO, YOU WILL SEE WITHIN THIS "SHOW HIERARCHY," THAT WE HAVE, WE HAVE SHOW PTP, WHICH IS A PARTICULAR PROTOCOL. AND THE CLOCK THERE, AS OPPOSED TO SAYING

01:51PM	1	CLOCK, SHOW, PTP, AND JUNIPER FOR DOING THAT, DECIDED TO DO SET
01:51PM	2	DATE NTP.
01:51PM	3	SO THERE ARE OPTIONS AVAILABLE, THERE ARE DIFFERENT WAYS TO
01:51PM	4	DO IT. AND OTHER PEOPLE IN THIS INDUSTRY CHOSE TO DO THAT
01:51PM	5	DIFFERENTLY.
01:51PM	6	NOW YOUR HONOR MAY THINK, OKAY, DESPITE THAT, YOU KNOW, I
01:51PM	7	THINK THAT THIS IS THIS IS A TOUGH ONE, RIGHT. AND THAT WAS
01:51PM	8	WHY YOU ASKED ABOUT THAT ONE
01:51PM	9	THE COURT: I GUESS WHAT WE GET DOWN TO THEN IS THAT
01:51PM	10	BASED ON THESE EXAMPLES, FOR EXAMPLE, IF ARISTA HAD SET CLOCK,
01:51PM	11	AS OPPOSED TO CLOCK SET, THERE WOULD BE NO COPYING.
01:51PM	12	MR. NELSON: CORRECT. AND SEE, THAT'S AN IMPORTANT
01:51PM	13	POINT HERE.
01:51PM	14	THE COURT: THEN OF COURSE WE WERE ALL THE WAY AT
01:51PM	15	THIN PROTECTION HERE BECAUSE IT HAS TO BE VIRTUALLY IDENTICAL.
01:51PM	16	AND THE ORDER YOU ARE TELLING ME IS A CRITICAL PART OF THE
01:51PM	17	CREATIVE PROCESS WHEN THE CHOICE IS MADE.
01:52PM	18	MR. NELSON: CORRECT. YOU WILL HEAR THAT YOU WILL
01:52PM	19	HEAR TESTIMONY ON THAT.
01:52PM	20	THE COURT: OKAY.
01:52PM	21	MR. NELSON: WELL, THAT IS TRUE
01:52PM	22	THE COURT: BECAUSE THAT MAY RESOLVE SOME OF THE
01:52PM	23	ISSUES, THAT GETS US CLOSER TO SOME OF THE OTHER ISSUES THAT
01:52PM	24	NEED TO BE RESOLVED IN THE JURY INSTRUCTIONS.
01:52PM	25	MR. NELSON: I AGREE. I AGREE.

1 01:52PM 2 01:52PM 3 01:52PM 01:52PM 01:52PM 01:52PM 01:52PM 7 8 01:52PM 01:52PM 9 01:52PM 10 01:52PM 11 01:52PM 12 01:52PM 13 01:52PM 14 01:53PM 15 01:53PM 16 01:53PM 17 18 01:53PM 01:53PM 19 20 01:53PM 21 01:53PM 01:53PM 22 01:53PM 23 01:53PM 24 01:53PM 25

AND SEE, THERE'S SOMETHING YOU SAID THIS MORNING THAT I THINK IS RELEVANT TO THIS POINT AND SOMETHING YOUR HONOR JUST SAID, SO WE'RE NOT GOING IN AND SAYING, AND I THINK I DID THIS EARLIER, TALKED ABOUT THIS EARLIER, WE ARE NOT GOING IN AND SAYING OKAY, LIKE THE ONE I SAID BEFORE, IF YOU PUT PORTFAST BEFORE SPANNING-TREE, IT REALLY DOES THE SAME THING, IT LOOKS THE SAME AND THEREFORE YOU ARE STILL INFRINGING, YOU COPIED THAT ELEMENT.

I DON'T EVEN KNOW HOW TO USE THAT TERMINOLOGY BECAUSE WE ARE TALKING ABOUT WHAT EVIDENCE I CAN USE TO SHOW INFRINGEMENT. BUT LET'S JUST, YOU KNOW, USE THAT FOR SHORTHAND.

THAT'S NOT WHAT WE ARE SAYING. WE ARE NOT SAYING IT HERE. IF THEY DID SOMETHING LIKE JUNIPER AND SAID, SET CLOCK OR SET DATE OR CHOSE TO DO THAT, THIS WOULDN'T BE ONE OF THE ELEMENTS THAT WE PUT IN THERE.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. NELSON: BECAUSE -- THAT'S SOME OF YOUR HONOR'S CONCERN, BECAUSE YOU DON'T WANT TO HAVE BASICALLY SOME ELEMENTS AND KIND OF SHUTTING OFF ALL THE OPTIONS WITH RESPECT TO THAT.

BUT REMEMBER, THAT'S NOT HOW WE GOT HERE, RIGHT. WE DIDN'T GET HERE BECAUSE THEY HAPPENED TO HAVE SOME THINGS THAT WERE SIMILAR WITH NO ACCESS TO THE WORK OR ANYTHING ALONG THOSE LINES.

AND THEN IT BECOMES MORE IMPORTANT IT FILTER THINGS, BECAUSE THAT'S KIND OF THE POINT, AT LEAST WHEN I READ THE

1 01:53PM 2 01:53PM 3 01:53PM 01:53PM 01:53PM 01:53PM 01:53PM 7 8 01:53PM 01:54PM 9 01:54PM 10 01:54PM 11 01:54PM 12 01:54PM 13 01:54PM 14 01:54PM 15 01:54PM 16 17 01:54PM 18 01:54PM 01:54PM 19 20 01:54PM 21 01:54PM 01:54PM 22 01:54PM 23 01:55PM 24 01:55PM 25

CASES BEHIND FILTRATION IS, YEAH, THERE'S SIMILARITIES, BUT I DO NOT WANT YOU TO HAVE OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE AND SIMILARITIES THAT ARE REALLY OUT THERE.

THIS IS, THEY SPECIFICALLY SET OUT TO COPY SO THAT THEY COULD RECREATE THE CISCO CLI SO THEY COULD GO TO CISCO CUSTOMERS AND SAY HEY, I'M A 99.999 PERCENT DROP IN REPLACEMENT FOR YOU.

SO THIS ISN'T A SITUATION WHERE WE ARE TRYING TO OVER EXTEND AND THEREFORE YOU'VE GOT TO FILTER THOSE THINGS OUT. THIS IS JUST FURTHER EVIDENCE OF THAT DIRECT COPYING.

SO I WOULD SUBMIT IT'S A BIT OF A DIFFERENT CASE. AND MAYBE THAT RESOLVES SOME OF THE ISSUES HERE BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT WE ARE GOING IT TALK ABOUT. BUT, YOU KNOW, ON SET CLOCK OR EXCUSE ME, CLOCK SET, BECAUSE I'M NOT CLAIMING SET CLOCK FOR SURE, THAT'S REALLY, THAT'S IT, THAT'S WHAT THE EVIDENCE WOULD BE. I DON'T HAVE, YOU KNOW, ANY KIND OF MAGIC WITH RESPECT TO THAT. I'M JUST GIVING YOU WHAT IS GOING TO BE OUT THERE.

SO I MEAN, IF THAT'S SOMETHING, GIVEN ALL THAT, YOUR HONOR IS COMFORTABLE WITH, OKAY. IF IT'S NOT, IF YOU GIVE US SOME GUIDELINES IN TERMS OF WHAT YOU ARE COMFORTABLE WITH, WE ARE PERFECTLY HAPPY TO TRY TO DISCUSS WITH THE OTHER SIDE AND RESOLVE SOMETHING SO THAT YOU'RE COMFORTABLE WITH IT.

AND I MEAN, I'M NOT HIDING ANYTHING, YOU KNOW THE REASON WHY.

THE COURT: SURE.

MR. NELSON: SO -- ALL RIGHT. SO THAT'S THE CLOCK 1 01:55PM 2 SET. 01:55PM SO THEN LET ME TALK ABOUT SHOW INVENTORY A BIT, BECAUSE 3 01:55PM THAT'S ANOTHER ONE. AND THIS ONE IS A LITTLE BIT OF A 01:55PM 4 DIFFERENT REASON. 01:55PM SO IF I GO TO SLIDE 43, OKAY, THIS IS SOME TESTIMONY FROM 01:55PM 6 01:55PM 7 MR. REMAKER WHO HE WAS ON THE PARSER POLICE COMMITTEE, FOR LACK OF A BETTER TERM, PARSER POLICE FORCE, MAYBE THAT'S A GOOD 01:55PM 8 01:55PM 9 TERM. 01:55PM 10 SO HE SAYS HERE, THIS IS IN HIS DEPOSITION TALKING ABOUT THE SHOW INVENTORY. WHAT IS THE FUNCTIONALITY, WHAT FUNCTION 01:55PM 11 01:55PM 12 IS PERFORMED BY THE SHOW INVENTORY COMMAND? THE SHOW INVENTORY 01:55PM 13 COMMAND PROVIDES A LIST OF ALL THE HARDWARE ITEMS IN A SPECIFIC 01:55PM 14 CISCO DEVICE, INCLUDING THEIR PART NUMBER, VERSION NUMBER, 01:55PM 15 SERIAL NUMBER AND NAME, TO THE EXTENT THAT THAT INFORMATION IS 01:55PM 16 AVAILABLE. 17 OKAY. SO THAT'S THE FUNCTIONALITY. IF I GO TO THE NEXT 01:55PM 18 SLIDE, NOW, HERE, AND I DON'T WANT TO READ ALL OF THIS BECAUSE 01:56PM 01:56PM 19 THAT SLOWS US DOWN, BUT BASICALLY WHAT HE WAS ASKED IS WHAT ARE 20 SOME OF THE OTHER OPTIONS? 01:56PM AND HE LISTS, YOU KNOW, 6 OR 8 OTHER OPTIONS THAT WOULD 21 01:56PM 01:56PM 22 STILL BE CONSISTENT WITH THE HIERARCHY THAT CISCO HAD IN PLACE, 01:56PM 23 MEANING THIS IS GOING TO BE PART OF THE SHOW HIERARCHY BECAUSE 01:56PM 24 THAT'S WHAT WE THINK IT SHOULD BE. SO THAT WAS -- THAT HAD 01:56PM 25 BEEN RESOLVED.

01:56PM	1	BUT EVEN WITHIN THAT, HERE'S A WHOLE BUNCH OF DIFFERENT
01:56PM	2	CHOICES THAT IT COULD HAVE HAD. AND THEN THERE'S SOME
01:56PM	3	ADDITIONAL TESTIMONY ABOUT WHY YOU SETTLED ON THAT ONE.
01:56PM	4	AND NOW IT TURNS OUT, IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE, THERE
01:56PM	5	ACTUALLY IS SOME INDUSTRY STANDARD DOCUMENTATION WITH RESPECT
01:56PM	6	TO THIS.
01:56PM	7	AND THAT TYPE OF THE DESCRIPTION THAT I READ OF WHAT IT IS,
01:57PM	8	THE INFORMATION IT'S TRYING TO GIVE YOU, THAT'S ACTUALLY IN THE
01:57PM	9	INDUSTRY REFERRED TO AS MODULE IDENTITY, RIGHT.
01:57PM	10	SO THE MORE NATURAL WAY WOULD BE TO SHOW MODULE OR SHOW
01:57PM	11	IDENTITY. AND IN FACT, THAT'S WHAT OTHER PEOPLE DO, YOU KNOW,
01:57PM	12	THOSE KINDS OF COMMANDS. CISCO CHOSE SHOW INVENTORY.
01:57PM	13	AND SO IT ACTUALLY IS A COUNTER INTUITIVE, IT'S SOMETHING
01:57PM	14	THAT IS A UNIQUE THING TO CISCO AND WOULD ACTUALLY BE COUNTER
01:57PM	15	TO IF YOU WERE JUST TRYING TO STICK WITH PURELY INDUSTRY
01:57PM	16	STANDARD TERMINOLOGY WHERE YOU DIDN'T HAVE, YOU KNOW, OPTIONS
01:57PM	17	AND THINGS LIKE THAT, YOU WOULD HAVE PICKED SOMETHING
01:57PM	18	DIFFERENT.
01:57PM	19	SO THERE CERTAINLY ARE EXAMPLES OF THAT AS WELL IN HERE. I
01:57PM	20	DON'T THINK I NEED TO GO THROUGH TOO MUCH MORE, THERE'S JUST
01:57PM	21	ADDITIONAL TESTIMONY AND SOME DOCUMENTATION ON THAT.
01:58PM	22	SO LET ME TALK ABOUT THE MODES AND PROMPTS THEN
01:58PM	23	THE COURT: OKAY.
01:58PM	24	MR. NELSON: BECAUSE I THINK YOU HAD SOME CONCERNS
01:58PM	25	ABOUT THAT.

01:58PM	1	THE COURT: AND PART OF IT MAY REALLY NOT BEING ABLE
01:58PM	2	TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THE MODES AND PROMPTS ARE.
01:58PM	3	MR. NELSON: SO I DON'T THINK I NEED TO SPEND A LOT
01:58PM	4	OF TIME ON OUTPUTS.
01:58PM	5	AND THE HELP DESCRIPTIONS, A LOT OF IT IS THE SAME ISSUES
01:58PM	6	THAT WERE THAT I ALREADY COVERED. SO I DON'T NEED TO REPEAT
01:58PM	7	ALL OF THAT.
01:58PM	8	THE COURT: I DON'T THINK YOU DO.
01:58PM	9	MR. NELSON: SO IF WE LOOK AT SLIDE 28, YOU KNOW,
01:58PM	10	THESE SCREEN OUTPUTS THAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT ARE PRETTY
01:58PM	11	EXPANSIVE, THERE'S A LOT OF STUFF, WHAT INFORMATION YOU CHOOSE
01:58PM	12	TO PUT IN THERE, HOW YOU CHOOSE TO STRUCTURE IT, SET IT UP SO
01:58PM	13	IT MAKES SENSE TO ONE OF THESE ENGINEERS.
01:58PM	14	SO I'M NOT REALLY SURE THERE'S A WHOLE BUNCH OF DISPUTE
01:58PM	15	ABOUT THAT BECAUSE WE ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT SINGLE WORDS OR
01:58PM	16	THINGS LIKE THAT.
01:58PM	17	THE COURT: OKAY.
01:59PM	18	MR. NELSON: SO LET ME THEN GO TO SLIDE 30, AND THE
01:59PM	19	MODES AND PROMPTS AND EXPLAIN A LITTLE BIT WHAT WE ARE
01:59PM	20	CLAIMING.
01:59PM	21	AND I'M PROBABLY THE BEST PERSON TO DO THIS BECAUSE I
01:59PM	22	DIDN'T UNDERSTAND AND IT HAD TO BE EXPLAINED TO ME A FEW TIMES.
01:59PM	23	BECAUSE YOU WILL NOTICE, I FORGET EXACTLY THE LANGUAGE, BUT
01:59PM	24	THE DESCRIPTION THAT WE HAD GIVEN IS WE ARE NOT CLAIMING AN
01:59PM	25	INDIVIDUAL MODE STANDING BY ITSELF, RIGHT, SO NOT USER EXEC,

01:59PM	1
01:59PM	2
01:59PM	3
01:59PM	4
01:59PM	5
01:59PM	6
01:59PM	7
01:59PM	8
01:59PM	9
02:00PM	10
02:00PM	11
02:00PM	12
02:00PM	13
02:00PM	14
02:00PM	15
02:00PM	16
02:00PM	17
02:00PM	18
02:00PM	19
02:00PM	20
02:00PM	21
02:00PM	22
02:00PM	23
02:00PM	24
02:00PM	25

PRIVILEGE EXEC, GLOBAL CONFIG, INTERFACE CONFIGURATION, NOT THOSE MODES STANDING BY THEMSELVES AND THE ASSOCIATED PROMPTS WITH THOSE PARTICULAR MODES, ALTHOUGH THERE ARE TWO OF THESE THAT ARE, GLOBAL CONFIGURATION AND INTERFACE CONFIGURATION THAT ARE ORIGINAL TO CISCO BASED UPON THE EVIDENCE IN THE CASE.

WHAT WE SAY IS IT'S THE SPECIFIC RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THOSE MODES. IN OTHER WORDS, HOW THOSE MODES INTERRELATE IN THE USER INTERFACE.

AND THIS DOCUMENT I THOUGHT WAS PRETTY GOOD TO EXPLAIN THAT, BECAUSE IF WE SEE HERE, YOU GOT USER EXEC MODE, AND I THINK OF THAT AS BEING KIND OF A TOP LEVEL MODE THAT YOU ENTER INTO.

SO YOU LOG IN, AND IT GIVES A PARTICULAR PROMPT. SO YOU ARE NOW IN USER EXEC MODE. WELL, HOW DO YOU GET TO PRIVILEGE EXEC MODE, RIGHT? WELL, PRIVILEGE EXEC MODE, IT'S FROM USER EXEC MODE, YOU ISSUE THE ENABLE COMMAND. SO THAT'S HOW YOU GET THERE. YOU WOULD BE IN THE ONE, IN EXEC MODE, YOU GET THERE FIRST AND THEN YOU GET TO THE PRIVILEGE EXEC MODE.

AND THAT GIVES YOU A DIFFERENT PROMPT THAT TELLS YOU THERE.

NOW HOW DO YOU GET TO GLOBAL CONFIG THEN. WELL FROM PRIVILEGE EXEC MODE YOU ISSUE THE CONFIGURE TERMINAL COMMAND. SO AGAIN THERE'S A RELATIONSHIP, YOU GO FROM ONE TO THE NEXT TO THE NEXT IN A PARTICULAR WAY.

AND THEN FINALLY AN INTERFACE CONFIG, YOU DO THAT AS WELL FROM THE GLOBAL CONFIG MODE, THE HISTORY OF THE INTERFACE

02:01PM	1	COMMAND AND YOU GO THERE.
02:01PM	2	AND WHAT WE ARE SAYING IS THEY HAVE THOSE MODES WHICH
02:01PM	3	FRANKLY ARE THE FOUR MAIN MODES, THE FOUR MOST IMPORTANT MODES
02:01PM	4	IN THE CLI, AND THEY HAVE EXACTLY THE SAME RELATIONSHIP. YOU
02:01PM	5	GO FROM ONE TO THE OTHER IN THE SAME PARTICULAR WAY.
02:01PM	6	SO THAT'S
02:01PM	7	THE COURT: I GUESS I'M NOT WITH YOU ON THAT.
02:01PM	8	SO IF YOU ARE IN USER EXEC AND YOU GET, I'M NOT
02:01PM	9	UNDERSTANDING HOW IT WORKS, I'M SORRY.
02:01PM	10	MR. NELSON: YEAH. SO YOU HAVE TO GO TO USER EXEC
02:01PM	11	FIRST, RIGHT. I WILL TELL YOU APPLY UNDERSTANDING
02:01PM	12	THE COURT: I MEAN, DO YOU PHYSICALLY TYPE THAT IN,
02:01PM	13	USER EXEC?
02:01PM	14	MR. NELSON: NO, YOU LOG IN. YOU LOG INTO THE
02:01PM	15	SWITCH. AND THAT PUTS YOU IN USER EXEC MODE.
02:01PM	16	THE COURT: THEN YOU ARE THERE.
02:01PM	17	MR. NELSON: THEN YOU ARE THERE. AND YOU WILL GET
02:01PM	18	THIS ROUTER WITH THE CARROT SIGN. YOU WILL GET THAT PROMPT.
02:01PM	19	AND THAT TELLS YOU HEY, YOU ARE IN USER EXEC MODE.
02:01PM	20	THE COURT: OKAY.
02:01PM	21	MR. NELSON: NOW ONCE YOU ARE THERE, YOU CAN ISSUE A
02:01PM	22	COMMAND. YOU CAN ISSUE OTHER COMMANDS TOO, RIGHT, BECAUSE
02:01PM	23	THERE'S CERTAIN COMMANDS THAT ARE AVAILABLE, BUT IF YOU WANT TO
02:02PM	24	GO TO PRIVILEGE EXEC MODE, YOU ARE IN THAT.
02:02PM	25	SO YOU HAVE THAT CARROT, THE ROUTER PROMPT OR THE CARROT

SIGN, AND YOU ISSUE THE ENABLE COMMAND. YOU SAY ENABLE. SO 1 02:02PM YOU TYPE THAT IN. AND THAT WILL PUT YOU INTO PRIVILEGE EXEC 2 02:02PM 3 MODE. 02:02PM SO NOW YOU ARE IN PRIVILEGE EXEC MODE. HOW DO YOU KNOW YOU 02:02PM 4 ARE IN PRIVILEGE EXEC MODE? BECAUSE NOW YOU WILL GET THE POUND 02:02PM 02:02PM 6 SIGN. 02:02PM 7 THE COURT: OKAY. MR. NELSON: NOW THEN, AND THERE'S CERTAIN OTHER 02:02PM 8 02:02PM 9 THINGS YOU CAN DO, RIGHT, IN PRIVILEGE EXEC MODE, IT'S A 02:02PM 10 DIFFERENT MODE OF OPERATION. THE COURT: SO THAT'S YOUR LAST COLUMN THERE, THAT'S 02:02PM 11 02:02PM 12 WHAT YOU CAN DO IN THAT PRIVILEGE EXEC MODE, THOSE ARE THE 02:02PM 13 THINGS YOU CAN DO? 02:02PM 14 MR. NELSON: YEAH, THAT'S TELLING YOU THE TYPES OF 02:02PM 15 FUNCTIONS AND ACCESS THAT YOU HAVE IN THAT PARTICULAR MODE. SO THEN WHEN YOU'RE IN PRIVILEGE EXEC MODE AND YOU HAVE 02:02PM 16 17 THAT POUND SIGN, YOU KNOW, PROMPT, YOU CAN TYPE IN CONFIGURE 02:02PM 18 TERMINAL THERE AND THAT WILL PUT YOU INTO WHAT'S CALLED GLOBAL 02:03PM 02:03PM 19 CONFIGURATION MODE. AND THAT GIVES YOU THIS OTHER PROMPT, THE 02:03PM 20 ROUTER CONFIG AND THEN THE POUND SIGN. SO IT GIVES YOU A 02:03PM 21 DIFFERENT PROMPT TO TELL YOU THAT THAT'S WHERE YOU ARE. 02:03PM 22 AND THEN FROM THAT MODE, ONCE YOU ARE IN THERE AND YOU HAVE 02:03PM 23 THAT PROMPT, IF YOU WANT TO GO TO INTERFACE CONFIGURATION YOU 02:03PM 24 ISSUE THE INTERFACE COMMAND AND THEN YOU GET THE OTHER PROMPT 02:03PM 25 TO GO IN THERE.

02:03PM	1	SO WHAT WE ARE SAYING IS, WHAT'S THAT THEY HAVE IS THOSE
02:03PM	2	SAME MODES OF OPERATION, BUT WE ARE NOT SAYING, HEY, IF ALL YOU
02:03PM	3	HAD WAS USER EXEC, THEN PRIVILEGE EXEC MODE AND GLOBAL CONFIG
02:03PM	4	AND INTERFACE CONFIG STANDING ALONE AND YOU DIDN'T HAVE THE
02:03PM	5	INTERRELATIONSHIP, WE WOULDN'T BE CLAIMING THAT.
02:03PM	6	THEY HAVE THE SAME INTERRELATIONSHIP, THE SAME FLOW THROUGH
02:03PM	7	THE MODES. IN OTHER WORDS, YOU GET INTO USER EXEC MODE, YOU
02:03PM	8	GET THE SAME PROMPT. YOU GET INTO PRIVILEGE EXEC MODE, THE
02:03PM	9	SAME WAY, FROM PRIVILEGE EXEC MODE INTO GLOBAL CONFIG MODE YOU
02:04PM	10	GET THERE THE SAME WAY.
02:04PM	11	THE COURT: NOT EVERYONE HAS ACCESS, THESE ARE
02:04PM	12	RESTRICTED MODES.
02:04PM	13	MR. NELSON: THEY MAY VERY WELL BE.
02:04PM	14	MR. PAK: YES, YOUR HONOR. SOME OF THE MODES REQUIRE
02:04PM	15	PASSWORD PROTECTION.
02:04PM	16	MR. NELSON: RIGHT.
02:04PM	17	THE COURT: BUT YOU HAVE TO GO THROUGH, YOU HAVE TO
02:04PM	18	START AT USER EXEC AND WORK YOUR WAY THROUGH EACH OF THESE
02:04PM	19	MR. NELSON: THAT'S CORRECT.
02:04PM	20	THE COURT: SO THIS IS REALLY A PATH THROUGH. YOU
02:04PM	21	CAN'T GO DIRECTLY INTO INTERFACE CONFIGURATION.
02:04PM	22	MR. NELSON: YEAH, THAT'S RIGHT.
02:04PM	23	AND THAT IS THAT'S THE SAME WAY THAT THEY CHOSE TO SET
02:04PM	24	THEIRS UP, WHICH IS WHAT WE ARE SAYING, IS THAT ELEMENT IS
02:04PM	25	PROTECTABLE AND MAKES IT LOOK MORE LIKE, MAKES IT LOOK LIKE THE

02:04PM	1	CISCO CLI.
02:04PM	2	SO THAT'S WHAT WE ARE CLAIMING WITH RESPECT TO MODES AND
02:04PM	3	PROMPTS.
02:04PM	4	I MEAN, AGAIN, IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THEIR
02:04PM	5	THE COURT: SO IT'S THIS PARTICULAR PATH.
02:04PM	6	MR. NELSON: YEAH, THE PARTICULAR RELATIONSHIP
02:04PM	7	BETWEEN THE MODES AND PROMPTS, YOU KNOW, THAT THEY USE THAT
02:04PM	8	EXACTLY THE SAME COMBINATION.
02:05PM	9	THE COURT: SO THE PROMPT OBVIOUSLY COULD BE ANYTHING
02:05PM	10	UNLESS THESE DON'T LOOK FUNCTIONAL TO ME BUT THEY COULD BE
02:05PM	11	INDUSTRY STANDARD, THAT I DON'T KNOW. I WILL HEAR ABOUT THAT
02:05PM	12	IN A MINUTE. THESE ARE COMMON SYMBOLS, BUT I DON'T KNOW WHAT
02:05PM	13	THEY MEAN IN THE INDUSTRY.
02:05PM	14	MR. NELSON: RIGHT. EXACTLY.
02:05PM	15	SO WE ARE NOT SAYING THAT ANY OF THOSE THINGS STANDING
02:05PM	16	ALONE, RIGHT, IT IS, AS YOUR HONOR SAID, THAT
02:05PM	17	INTERRELATIONSHIP, THE PATH WHICH WOULD INCLUDE THE PATH
02:05PM	18	THROUGH THERE.
02:05PM	19	THE COURT: SO IT'S THE IDENTICAL MODE AND PROMPT FOR
02:05PM	20	EACH OF YOUR FOUR VERSIONS THAT ARE YOUR WORKS.
02:05PM	21	MR. NELSON: YEAH, EXACTLY.
02:05PM	22	THE COURT: OKAY.
02:05PM	23	MR. NELSON: AND AGAIN, WE HAVE COPYING OF THAT,
02:05PM	24	THAT'S WHAT THE EVIDENCE IS GOING TO BE, THAT THEY SAT DOWN TO
02:05PM	25	DO THAT.

1 02:05PM 2 02:05PM 3 02:05PM 02:05PM 02:05PM 02:05PM 02:06PM 8 02:06PM 9 02:06PM 02:06PM 10 02:06PM 11 02:06PM 12 02:06PM 13 02:06PM 14 02:06PM 15 16 02:06PM 17 02:06PM 18 02:06PM 02:06PM 19 20 02:06PM 21 02:06PM 02:06PM 22 02:06PM 23 24 02:06PM 02:06PM 25

SO WE ARE NOT TRYING TO EXPAND THE PROTECTION, WHICH IS KIND OF WHAT THEY INTIMATED IN THE BRIEF, SAYING OH, WELL OTHER PEOPLE GOT USER EXEC MODE.

THAT'S NOT WHAT WE ARE SAYING. WE ARE NOT SAYING HEY IF YOU JUST HAD USER EXEC MODE AND THAT WAS IT, THAT WOULD BE SOMETHING WE COULD ARGUE WAS COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT, AN ELEMENT OF IT THAT I COULD PROVE.

AND THE SAME WITH PRIVILEGE EXEC MODE, AND WE PROBABLY COULD HAVE WITH GLOBAL CONFIG AND INTERFACE CONFIG, BUT WE DIDN'T CHOOSE TO DO THAT.

MR. PAK: THE LAST TWO MODES IN THE RED BOX, WE CALLED THEM CISCO ORIGINALS. JUST TO CLARIFY FOR YOUR HONOR THAT THOSE ARE MODES WE CREATED AND NO ONE ELSE HAD.

SO THIS ISN'T SIMPLY AN ISSUE OF TAKING EXISTING MODES THAT PREDATED US, THESE ARE MODES THAT MR. LOUGHEED CREATED ON HIS OWN, DOCTOR BLACK AND OTHERS FROM ARISTA HAVE NOT FOUND ANY OTHER SYSTEM THAT HAD THIS PARTICULAR GLOBAL CONFIGURATION AND INTERFACE CONFIGURATION MODE.

BUT JUST TO BE ABSOLUTELY CLEAR ON THE PROTECTABILITY FINDING, WE ARE NOT CLAIMING ORIGINALITY JUST IN A SEPARATE RULE, WE ARE SAYING WHEN YOU LOOK AT THIS COLLECTION OF THINGS, THESE FOUR MODES AND PROMPTS, AS MR. NELSON TALKED ABOUT, HOW YOU SEQUENCE THROUGH THEM AND HOW THEY WORK TOGETHER AS A GROUP, NO ONE HAD THAT BEFORE WE DID. AND ARISTA COPIED IT BECAUSE THEY WANTED TO REPLICATE THE EXPERIENCE, AND THAT'S THE

02:07PM	1	BASIS FOR THE COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT CLAIM.
02:07PM	2	THE COURT: SO I MEAN, YOU REALLY ARE ONLY ALLEGING
02:07PM	3	THAT IT'S COPIED IF IT'S COPIED EXACTLY.
02:07PM	4	MR. PAK: CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.
02:07PM	5	THE FOUR SEQUENCES, THE FOUR MODES AND PROMPTS WITH THOSE
02:07PM	6	SPECIFIC PROMPTS.
02:07PM	7	AND THEN WE ARE NOT SAYING, JUST BECAUSE I MENTIONED IT, I
02:07PM	8	DIDN'T HAVE A CHANCE TO HAVE MR. NELSON INCORPORATE THIS INTO
02:07PM	9	HIS PRESENTATION, BUT IF WE COULD PUT UP, THIS IS IN
02:07PM	10	DR. ALMEROTH'S OPENING REPORT WHICH IS ONE OF THE DOCUMENTS,
02:07PM	11	THE LONG LIST OF DOCUMENTS THAT WERE FILED WITH YOUR HONOR, BUT
02:07PM	12	JUST TO ILLUSTRATE THE POINT THAT THE HIERARCHY EXISTS IN THE
02:07PM	13	USER INTERFACE, YOUR HONOR, SO AS I WAS MENTIONING, IF YOU
02:07PM	14	LOOK IF YOU BLOW UP THE TOP MR. FISHER.
02:07PM	15	SO YOU WILL SEE THAT THE SWITCH, THE CARROT SIGN, THEN THAT
02:07PM	16	USER EXEC MODE THAT MR. NELSON WAS TALKING ABOUT, I REMEMBER
02:07PM	17	THE "SHOW HIERARCHY" STARTING WITH THE WORD SHOW, BUT I DON'T
02:07PM	18	KNOW THE REST OF THE COMMANDS IN THE REST OF THE HIERARCHY.
02:07PM	19	SO WHAT'S INTERESTING ABOUT THE CISCO CLI IS THAT YOU CAN
02:08PM	20	TYPE IN A QUESTION MARK AND TELL THE SYSTEM, I REMEMBER THERE'S
02:08PM	21	A HIERARCHY, I REMEMBER THE SEARCH WORD SHOW, I DON'T REMEMBER
02:08PM	22	THE REST OF THE ELEMENTS.
02:08PM	23	THE COURT: SO THEN IT SHOWS ME MY CHOICES IN SHOW.
02:08PM	24	MR. PAK: I SEE SHOW, QUESTION MARK, SHOW ARP, SHOW
02:08PM	25	CLARK, SHOW DIAGNOSTIC, DOT1Q-TUNNEL. THE ONES HIGHLIGHTED IN

1 02:08PM 2 02:08PM 3 02:08PM 02:08PM 4 02:08PM 02:08PM 02:08PM 8 02:08PM 9 02:08PM 10 02:08PM 02:08PM 11 02:08PM 12 13 02:09PM 14 02:09PM 02:09PM 15 16 02:09PM 17 02:09PM 18 02:09PM 02:09PM 19 20 02:09PM 21 02:09PM 02:09PM 22 02:09PM 23 24 02:09PM

25

02:09PM

YELLOW ARE THE ONES THAT ARISTA HAS COPIED FROM THIS HIERARCHY.

BUT THE HIERARCHY IS A LONGER SET, OBVIOUSLY, OF THINGS HERE.

SO WHAT WE ARE SHOWING HERE IS THAT THE HIERARCHY EXISTS IN THE USER INTERFACE IN THE SENSE BOTH HOW THE USER THINKS ABOUT THE COMMANDS AND HOW THEY ARE ORGANIZED BUT ALSO DIRECTLY ON HOW THIS GETS BACK TO THE USER IN TERMS OF THESE HELP DESCRIPTIONS.

AND SO WHAT'S INTERESTING IS WE BELIEVE THAT THEY COPIED THE HIERARCHY BECAUSE THEY HAVE THIS PARTICULAR ORGANIZATION GROUPINGS, WE ALSO BELIEVE THE HELP DESCRIPTIONS THAT WE HAVE BEEN FIGHTING SO MUCH ABOUT IN THIS CASE YOUR HONOR, THOSE ARE THE HELP DESCRIPTIONS THAT YOU GET NEXT TO THESE COMMAND NAMES, SO YOU CAN SEE HOW ARP HAS AN ARP TABLE, CLOCK HAS DISPLAYS AS SYSTEM CLOCK.

SO THOSE ARE SOME OF THE HELP DESCRIPTIONS THAT ARISTA ALSO COPIED FROM OUR SYSTEM. SO THAT'S THE REASON WHY ALL THESE ELEMENTS WORK TOGETHER, BUT THE HIERARCHY DOES EXIST, YOUR HONOR, IN THE USER INTERFACE, THE HIERARCHY IS REFLECTED IN THE COMMAND ORGANIZATION, WHICH AS MR. NELSON TALKED ABOUT, WE ARE NOT CLAIMING THE IDEA OF TRYING TO ORGANIZE THIS INTO A HIERARCHY, WE ARE CLAIMING THE SPECIFIC SEQUENCE STRUCTURE AND ORGANIZATION WHICH UNDER JOHNSON CONTROLS AND ALL THE OTHER CASES WE ARE TALKING ABOUT, ABSOLUTELY PROTECTABLE UNDER COPYRIGHT LAW.

SO WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THE SEQUENCE STRUCTURE AND

02:09PM	1	ORGANIZATION OF THESE COMMANDS INTO A HIERARCHY, IT'S PRESENT
02:09PM	2	IN THE USER INTERFACE, YOU CAN ACTUALLY SEE IT BY TYPING SOME
02:09PM	3	OF THESE QUESTION MARK COMMANDS, AND THEN IT WILL RESPOND BACK
02:09PM	4	WITH A VISUAL REPRESENTATION OF THE HIERARCHY.
02:09PM	5	THE COURT: SO IN THE HELP DESCRIPTIONS FOR ANY OF
02:10PM	6	THESE INITIAL WORDS IN THE COMMAND LINE, IF I USE THAT WORD AND
02:10PM	7	A QUESTION MARK, I WILL HAVE REVEALED TO ME THE HIERARCHY IT
02:10PM	8	COMES FROM.
02:10PM	9	MR. PAK: THAT'S RIGHT. ABSOLUTELY.
02:10PM	10	THE COURT: AND IF IT DOESN'T COME YOU SAID SOME
02:10PM	11	DON'T FROM IF HIERARCHIES.
02:10PM	12	MR. PAK: RIGHT. SOME IT MAY BE ONE-OFF WORDS OR
02:10PM	13	COMMANDS WHICH DON'T HAVE HIERARCHIES ASSOCIATED WITH THEM.
02:10PM	14	THE COURT: BUT IF LET ME JUST ASK YOU THAT.
02:10PM	15	LET'S SAY WE HAD ONE THAT IS NOT PART OF HIERARCHY, IT'S
02:10PM	16	JUST A DISCREET COMMAND LINE. IF I PUT THE FIRST WORD, AND
02:10PM	17	IT'S A UNIQUE FIRST WORD, WILL THE HELP DESCRIPTIONS GIVE ME
02:10PM	18	THAT ONE?
02:10PM	19	MR. PAK: EXACTLY. IT WILL JUST SHOW YOU THERE'S
02:10PM	20	ONLY ONE COMMAND THAT'S POSSIBLE AND THEY WILL TELL YOU THE
02:10PM	21	HELP DESCRIPTIONS FUNCTION.
02:10PM	22	MR. NELSON: RIGHT.
02:10PM	23	BECAUSE THE WAY THE ONCE YOU GET DOWN TO THE PARTICULAR
02:10PM	24	COMMAND, WHEN YOU HAVE A UNIQUE COMMAND, IT MAY TELL YOU
02:10PM	25	SOMETHING ABOUT THAT PARTICULAR COMMAND AS WELL.

02:10PM	1	SO YOU DON'T ALWAYS JUST NECESSARILY GET A LIST OF THE NEXT
02:10PM	2	LEVEL. BUT YEAH, SO IT'S I MEAN, HOPEFULLY THE AFTERNOON
02:11PM	3	WAS A LITTLE BIT MORE HELPFUL THAN THE MORNING, YOUR HONOR.
02:11PM	4	THE COURT: WELL, I'M JUST TRYING TO GET MYSELF
02:11PM	5	ORIENTED BECAUSE WE SO LET ME JUST GO ON A LITTLE BIT.
02:11PM	6	I THINK THAT YOU ARE RIGHT THAT THE COMMAND RESPONSES ARE
02:11PM	7	REALLY THE SAME ISSUES PERVADE THEM AS THE COMMAND LINES
02:11PM	8	THEMSELVES. AND THE HELP DESCRIPTIONS ARE LARGELY THE SAME
02:11PM	9	ISSUES.
02:11PM	10	MR. NELSON: I THINK THAT'S RIGHT. IT'S THE SAME
02:11PM	11	CHALLENGES.
02:11PM	12	I SHOWED YOU ONE OF THE COMMAND OUTPUTS BECAUSE I REALLY DO
02:11PM	13	THINK THOSE ARE SO DIFFERENT IN KIND.
02:11PM	14	THE COURT: SO LET ME THEN JUST ASK YOU ABOUT THE
02:11PM	15	LAST CATEGORY WHICH COMES FROM THE DOCUMENTATION WHICH I WILL
02:11PM	16	CALL THE MANUALS.
02:11PM	17	AND JUST SO THAT I CAN BE CLEAR ON THE DEFINITION OF THE
02:11PM	18	WORKS, EACH MANUAL IS IT'S OWN WORK.
02:11PM	19	MR. NELSON: YEAH, THAT IS CORRECT.
02:11PM	20	THE COURT: OKAY.
02:11PM	21	AND IN YOUR WHEN YOU'VE SHOWN ME THE TECHNICAL
02:12PM	22	DOCUMENTATION, YOU DIDN'T NECESSARILY SHOW IT TO ME IN ORDER OF
02:12PM	23	THE WORK IT COMES FROM, WHICH IS FINE, I MEAN, IT DOESN'T
02:12PM	24	MATTER, YOU CAN REORGANIZE IT ANY WAY YOU WANT, THAT'S REALLY
02:12PM	25	NOT THE ISSUE.

02:12PM	1	BUT YOU'VE GIVEN ME A SERIES OF BOXES, AND SOME OF THEM,
02:12PM	2	AND YOU'VE HIGHLIGHTED IN RED PORTIONS THAT YOU ARE CLAIMING
02:12PM	3	HAVE BEEN COPIED, CORRECT?
02:12PM	4	MR. NELSON: CORRECT.
02:12PM	5	THE COURT: AND SO, I MEAN, FOR EXAMPLE, I'M LOOKING
02:12PM	6	AT PAGE 111, AND YOUR FIRST IS THE SHOW VRRP, AND ANOTHER BOX
02:12PM	7	THAT IS HIGHLIGHTED, THAT'S FROM THE IOS IP APPLICATION
02:12PM	8	SERVICES COMMAND REFERENCE 2011 AT PAGE 76.
02:12PM	9	THE NEXT ONE IS FROM A DIFFERENT IT'S FROM A MULTI TASK
02:13PM	10	COMMAND REFERENCE. THAT'S A DIFFERENT WORK, ISN'T IT?
02:13PM	11	MR. NELSON: YEAH. THE CITATION, SO WE CAN IF IT
02:13PM	12	WOULD BE MORE HELPFUL FOR YOUR HONOR.
02:13PM	13	THE COURT: NO, NO, IT'S NOT. AS LONG AS I
02:13PM	14	UNDERSTAND IT, I DON'T NEED IT REARRANGED.
02:13PM	15	MR. NELSON: OKAY.
02:13PM	16	THE COURT: BUT ONE WOULD ULTIMATELY EXTRACT ALL THE
02:13PM	17	THINGS FROM A PARTICULAR MANUAL WHICH IS A WORK.
02:13PM	18	MR. PAK: RIGHT, YOUR HONOR.
02:13PM	19	THE COURT: AND IT LOOKS TO ME AS THOUGH FOR THE
02:13PM	20	SECOND ENTRY, SINCE IT'S FROM PAGE 293, THAT'S A PRETTY BIG
02:13PM	21	MANUAL.
02:13PM	22	MR. NELSON: YEAH, THE MANUAL
02:13PM	23	THE COURT: AND IF YOU HAVE FOUR LINES THAT WERE
02:13PM	24	COPIED FROM ALMOST 300 PAGE MANUAL, THAT'S WHAT IT IS. IT IS
02:13PM	25	WHAT IT IS, RIGHT.

02:13PM	1	MR. VAN NEST: IT IS WHAT IT IS.
02:13PM	2	MR. NELSON: IT IS WHAT IT IS.
02:13PM	3	THE COURT: AND THEN THE JURY WILL DETERMINE WHETHER
02:13PM	4	THAT'S THE HEART AND SOUL OF THE MANUAL OR WHETHER IT'S JUST
02:13PM	5	I MEAN, IS THAT REALLY AND THERE MAY BE MORE FROM THAT ONE.
02:13PM	6	IT'S JUST THAT FOR ALL OF THESE I HAVE NO ORIENTATION ABOUT THE
02:13PM	7	WORK.
02:13PM	8	AND I UNDERSTAND IT'S NOT NECESSARY NOW. I MEAN, THE FACT
02:14PM	9	THAT SOME OF THESE PHRASES COME OUT OF THE WORK, I MEAN, I
02:14PM	10	DON'T KNOW WHAT THE MAJOR ISSUE IS ON THESE. I MEAN, YOU SAY
02:14PM	11	THEY ARE COPIED, AND IT LOOKS AS THOUGH THESE ARE DESCRIPTIONS
02:14PM	12	IN MANUALS AND MANUALS ARE TYPICALLY COPYRIGHTED AND PROTECTED.
02:14PM	13	MR. PAK: AND YOUR HONOR, I DON'T REALLY THINK, AT
02:14PM	14	THE END OF THE DAY AT TRIAL, THAT THERE WOULD BE A REALLY
02:14PM	15	SUBSTANTIVE DISPUTE AS TO COPYRIGHTABILITY AND THE COPYING.
02:14PM	16	THE COURT: RIGHT. THIS IS NOT WHAT'S DRIVING THE
02:14PM	17	CASE.
02:14PM	18	MR. PAK: THERE ARE CEO ADMITTED IT WAS WRONG TO DO
02:14PM	19	IT, THEIR CEO SAID THEY TOOK OUT THESE PORTIONS FROM THE
02:14PM	20	DOCUMENT. WHAT THIS SHOWS THOUGH FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE THE
02:14PM	21	PERSUASIVENESS OF THE COPYING CONDUCT.
02:14PM	22	THE COURT: THAT'S FINE. AND I DIDN'T CONSIDER THIS
02:14PM	23	A PARTICULARLY HARD ONE.
02:14PM	24	THE MODES AND PROMPTS, I MEAN, IT'S YOU ARE REALLY, IT'S
02:14PM	25	A IT'S PRETTY STRAIGHTFORWARD WHAT YOU ARE ASKING TO

02:14PM	1	PROTECT.
02:14PM	2	MR. NELSON: CORRECT.
02:14PM	3	THE COURT: AND WITH ALL OF THESE, I THINK YOU HAVE
02:15PM	4	ESSENTIALLY CREATED THE ONLY ONE ANSWER THAT WOULD BE POSSIBLE
02:15PM	5	ON THE DEGREE OF PROTECTION BY THE WAY YOU'VE DESCRIBED EACH OF
02:15PM	6	THESE, IS THAT IT MATTERS THE ORDER AND SEQUENCE THAT THEY ARE
02:15PM	7	IN, CLOCK SET VERSUS SET CLOCK ARE TWO DIFFERENT THINGS.
02:15PM	8	MR. NELSON: WELL, RIGHT.
02:15PM	9	IT MATTERS FOR YEAH, FOR THE PURPOSE OF OUR CLAIM.
02:15PM	10	THE COURT: SO SUBSTANTIAL SIMILARITY IS JUST NOT
02:15PM	11	EVEN
02:15PM	12	MR. NELSON: NO.
02:15PM	13	THE COURT: POSSIBLE HERE.
02:15PM	14	MR. NELSON: I DON'T THINK THAT'S WHAT KIND OF CASE
02:15PM	15	IT IS BECAUSE IT'S JUST A COPYING CASE.
02:15PM	16	THE COURT: YEAH.
02:15PM	17	MR. NELSON: SO THE WAY I SEE IT IS THIS CASE DOESN'T
02:15PM	18	REALLY COME IN.
02:15PM	19	THE COURT: IT'S AN AREA OF DISAGREEMENT THAT IS
02:15PM	20	MAYBE NO LONGER ON THE TABLE AND THAT'S HELPFUL.
02:15PM	21	AND SO YOUR ARGUMENT TO ME, BEFORE I TURN IT OVER TO
02:15PM	22	MR. SILBERT, IS THAT YOU DON'T CONCEDE ANY RESTRICTION ON ANY
02:15PM	23	OF THESE FIVE BUILDING BLOCKS, THEY ARE ALL IN, AS YOU'VE
02:15PM	24	PRESENTED THEM, I MEAN, I WILL HEAR THE ARGUMENTS, I'M SURE
02:16PM	25	MR. SILBERT WILL RETURN TO THE ISSUE OF NO AUTHORS FOR 198

02:16PM	1	COMMAND LINES, AND YOU HAVEN'T ADDRESSED THAT AT ALL. YOU'VE
02:16PM	2	BEEN UP A LEVEL AT A PROCESS FOR THE CREATIVE OR THE CREATION
02:16PM	3	OF THESE COMMAND LINES.
02:16PM	4	MR. NELSON: RIGHT.
02:16PM	5	THE COURT: AND YOU HAVEN'T TALKED ABOUT AND SO I
02:16PM	6	GUESS IT BEGS THE QUESTION OF IF I ACCEPT THAT YOU HAVE THE
02:16PM	7	PROCESS, DID YOU USE IT FOR EACH ONE OF THESE?
02:16PM	8	AND I WILL HEAR ABOUT THAT. I MEAN, I DON'T KNOW THAT.
02:16PM	9	YOU'VE WORKED AT A LEVEL OF GENERALITY.
02:16PM	10	MR. PAK: WE HAVE ALL THE EVIDENCE, YOUR HONOR.
02:16PM	11	SO AT TRIAL, THAT'S EXACTLY THE EVIDENCE WE WILL PUT ON.
02:16PM	12	WE WILL HAVE MULTIPLE WITNESSES TALK ABOUT, WE WILL START WITH
02:16PM	13	MR. LOUGHEED WHO IS THE FIRST ORIGINATOR OF THESE COMMANDS AND
02:16PM	14	SET UP SOME OF THE INITIAL HIERARCHIES.
02:16PM	15	MR. REMAKER WILL TESTIFY, WHO WILL TALK ABOUT THE GENERAL
02:16PM	16	PROCESS THAT APPLIES TO ALL OF THESE COMMANDS. HE WAS OUR
02:16PM	17	CORPORATE WITNESS ON THIS TOPIC.
02:17PM	18	WE WILL TESTIFY WE COMPILED, AS YOUR HONOR REMEMBERED
02:17PM	19	THERE WAS LONG ROG RESPONSES. WE TRACKED DOWN EVERY SINGLE
02:17PM	20	COMMAND AUTHOR WITH EVERY COMMAND WE ARE ASSERTING WITH
02:17PM	21	PUBLICATION DATES, SOURCE CODE WITH THE COMMAND INFORMATION WAS
02:17PM	22	CHECKED IN. OPERATING SYSTEM.
02:17PM	23	WE THINK ALL OF THAT IS THERE, BUT THE ISSUE IS FROM THE
02:17PM	24	CDN PERSPECTIVE, AS MR. NELSON TALKED ABOUT, JUST LIKE IN THAT
02:17PM	25	CASE THE PLAINTIFF WAS NOT REQUIRED TO COME IN, PUT UP

02:17PM	1	INDIVIDUAL OFFERS AT EVERY SINGLE PRICE AND GO THROUGH WHAT
02:17PM	2	WERE THE INDIVIDUAL, YOU KNOW, DID YOU CONSIDER 1.49 BEFORE YOU
02:17PM	3	CAME IN AT A 1.50 FOR THIS. THERE WAS NO TESTIMONY THAT WAS
02:17PM	4	THERE.
02:17PM	5	THE COURT: OKAY.
02:17PM	6	SO MY UPON DETERMINATION IN ANALYTIC DISSECTION ON
02:17PM	7	ORIGINALITY, WHICH IS PART OF WHAT I NEED TO LOOK AT, AT WHAT
02:17PM	8	LEVEL IS THAT? IS THAT AT THE LEVEL OF THIS VERY I DON'T
02:17PM	9	ACTUALLY KNOW IF IT ALSO GOES TO THE JURY, WHAT PASS
02:17PM	10	ORIGINALITY GETS THROUGH ANALYTIC DISSECTION.
02:17PM	11	MR. PAK: I THINK RIGHT NOW, ALL WE ARE TALKING ABOUT
02:17PM	12	IS, AS WE TALKED ABOUT, WHAT EVIDENCE DO WE FILTER OUT FOR
02:18PM	13	PRESENTATION TO THE JURY. OUR POSITION IS NOW THAT WE HAVE
02:18PM	14	THE COURT: NO, NO, I UNDERSTAND THAT.
02:18PM	15	BUT IF I'M GOING TO DO SOME FILTERING BECAUSE IT'S
02:18PM	16	UNORIGINAL, I HAVEN'T HAD I MEAN, HOW CAN I DO THAT?
02:18PM	17	YOU'VE SHOWN ME THE CATEGORY OR YOUR BUILDING BLOCK, EACH
02:18PM	18	OF YOUR BUILDING BLOCKS HAD A PROCESS OF CREATION.
02:18PM	19	MR. PAK: RIGHT.
02:18PM	20	THE COURT: AND YOU THINK THAT'S ALL I NEED TO KNOW
02:18PM	21	AT THIS POINT.
02:18PM	22	MR. PAK: I THINK YOUR HONOR, I'M HAPPY AND I'M
02:18PM	23	PREPARED FOR THIS SECTION OF THE PRESENTATION IF YOUR HONOR
02:18PM	24	WANTS TO SEE IT.
02:18PM	25	i have just a sampling, but over 40 pages of documents and

02:18PM	1	TESTIMONY OR EVIDENCE ON EXACTLY THIS ISSUE OF, THIS WAS THE
02:18PM	2	PROCESS, THIS WAS USED FOR EVERY ONE OF OUR COMMANDS, HERE'S
02:18PM	3	THE POLICE MANIFESTO THAT WERE ALL GUIDELINES, THERE ARE
02:18PM	4	INCREDIBLE AMOUNTS OF DEBATE.
02:18PM	5	I HAVE TESTIMONY FROM MR. SWEENEY, MR. DUDA, MR. LOUGHEED,
02:18PM	6	ALL WHO WORKED AT CISCO. THEY WERE AUTHORS, SOME OF THEM WERE
02:18PM	7	AUTHORS OF THESE COMMANDS. THEY TESTIFIED UNDER OATH THAT THIS
02:18PM	8	WAS A SUBJECTIVE PROCESS, THAT PEOPLE HAD THE ABILITY TO PICK
02:19PM	9	WHATEVER THEY WANTED. OF COURSE THERE WERE SOME GUIDELINES
02:19PM	10	THAT WERE GIVEN, BUT THEY WERE JUST GUIDELINES.
02:19PM	11	WE HAVE ALL OF THAT, EVERYTHING THAT WE ARE PRESENTING TO
02:19PM	12	YOUR HONOR AND EVERYTHING THAT WE WILL PRESENT TO THE JURY WILL
02:19PM	13	BE COVERED BY THE PROCESS WE ARE TALKING ABOUT.
02:19PM	14	THE COURT: OKAY.
02:19PM	15	AND MR. SILBERT, ARE YOU GOING TO ARGUE THE OPPOSITE ON
02:19PM	16	THAT ISSUE OF ORIGINALITY AT ANALYTIC DISSECTION?
02:19PM	17	MR. SILBERT: ABSOLUTELY.
02:19PM	18	AND MORE THAN THAT, WE ARE GOING TO PRESENT EVIDENCE THAT
02:19PM	19	THEY DON'T KNOW THAT THE TESTIMONY INCLUDING 30(B)(6) TESTIMONY
02:19PM	20	OF THE COMPANY WAS THEY DON'T KNOW THE ACTUAL PROCESS THAT WAS
02:19PM	21	FOLLOWED FOR.
02:19PM	22	THE COURT: NOW, I NEED THE EVIDENCE, SO WHAT AM I
02:19PM	23	SUPPOSED TO DO?
02:19PM	24	MR. SILBERT: I THINK, IF I MAY, YOUR HONOR. BECAUSE
02:19PM	25	THE APPROACH WE HAVE BEEN TRYING TO TAKE ON DISSECTION, AND I

02:19PM	1	FULLY AGREE THERE ARE LINES TO BE DRAWN THAT ARE NOT ALWAYS
02:19PM	2	EXACTLY CLEAR AND WE NEED A PATH FORWARD, AND I THINK THE LINE
02:19PM	3	AT LEAST WE ARE TRYING TO DRAW HERE IS THAT SOME OF THESE
02:19PM	4	ISSUES THAT REQUIRE A DEEPER UNDERSTANDING OF THE EVIDENCE AND
02:19PM	5	WHAT THE EVIDENCE IS GOING TO SHOW, AND POTENTIALLY YOUR HONOR
02:20PM	6	WILL WANT TO SEE AND HEAR WITNESSES TESTIFY, THOSE ARE NOT FOR
02:20PM	7	YOU TO TRY TO DO NOW BEFORE TRIAL.
02:20PM	8	THE COURT: OKAY. SO I'M GOING TO IGNORE ORIGINALITY
02:20PM	9	RIGHT NOW.
02:20PM	10	MR. SILBERT: WELL, I DON'T KNOW THAT YOU WILL IGNORE
02:20PM	11	IT EVERY FLAVOR OF ORIGINALITY IN EVERY RESPECT, BUT I THINK ON
02:20PM	12	ISSUES LIKE THE ONE YOU JUST RAISED AND MR. PAK WAS DISCUSSING,
02:20PM	13	I THINK THAT PARTICULAR, YOU DO NEED TO HEAR THE EVIDENCE AND
02:20PM	14	SEE IT, AND THERE IS LIKELY TO BE MOTION PRACTICE ON IT WHEN
02:20PM	15	CISCO RESTS ITS CASE.
02:20PM	16	MR. NELSON: SO HERE'S A LITTLE BIT ON THAT,
02:20PM	17	YOUR HONOR.
02:20PM	18	I MEAN, WE CAN GO THROUGH, AND I THINK I KNOW WHAT HE'S
02:20PM	19	TALKING ABOUT, QUESTIONS LIKE, DO YOU KNOW WHAT WAS IN THE MIND
02:20PM	20	OF EACH INDIVIDUAL AUTHOR, WHICH I DON'T THINK IS REALLY THE
02:20PM	21	RIGHT QUESTION TO BE ASKING.
02:20PM	22	BUT REALLY WHAT THAT GETS DOWN TO IS, IS IT THE CASE THEN
02:20PM	23	IN EVERY COPYRIGHT CASE THAT YOU GO THROUGH EVERY ELEMENT LINE,
02:20PM	24	BY LINE, BY LINE, THAT'S THE PRECEDENT THEY ARE ASKING FOR.
02:20PM	25	THAT'S WHAT WE TRIED TO AVOID. AND THAT'S WHAT CDN SAYS YOU

02:21PM	1	DON'T HAVE TO DO.
02:21PM	2	SO WHAT THEY ARE ASKING YOU TO DO YOUR HONOR IS REALLY, I
02:21PM	3	DON'T KNOW HOW CAN YOU DO YOUR JOB, I MEAN, WHEN YOU TALK
02:21PM	4	ABOUT
02:21PM	5	THE COURT: OH, I CAN DO MY JOB, BUT YOUR TRIAL IS
02:21PM	6	GOING TO BE IN MAY. IT'S ACTUALLY VERY SIMPLE.
02:21PM	7	MR. NELSON: THAT'S WHAT I'M SAYING. REASONABLY
02:21PM	8	SPEAKING, YOU CAN'T DEVOTE THAT MUCH TIME TO ONE CASE.
02:21PM	9	THE COURT: EVEN IF I USED EVERY WAKING MINUTE UNTIL
02:21PM	10	THE JURY COMES BACK, I COULD NOT DO THIS JOB IN THE FEW DAYS
02:21PM	11	LEFT.
02:21PM	12	MR. NELSON: CORRECT.
02:21PM	13	THE COURT: SO IT'S A FRUSTRATION OF MINE OF HAVING
02:21PM	14	THIS PUT, AND THEN YOU SAY THERE MAY BE MOTION PRACTICE, BUT
02:21PM	15	JUST WHEN WOULD THAT BE? WE ARE UP AGAINST A HARD DEADLINE
02:21PM	16	HERE.
02:21PM	17	IT'S GREAT THERE WILL BE MOTION PRACTICE, BUT MOTION
02:21PM	18	PRACTICE THAT ENDS UP IN A NEW TRIAL, I DON'T WANT TO PLANT THE
02:21PM	19	SEEDS FOR A NEW TRIAL NOW, I WOULD LIKE TO LET THIS JURY GO
02:21PM	20	HOME AND WISH THEM HAPPY HOLIDAYS AND DO THIS MORE ORDERLY.
02:21PM	21	SO I'M VERY CONCERNED THAT THIS HASN'T BEEN THAT WE ARE
02:21PM	22	HERE AND I NEED AND THIS PROCESS IS SO, UNFORTUNATELY IN THE
02:21PM	23	LAW, FRANKLY ILL DEFINED, EVEN THOUGH THERE ARE LOTS OF CASES.
02:22PM	24	AND SO I'M JUST TRYING I AM TRYING TO DO MY JOB, I'M
02:22PM	25	TRYING TO UNDERSTAND WHAT TO DO WITHOUT GLOSSING OVER THE

02:22PM	1	ISSUES THAT ARISTA RAISES.
02:22PM	2	AND THAT'S THAT IS MY FEAR IS THAT I'LL, OUT OF
02:22PM	3	NECESSITY OF TIME, RULE AT TOO HIGH A LEVEL AND HENCE DEPRIVE
02:22PM	4	ARISTA OF THE RULINGS IT'S ENTITLED TO.
02:22PM	5	MR. NELSON: AND THAT I UNDERSTAND.
02:22PM	6	AND I'M, AND BUT I DON'T, YOU KNOW, IN ANY KIND OF
02:22PM	7	COMPUTER CODE OR UI CASE OR MAYBE THERE'S SOME THAT ARE
02:22PM	8	THERE'S GOING TO BE SO MANY ELEMENTS, RIGHT.
02:22PM	9	AND THE WAY THESE WORKED FOR HIGHER, FOR LACK OF I THINK
02:22PM	10	THAT ACTUALLY IS THE RIGHT TERM YOU ARE COMPREHENDING, I'M NOT
02:22PM	11	HUNDRED PERCENT SURE. BUT YOU HAVE A LOT, A LOT OF
02:22PM	12	PEOPLE OVER MANY, MANY YEARS WORKING ON THESE KINDS OF THINGS.
02:22PM	13	AND SO YOU HAVE TESTIMONY ABOUT HERE'S YOUR PROCESS THAT
02:22PM	14	THE COMPANY WENT THROUGH, THIS IS WHAT WE DO, OBVIOUSLY IT
02:22PM	15	IS IT IS IMPOSSIBLE, I MEAN, I THINK IS THE ONLY WORD THAT I
02:23PM	16	COULD USE, THAT YOU COULD GO BACK TO EVERY SINGLE PERSON.
02:23PM	17	THE COURT: YEAH.
02:23PM	18	MR. NELSON: WHO WROTE A LINE OF CODE, WROTE A MODULE
02:23PM	19	OF CODE, IF WE ARE TALKING ABOUT CODE HERE, OR WROTE A COMMAND
02:23PM	20	IN THE UI OR DID THIS AND SAID, I RECALL 22 YEARS AGO THAT
02:23PM	21	THESE ARE EXACTLY THE CONSIDERATIONS I HAD. IT'S NOT POSSIBLE.
02:23PM	22	THE COURT: NO.
02:23PM	23	MR. NELSON: SO THAT CAN'T BE THE ANSWER.
02:23PM	24	THE COURT: PLUS THE TRIAL WOULD BE SIX MONTHS LONG.
02:23PM	25	MR. NELSON: AT LEAST, AT LEAST SIX MONTHS LONG.

1 02:23PM 2 02:23PM 3 02:23PM 02:23PM 02:23PM 02:23PM 02:23PM 8 02:23PM 02:23PM 9 02:23PM 10 02:24PM 11 02:24PM 12 02:24PM 13 02:24PM 14 02:24PM 15 16 02:24PM 17 02:24PM 18 02:24PM 02:24PM 19 02:24PM 20 21 02:24PM 02:24PM 22 02:24PM 23 24 02:24PM 02:24PM 25

AND THAT'S WHY CDN TELLS YOU THAT YOU'VE GOT TO LOOK AT THE PROCESS. BECAUSE IT WOULD BE THE SAME THING THERE.

IF YOU ARE TO DO WHAT THEY ARE ASKING YOU TO DO, THE CDN CASE WOULD HAVE COME OUT AND SAID, PUT EACH OF THOSE INDIVIDUAL EXPERTS, THE PEOPLE THAT WENT OUT AND FIGURED OUT THE COIN PLACES, LET'S SAY WHAT DID YOU LOOK AT, DID YOU LOOK AT THIS, WHAT WERE THE MARKET PRESSURES WHAT OTHER THINGS DID YOU LOOK AT? HOW DID YOU COME UP WITH THIS PRICE, AND WE WOULD HAVE HAD THAT TESTIMONY ON EACH INDIVIDUAL ELEMENT?

THERE'S NO WAY. YOU CAN'T DO THAT AS A PRACTICAL MATTER IN THE CASE, THAT CAN'T POSSIBLY BE THE LAW.

AND THAT'S WHY I AGREE WE HAVE TO HAVE SOME TESTIMONY, AND WE HAVE TO HAVE THAT ON THE PROCESS, WE NEED TO HAVE THAT OUT THERE, AND YOU NEED TO BE ABLE TO FILTER OUT ELEMENTS WHERE YOU SAY, OKAY, YOU KNOW, WE HAVE THE IDEA OF A COMMAND LINE USER INTERFACE, WE ARE GOING TO ASSERT THAT, THEN THEY CAN ARGUE ABOUT IT, THE JURY CAN GET CONFUSED, AND YOU WILL SAY WELL, WAIT A MINUTE, COME ON GUYS, THOSE WAY PREEXIST YOU, JUST DECIDING TO DO THAT, THAT'S NOT SOMETHING YOU DO.

THAT'S WHAT FILTRATION IS, RIGHT, THAT'S WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT IS TRYING TO BE THE GATE KEEPER WITH THAT, NOT TRYING TO BE THE ARBITER AT EVERY SINGLE LEVEL BECAUSE YOUR HONOR CAN'T DO HER JOB.

THE COURT: WELL, I GREATLY APPRECIATE THAT OVER THE COURSE OF THE LAST COUPLE OF MONTHS THAT CISCO HAS ACTUALLY

02:24PM	1	ARTICULATED THE ELEMENTS THAT ARE NOT SEEKING PROTECTION OF AND
02:24PM	2	YOU'VE WRITTEN THEM DOWN AND YOU'VE COMMITTED TO THEM. SO I
02:24PM	3	APPRECIATE THAT. AND IN FACT, IT RESPONDED VERY DIRECTLY TO
02:25PM	4	SOME OF THE EARLY ARGUMENTS THAT WERE ASSERTED BY ARISTA.
02:25PM	5	AND SO ALTHOUGH I MIGHT NOT ULTIMATELY AGREE THAT YOU'VE
02:25PM	6	DONE ALL OF IT, I THINK YOU'VE COME A LONG WAY. AND THAT'S
02:25PM	7	WHAT IT SHOULD BE. AND SO I REALLY CREDIT CISCO WITH DOING
02:25PM	8	THAT. AND THEN WE GET THE REST OF THE WAY.
02:25PM	9	MR. NELSON: YES. I AGREE, YOUR HONOR.
02:25PM	10	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. OKAY. WELL, LET ME HEAR FROM
02:25PM	11	MR. SILBERT.
02:25PM	12	I AM REALLY LOOKING FOR, MR. SILBERT, TO THE EXTENT THAT I
02:25PM	13	FILTER OUT ANYTHING, I REALLY NEED A CLEAR ARTICULATION OF WHY
02:25PM	14	I WOULD BE TAKING THIS OUT. BECAUSE MR. NELSON IS REALLY
02:25PM	15	GIVING ME A MUCH, SORT OF A HIGHER LEVEL VIEW OF EACH OF THESE
02:25PM	16	ELEMENTS.
02:25PM	17	MR. SILBERT: ABSOLUTELY. AND WITH THE COURT'S
02:25PM	18	PERMISSION, WE ARE GOING TO SPLIT UP THE DIFFERENT ASPECTS.
02:25PM	19	MR. NELSON COVERED A LOT OF TERRITORY THERE.
02:25PM	20	THE COURT: YES, HE DID.
02:25PM	21	MR. SILBERT: AND UNLESS YOUR HONOR HAS A DIFFERENT
02:25PM	22	PREFERENCE IN TERMS OF ORDER, I AM GOING TO ADDRESS INDUSTRY
02:26PM	23	STANDARD TERMINOLOGY AND THE USE OF THAT TERMINOLOGY AND WHAT
02:26PM	24	IT MEANS TO USE THAT TERMINOLOGY IN CLI COMMANDS.
02:26PM	25	THE COURT: AND JUST SO THAT I'M CLEAR ON THIS, WHEN

1 02:26PM 2 02:26PM 3 02:26PM 02:26PM 02:26PM 02:26PM 02:26PM 8 02:26PM 02:26PM 9 02:26PM 10 02:26PM 11 02:26PM 12 02:26PM 13 02:26PM 14 02:26PM 15 02:27PM 16 17 02:27PM 18 02:27PM 02:27PM 19 20 02:27PM 21 02:27PM 02:27PM 22 02:27PM 23 02:27PM 24 02:27PM 25

YOU SAY INDUSTRY STANDARD TERMINOLOGY, THAT IS AS IT EXISTED AT THE TIME OF CREATION BY CISCO?

MR. SILBERT: RIGHT.

SO LET'S BE CLEAR ABOUT -- EXACTLY. YOUR HONOR MADE THAT CLARIFICATION EARLIER, AND LET'S BE CLEAR THAT THAT'S WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT.

I'M TALKING ABOUT TERMINOLOGY THAT WAS TAKEN FROM AN EXISTING PUBLICATION OF AN INDUSTRY STANDARD, OF AN INDUSTRY STANDARD BODY AT THE TIME THE COMMAND WAS SUPPOSEDLY CREATED BUT BEFORE THE TIME THE COMMAND WAS SUPPOSEDLY CREATED.

NOW THERE ARE ALSO CERTAIN OF THESE TERMS THAT, I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANY DISPUTE, AND IF THERE IS, THAT PARTICULAR TERM OBJECTION BE THE SUBJECT OF DISPUTE. BUT A TERM LIKE HOST TO REFER TO A DEVICE IN A NETWORK. YOU COULD FIND IT IN STANDARDS, IT WAS SIMPLY THE WAY THE PEOPLE IN THE INDUSTRY TALKED ABOUT IT. THERE'S ADMISSIONS ON BOTH SIDES FROM BOTH WITNESSES ABOUT THAT.

SO IT'S SIMPLY -- I COULD CALL IT AN INDUSTRY TERM OR SOMETHING OF THAT NATURE THAT WAS AN EXISTING TERM THAT EVERYBODY KNEW AND USED.

AND I DO WANT TO TALK ABOUT THIS ISSUE AT A HIGH LEVEL AND SPECIFICALLY AT THE LEVEL THAT YOUR HONOR ASKED ABOUT WHICH IS KIND OF HOW DO YOU DISCHARGE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES BUT YET HAVE A MANAGEABLE PROCESS, THAT'S SOMETHING THAT YOU COULD MAKE REASONABLE DECISIONS ABOUT IN THE TIME THAT WE HAVE. THE JURY

1 02:27PM 2 02:27PM 3 02:27PM 02:27PM 02:27PM 02:27PM 02:27PM 8 02:28PM 9 02:28PM 10 02:28PM 02:28PM 11 02:28PM 12 13 02:28PM 02:28PM 14 02:28PM 15 16 02:28PM 17 02:28PM 18 02:28PM 02:28PM 19 20 02:28PM 21 02:28PM 02:28PM 22 02:28PM 23 24 02:29PM 25 02:29PM

CAN MAKE THE DECISIONS THAT THE JURY NEEDS TO MAKE BUT THE JURY IS NOT GOING TO BE CONFUSED AND ITS ACTUAL TASK IS LAID OUT FOR IT IN A CLEAR WAY.

AND I THINK THE STARTING POINT FOR THINKING ABOUT THAT IS THE HARBOR HOUSE CASE, AND THERE'S OTHER CASES AS WELL THAT GET AT THIS POINT, BUT THE HARBOR HOUSE CASE, NINTH CIRCUIT CASE MAKES CLEAR IS IT TALKS ABOUT THE INFLAMMATORY NATURE OF AN ALLEGATION OF COPYING. AND THE RISK OF PREJUDICE AND CONFUSION THAT ARISES IF THE JURY HEARS THEY COPIED, THEY COPIED, THEY COPIED, BUT THEY ARE NOT CLEARLY TOLD SOME OF THESE THINGS THAT THEY ARE ALLEGED TO HAVE COPIED ARE NOT PROTECTABLE AND THEREFORE IT WAS FINE FOR THEM TO COPY, PERFECTLY LEGAL. NOTHING WHATSOEVER WRONG WITH IT, THAT'S JUST THE WAY THE WORLD WORKS, BUSINESS WORKS.

SO I THINK UNDER THAT FRAMEWORK, THE QUESTION IS WHAT IS IT YOU CAN DO AND SHOULD DO NOW? WHAT DECISIONS CAN YOU MAKE NOW, AND WHAT DECISIONS -- THERE MAY BE MORE THAT AFTER YOU HEAR THE EVIDENCE YOU WILL DO MORE, AND THERE'S GOING TO BE SOME SET OF ISSUES THAT ARE LEFT TO THE JURY THAT THE JURY IS GOING TO HAVE TO DECIDE AT THE END OF THE DAY.

SO WITHIN THAT FRAMEWORK, THE ISSUE OF TERMS THAT WERE TAKEN FROM INDUSTRY STANDARDS. AND AGAIN, TO BE CLEAR, PRE-EXISTING STANDARDS, PUBLICATIONS OF STANDARDS BODIES THAT EXISTED, TERMS THAT WERE IN WIDESPREAD USE AS NAMES OF THINGS.

THE COURT: AND ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT INDIVIDUAL

02:29PM	1	WORDS?
02:29PM	2	MR. SILBERT: YES.
02:29PM	3	THE COURT: BECAUSE DR. BLACK DECIDED THEM TWO
02:29PM	4	INDIVIDUAL WORDS.
02:29PM	5	CISCO IS NOT CLAIMING PROTECTABILITY ON INDIVIDUAL WORDS.
02:29PM	6	WE HAVE AGREEMENT ON THAT.
02:29PM	7	MR. SILBERT: THEY ARE NOT CLAIMING PROTECTABILITY OF
02:29PM	8	THE INDIVIDUAL WORDS.
02:29PM	9	AND I THINK TO CLARIFY TO THE JURY THE ISSUES HERE AND WHAT
02:29PM	10	THEY NEED THAT DO, THERE'S ONE ADDITIONAL THING THAT NEEDS TO
02:29PM	11	BE MADE CLEAR TO THEM, AND THAT IS THAT THE USE OF A THE
02:29PM	12	NAME TAKEN FROM A STANDARD.
02:29PM	13	FOR EXAMPLE, TO CALL THE OSPF STANDARD PROTOCOL OSPF, THAT
02:29PM	14	BY ITSELF IS NOT AN ORIGINAL OR CREATIVE ACT. IT'S SIMPLY NOT.
02:29PM	15	IT'S THE NAME BY WHICH EVERYONE IN THE INDUSTRY KNOWS THAT
02:29PM	16	PROTOCOL. EVERYBODY REFERS TO IT BY THAT NAME.
02:29PM	17	THE COURT: AND AGAIN, I DON'T THINK THAT'S IN
02:30PM	18	DISPUTE.
02:30PM	19	MR. SILBERT: OKAY. GREAT.
02:30PM	20	AND THEN THE ISSUE THEN IS HOW TO CLARIFY TO THE JURY THAT
02:30PM	21	USING A NAME THAT IS ALREADY AN INDUSTRY NAME, AN INDUSTRY
02:30PM	22	TERMINOLOGY, USING THAT NAME ITSELF IS NOT CREATIVE, HOWEVER, A
02:30PM	23	COMBINATION COULD BE.
02:30PM	24	THEY CAN ARGUE, AND THAT'S, AS I UNDERSTAND THE ARGUMENT
02:30PM	25	THAT THEY'RE MAKING, IS THAT MAYBE IF WE CALL OSPF, OSPF, OR WE
		1

02:30PM	1	CALL IP, IP, THERE'S NOTHING CREATIVE OR ORIGINAL ABOUT THAT.
02:30PM	2	BUT WE COULD BUT WHEN WE STRING THESE THINGS TOGETHER,
02:30PM	3	WHEN WE SAY IP ADDRESS OR WHATEVER IT IS THAT THEY SAY, THAT
02:30PM	4	THAT'S A CREATIVE ACT.
02:30PM	5	AND I THINK WHAT WE NEED IS, BECAUSE ALL OF THIS COMES DOWN
02:30PM	6	TO JURY INSTRUCTIONS AT THE END OF THE DAY
02:30PM	7	THE COURT: AND AGAIN, I ACTUALLY BELIEVE THAT
02:30PM	8	THROUGH YOUR ARGUMENT, THROUGHOUT THE CASE AND CISCO'S
02:30PM	9	REFLECTION ON HOW IT WANTS TO PRESENT ITS OWN, YOU HAVE COME TO
02:30PM	10	A LARGE AMOUNT OF AGREEMENT ON THIS.
02:30PM	11	SO I ACTUALLY THINK YOU KIND OF OWN THIS ARGUMENT ALREADY.
02:31PM	12	AND THANKS TO THE CONCESSION. BUT I AGREE WITH YOU COMPLETELY.
02:31PM	13	AND EVEN, FRANKLY, EVEN THE FEW INDIVIDUAL WORDS THAT CISCO MAY
02:31PM	14	HAVE CLAIMED IT INVENTED THAT MR. NELSON SHOWED ME, THEY'RE
02:31PM	15	STILL NOT CLAIMING PROTECTABILITY OF THE WORD BY ITSELF
02:31PM	16	STANDING ALONE.
02:31PM	17	SO THE CREATIVE ASPECT IS LIMITED TO THE DEVELOPING OF THE
02:31PM	18	COMBINATION.
02:31PM	19	MR. SILBERT: CORRECT.
02:31PM	20	THE COURT: AND IN YOUR PAPERS, YOU AGREE SUBJECT TO
02:31PM	21	MERGER AND SCÈNES À FAIRE THAT
02:31PM	22	MR. SILBERT: WELL, WE WOULD SAY WE AGREE THAT'S WHAT
02:31PM	23	THEY ALLEGE. WE ARE NOT AGREEING THAT THE COMBINATION WAS
02:31PM	24	CREATIVE, CERTAINLY.
02:31PM	25	THE COURT: YOU ARE ONLY CLAIMING THAT'S A

1 02:31PM 2 02:31PM 3 02:31PM 02:31PM 02:31PM 02:32PM 02:32PM 8 02:32PM 9 02:32PM 10 02:32PM 02:32PM 11 02:32PM 12 13 02:32PM 14 02:32PM 02:32PM 15 16 02:32PM 17 02:32PM 18 02:32PM 02:33PM 19 02:33PM 20 21 02:33PM 02:33PM 22

02:33PM 23

02:33PM

02:33PM

24

25

PROTECTABLE ELEMENT. THEY STILL HAVE TO PROVE THAT CREATIVITY. MR. SILBERT: RIGHT. EXACTLY.

AND SO I THINK YOUR HONOR ASKED A QUESTION ABOUT, CAN YOU THINK OF THE COMMAND AS A COMPILATION, AND I THINK THAT IS A USEFUL WAY TO THINK ABOUT IT UNDER THE FRAMEWORK OF THE FICE CASE, FOR EXAMPLE, WHERE USING THE NAME OF A PROTOCOL SUCH AS OSPF OR IP, OR USING THE TERM VRRP OR ANY NUMBER OF THESE OTHER TERMS THAT, TO US, MAKE OUR HEAD SPIN BECAUSE WE DON'T LIVE IN THIS WORLD AND WE SEE THEM, I SEE THEM AND IT LOOKS LIKE GREEK AND I THINK, OH MY GOSH, IT'S AN ENTIRELY NEW LANGUAGE THAT I'M SEEING HERE, IT'S COMPLETELY UNLIKE ANYTHING I'VE EVER SEEN, WHAT DOES IT ALL MEAN.

AND I THINK WHAT THE JURY NEEDS TO BE TOLD IS THAT USE OF THOSE ACRONYMS, TERMS THAT ARE DEFINED IN PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS, TERMS THAT, PARAMETERS THAT HAVE A PARTICULAR NAME IN A PUBLISHED DOCUMENT WHERE THAT NAME IS THEN USED AGAIN IN A CLI COMMAND, THAT THE USE OF THAT TYPE OF INDUSTRY TERMINOLOGY BY ITSELF, THE USE OF THOSE WORDS BY ITSELF IS NOT A CREATIVE ACT, ORIGINAL ACT.

THE COURT: SO FICE, I ALWAYS LIKE IT BECAUSE IT'S A PHONE BOOK AND WE ALL KNOW WHAT THAT IS. BUT IN FICE THEY WERE FACTUAL. THE INDIVIDUAL PIECES WERE FACTUAL.

SO HERE IT'S SOMETHING ELSE. BUT LET'S JUST -- IF EACH OF THE WORDS THAT MAKES UP THE LINE OR THE ENTIRE BODY OF COMMANDS IS NOT SUBJECT TO PROTECTION, THEN THE PROCESS THAT MR. NELSON

02:33PM	1	DESCRIBES AND THAT CISCO HAS TALKED ABOUT IS CREATING THE
02:33PM	2	COMBINATIONS, IS.
02:33PM	3	MR. SILBERT: RIGHT.
02:33PM	4	THE COURT: AND THAT'S WHAT WE ARE SENDING TO THE
02:33PM	5	JURY.
02:33PM	6	MR. SILBERT: RIGHT.
02:33PM	7	THE COURT: OKAY. I DON'T THINK WE HAVE DISAGREEMENT
02:33PM	8	ON THAT.
02:33PM	9	AND I THINK ON THE COMMAND LINES, NOW YOU GO ON TO THE
02:33PM	10	ARGUMENT ABOUT THE TWO-WORD AND THE THREE-WORD, PRIMARILY. AND
02:33PM	11	YOU HAVE THE AUTHORSHIP ISSUE.
02:33PM	12	SO WHERE DOES THAT ALL PLAY IN HERE?
02:33PM	13	MR. SILBERT: WELL, AND THIS IS KIND OF WHERE I
02:33PM	14	STARTED THIS MORNING AND MAYBE WE WOULD GO SOMEWHERE DIFFERENT,
02:33PM	15	BUT WE SPENT THE BETTER PART OF THE LATE MORNING AND THE EARLY
02:34PM	16	AFTERNOON LOOKING AT A COUPLE OF EXAMPLES. AND THAT WAS ONLY
02:34PM	17	ONE SIDE. THAT WAS ONLY THE CISCO SIDE OF THAT ARGUMENT.
02:34PM	18	THE COURT: SURE.
02:34PM	19	MR. SILBERT: I THINK OUR BELIEF IS THAT TO THE
02:34PM	20	EXTENT THAT YOUR HONOR NEEDS TO HEAR ABOUT THE PARTICULAR
02:34PM	21	FEATURES OF THE COMBINATION OF TWO WORDS, I MEAN, YOU CAN HAVE
02:34PM	22	A REACTION TO SET CLOCK.
02:34PM	23	THEY SAY OH, WELL YOU COULD USE SOMETHING ELSE, THERE'S 15
02:34PM	24	DIFFERENT COMPANIES, AT LEAST, WHO SAY SET CLOCK, THE
02:34PM	25	IDENTICAL, BUT MAYBE YOU COULD.

02:34PM	1	BUT IT'S GOING TO BE THE SAME, I THINK WHEN YOU HEAR THE
02:34PM	2	EVIDENCE, IT'S GOING TO BE THE SAME FOR IP ADDRESS AND MANY,
02:34PM	3	MANY OTHER COMBINATIONS. BUT I THINK, MY BELIEF IS TO TRY TO
02:34PM	4	DO THAT NOW ON
02:34PM	5	THE COURT: SO HOW DO I DO THAT SO I CAN'T IT
02:34PM	6	WOULD BE NICE IF THE LAW JUST SAID TWO WORDS NEVER COUNTS OR
02:34PM	7	THREE WORDS NEVER COUNTS, THAT WOULD BE GREAT AND LIFE WOULD BE
02:34PM	8	EASY, BUT IT DOESN'T.
02:35PM	9	SO WHAT DO I LOOK AT TO AGREE WITH YOU?
02:35PM	10	LET'S JUST TAKE THE TWO WORDS, WE WILL START WITH THE
02:35PM	11	SIMPLEST ONE, WHAT IS IT THAT PERSUADES ME UNDER THE LAW THAT I
02:35PM	12	CAN FILTER OUT THE TWO-WORD PHRASES?
02:35PM	13	MR. SILBERT: I THINK YOU ARE GOING TO SEE THAT THOSE
02:35PM	14	TWO-WORD PHRASES CONSIST OF A COMBINATION OF WORDS THAT ARE
02:35PM	15	EASILY RELATED TO EACH OTHER, NATURALLY RELATED TO EACH OTHER.
02:35PM	16	THE COURT: I CAN'T DO THAT HERE THAT TAKES AN
02:35PM	17	EVIDENTIARY HEARING. I'M TRYING TO SEPARATE OUT SO THAT I KNOW
02:35PM	18	WHAT I NEED TO DECIDE TODAY AND TOMORROW.
02:35PM	19	MR. SILBERT: YES.
02:35PM	20	THE COURT: SO IF YOU TELL ME THAT'S FOR THE HEARING
02:35PM	21	FOR THE TRIAL, GOOD, SO THIS.
02:35PM	22	MR. SILBERT: THAT'S WHAT I'M SUGGESTING IS THAT'S
02:35PM	23	FOR THE TRIAL TO TRY TO DO IT TO GO THROUGH PARTICULAR
02:35PM	24	COMBINATIONS OF TWO-WORD PHRASES NOW IS NOT REALLY FEASIBLE.
02:35PM	25	SO THAT'S FOR THE TRIAL.

02:35PM	1	
02:36PM	2	
02:36PM	3	
02:36PM	4	
02:36PM	5	
02:36PM	6	
02:36PM	7	
02:36PM	8	
02:36PM	9	
02:36PM	10	
02:36PM	11	
02:36PM	12	
02:36PM	13	
02:36PM	14	
02:36PM	15	
02:36PM	16	
02:36PM	17	
02:37PM	18	
02:37PM	19	
02:37PM	20	
02:37PM	21	
02:37PM	22	
02:37PM	23	
02:37PM	24	
02:37PM	25	

THE COURT: SO I'M LOOKING -- AND AGAIN, I JUST -- AT THE ISSUES THAT YOU ALL AGREED THEY COULD DECIDE, YOU SAID UN PROTECTABLE WORDS AND SHORT PHRASES COULD BE DECIDED WITHOUT A HEARING.

MR. SILBERT: WE DID. BUT I THINK WE HAD A CAVEAT IN THERE THAT WAS SUBJECT TO CISCO'S ARGUMENT.

AND I SHOULD SAY FOR THE RECORD, YOUR HONOR, OUR BELIEF WOULD BE THAT AT LEAST IN THE CASE OF TWO WORDS, AND I UNDERSTAND THIS ISN'T YOUR HONOR'S VIEW OF THE LAW, BUT FOR THE RECORD, OUR VIEW WOULD BE AT LEAST IN THE CASE OF A TWO-WORD PHRASE, IT IS NOT PROTECTABLE.

AND WE DO, I WILL NOTE, HAVE THE DISTINCT ELEMENT HERE THAT CISCO IS ALLEGING INDIVIDUAL PROTECTION FOR EACH ONE OF THESE PHRASES. WHEN IT MIGHT BE A COMPILATION OF WORDS TO MAKE THE PHRASE, BUT WHEN IT COMES TO EACH PHRASE, THEY HAVE BEEN VERY CLEAR ON THE RECORD, TO MY KNOWLEDGE THEY NEVER RETRACTED THIS, THAT THEIR ARGUMENT IS THAT EACH INDIVIDUAL PHRASE, INCLUDING TWO-WORD PHRASES, IS INDIVIDUALLY PROTECTABLE.

OUR READING OF THE LAW, I MEAN, WORDS IN SHORT PHRASES, THERE'S NO PHRASE THAT'S NOT AT LEAST TWO WORDS. A PHRASE IS AT LEAST TWO WORDS.

SO OUR VIEW WOULD BE, AND I GUESS TO ACKNOWLEDGE, THERE ARE CASES WHICH SAY THERE IS NO CUTOFF POINT. THERE'S NO NUMERICAL CUTOFF.

THE WAY THAT I READ THOSE CASES IS THEY ARE SAYING IT'S

02:37PM	1	NOT, YOU KNOW, YOU CAN'T SAY THAT ANY 10-WORD PHRASE,
02:37PM	2	ET CETERA, IS TOO SHORT BECAUSE THERE COULD BE EXCEPTIONS.
02:37PM	3	BUT I THINK WHEN YOU GET TO A TWO-WORD PHRASE, IT'S EITHER
02:37PM	4	CATEGORICALLY OUT OR CISCO WOULD NEED TO SHOW SOME VERY
02:37PM	5	CONVINCING TYPE OF PROOF OF ORIGINALITY OR OTHER BASIS OF
02:37PM	6	PROTECTABILITY FOR THAT
02:37PM	7	THE COURT: WELL AGAIN, STILL GETTING BACK TO, YOU
02:37PM	8	BOTH SAID I COULD DO IT WITHOUT A HEARING, AND NOW YOU ARE
02:37PM	9	GIVING ME THE ROAD MAP TO DISPUTED EVIDENCE.
02:37PM	10	MR. SILBERT: YEAH, BECAUSE
02:38PM	11	THE COURT: SO IT MEANS I CAN'T DECIDE THIS.
02:38PM	12	MR. SILBERT: MAYBE WE WERE A LITTLE ASPIRATIONAL IN
02:38PM	13	THAT HOPE. BUT WE DID HOPE THAT.
02:38PM	14	BUT I THINK IN SEEING HOW AND YOU KNOW, WE FILED
02:38PM	15	SIMULTANEOUS BRIEFS, BUT WE DIDN'T KNOW WHAT CISCO'S RESPONSE
02:38PM	16	WAS GOING TO BE.
02:38PM	17	THE COURT: YOU DID. BUT WHAT I'M REALLY LOOKING AT
02:38PM	18	IS THE JOINT SUBMISSION REGARDING ANALYTIC DISSECTION CATEGORY
02:38PM	19	SUITABLE FOR DECISION WITHOUT EVIDENTIARY HEARING WHICH
02:38PM	20	PERTAINED TO YOUR RESPONSE TO CISCO'S SUBMISSION OF PROTECTABLE
02:38PM	21	ELEMENTS.
02:38PM	22	SO I'M JUST WALKING THROUGH IT. YOU SAID I COULD DO THIS
02:38PM	23	WITHOUT A HEARING AND YET IT'S CLEAR THAT I CAN'T.
02:38PM	24	MR. SILBERT: WE SAID YOU COULD, AND I CAN PULL THAT
02:38PM	25	PLEADING, BUT I BELIEVE WHAT WE SAID WAS SUBJECT TO, WE BELIEVE

02:38PM	1	YOU CAN, AND WE HAD A FOOTNOTE THAT SAID SUBJECT TO SEEING WHAT
02:38PM	2	KIND OF EVIDENCE CISCO SUBMITS IN RESPONSE, IT'S POSSIBLE THAT
02:38PM	3	SOME OF THIS WILL REQUIRE
02:38PM	4	THE COURT: I DON'T HAVE ANY FOOTNOTE HERE. I'M JUST
02:38PM	5	LOOKING AT THE DOCUMENT THAT IDENTIFIED THE ISSUES.
02:38PM	6	MR. SILBERT: IN ANY EVENT, BUT YOUR HONOR, THE POINT
02:39PM	7	YOUR HONOR IS MAKING IS ABSOLUTELY RIGHT. WE SAID THAT IN THE
02:39PM	8	BRIEF. WE HOPED THAT IT COULD. I'M STANDING HERE TODAY
02:39PM	9	TELLING YOU WHAT THE WAY THINGS PLAYED OUT AND WHERE WE ARE
02:39PM	10	NOW, I DON'T THINK IT'S FEASIBLE TO TRY TO GO THROUGH THOSE.
02:39PM	11	THE COURT: OKAY. THAT'S FINE.
02:39PM	12	AND THEN YOUR NEXT CATEGORY WAS CONVENTIONAL COMMAND SYNTAX
02:39PM	13	THAT CISCO COPIED FROM OTHER SOURCES.
02:39PM	14	AND THIS ONE I THINK HAS BEEN AGREED THAT SYNTAX IS NOT
02:39PM	15	BEING SOUGHT.
02:39PM	16	MR. SILBERT: AGREED.
02:39PM	17	THE COURT: OKAY. AND THEN RECORDED SELECTION OR
02:39PM	18	ARRANGEMENT OF COMMANDS THAT IS NOT GROUNDED IN CISCO'S ACTUAL
02:39PM	19	WORKS OR THAT CISCO DID NOT DISCLOSE IN DISCOVERY.
02:39PM	20	I MEAN, I'M INTERRUPTING YOUR PRESENTATION.
02:39PM	21	MR. SILBERT: NO, THAT'S OKAY.
02:39PM	22	THE COURT: YOU TOLD ME THINGS I COULD DECIDE AND
02:39PM	23	THEN THE PRESENTATION ISN'T TRACKING THEM. SO I DON'T ACTUALLY
02:39PM	24	EVEN KNOW IF I'M I DON'T WANT TO VENTURE INTO THE AREAS THAT
02:39PM	25	YOU BOTH BELIEVE NEED AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING.

02:40PM	1	MR. SILBERT: UH-HUH.
02:40PM	2	THE COURT: AND THEN PROTECTABLE ASPECTS OF THE
02:40PM	3	HIERARCHY, I'M TAKING THE HIERARCHIES AS ALL OR NOTHING.
02:40PM	4	MR. SILBERT: THE HIERARCHY, MR. KWUN IS GOING TO
02:40PM	5	ADDRESS THEM IN A MINUTE.
02:40PM	6	THE COURT: OKAY. SO LET'S JUMP TO THAT.
02:40PM	7	MR. SILBERT: OKAY.
02:40PM	8	THE COURT: SO MR. SILBERT, WAS THERE MORE THAT YOU
02:40PM	9	WANTED TO PRESENT?
02:40PM	10	MR. SILBERT: WELL, I THINK THE ONLY THE LAST
02:40PM	11	POINT ABOUT IT IS FASHIONING A JURY INSTRUCTION.
02:40PM	12	AND I THINK THIS IS WHAT WE CAN DO TOMORROW. BUT IT SOUNDS
02:40PM	13	LIKE EVERYONE IS ON THE SAME PAGE WITH RESPECT TO INDIVIDUAL
02:40PM	14	WORDS FROM INDUSTRY STANDARDS.
02:40PM	15	AND I THINK WE JUST NEED, AND WE HAD PROPOSED ONE IN NUMBER
02:40PM	16	12 WHICH I UNDERSTAND YOUR HONOR HASN'T HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO
02:40PM	17	READ, BUT
02:40PM	18	THE COURT: I HAVEN'T LOOKED AT THE CONTRARY ONE.
02:40PM	19	MR. SILBERT: BUT AS I SAID, ULTIMATELY ALL OF THE
02:40PM	20	DISSECTION PROCESS COMES DOWN TO JURY INSTRUCTIONS. AND WE
02:40PM	21	JUST NEED TO FASHION AN APPROPRIATE JURY INSTRUCTION THAT
02:40PM	22	EXPLAINS TO THE JURY THIS IS NOT SOMETHING THAT WOULD BE IN THE
02:41PM	23	REALM
02:41PM	24	THE COURT: OKAY. IN PLAINER ENGLISH OF, YOU ARE NOT
02:41PM	25	TO CONSIDER INDIVIDUAL WORDS, THEY ARE NOT PROTECTED.

02:41PM	1	MR. SILBERT: YES, INDIVIDUAL WORDS WITH PARTICULAR
02:41PM	2	EMPHASIS ON WORDS TAKEN FROM PRE-EXISTING INDUSTRY STANDARDS.
02:41PM	3	THE COURT: CISCO IS GIVING YOU MORE THAN YOU ARE
02:41PM	4	ASKING FOR THERE.
02:41PM	5	THEY ARE SAYING THEY ARE NOT ASKING FOR PROTECTION FOR ANY
02:41PM	6	WORD AS AN INDIVIDUAL WORD. THEY ARE NOT LIMITING IT.
02:41PM	7	I MEAN, YOU LATER MAY WANT THE JURY TO UNDERSTAND WHERE IT
02:41PM	8	COMES FROM FOR OTHER REASONS, AND THAT'S FINE. YOU ARE NOT
02:41PM	9	BEING RESTRICTED. BUT THEY ARE GIVING UP ON EVERY INDIVIDUAL
02:41PM	10	WORD.
02:41PM	11	MR. SILBERT: OKAY.
02:41PM	12	THE COURT: SO THAT'S SIMPLE FOR THE JURY.
02:41PM	13	MR. SILBERT: WE WILL TAKE IT.
02:41PM	14	MR. VAN NEST: BEFORE WE START HERE, YOUR HONOR,
02:41PM	15	THERE IS ONE OTHER THING THAT MR. SILBERT WAS GOING TO ADDRESS,
02:41PM	16	AND I DON'T CARE HOW WE DO IT, BUT MODES AND PROMPTS IS AN
02:41PM	17	ISSUE WE WANT TO TOUCH.
02:41PM	18	THE COURT: WE WERE GOING TO DO THAT
02:41PM	19	MR. VAN NEST: ALL RIGHT.
02:41PM	20	MR. FERRALL IS GOING TO COVER MODES AND PROMPTS AND
02:41PM	21	MR. KWUN IS GOING TO COVER HIERARCHIES.
02:41PM	22	THE COURT: WE ALL AGREE THAT COMMAND RESPONSES AND
02:41PM	23	RESPECT DESCRIPTIONS FALL IN THE SAME CATEGORY AS COMMAND.
02:42PM	24	THAT'S GREAT. AND THEN THE MANUAL SOMEONE WILL MAYBE THAT'S
02:42PM	25	NOT EVEN NEEDING ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION.

1 02:42PM 2 02:42PM 3 02:42PM 02:42PM 4 02:42PM 02:42PM 6 02:42PM 7 02:42PM 8 02:42PM 9 02:42PM 10 02:42PM 11 02:42PM 12 02:42PM 13 02:42PM 14 02:42PM 15 02:42PM 16 02:42PM 17 02:42PM 18 02:43PM 19 02:43PM 20 02:43PM 21 02:43PM 22 02:43PM 23 02:43PM 24 02:43PM 25

OKAY. WE ARE GOING TO --

THE COURT: WE ARE GOING TO GO UNTIL THREE THEN TAKE A BREAK. SO WHY DON'T WE GO AHEAD AND JUMP INTO HIERARCHIES HERE.

MR. KWUN: ABSOLUTELY, YOUR HONOR.

I JUST WANTED TO READ A LITTLE BIT FROM THE APPLE V. MICROSOFT CASE, THE ACTUAL DISSECTION OPINION FROM JUDGE WALKER. IT HAS SOME BACKGROUND THAT I THINK IS USEFUL TO KEEP IN MIND. AND WHETHER THAT'S FOR TODAY OR FOR LATER ON, IT'S JUST VERY SHORT.

THE COURT: WHICH ONE OF THEM, SO IF I WANT TO GO BACK TO IT?

MR. KWUN: THE 799 F.SUPP, 1006. I'M READING FROM PAGE 1025, AND I WILL READ A LITTLE BIT FROM 1026 AS WELL.

JUDGE WALKER NOTED THAT COPYRIGHT'S PURPOSE IS TO OVERCOME THE FREERIDER'S PROBLEM, THE COPIER PROBLEM. SO OBVIOUSLY THAT'S SOMEONE ELSE'S STORY. BUT HE ALSO NOTED THAT OVERLY INCLUSIVE COPYRIGHT PROTECTION CAN PRODUCE ITS OWN NEGATIVE AFFECTS BY INHIBITING THE ADOPTION OF COMPATIBLE STANDARDS AND REDUCING SO CALLED NETWORK EXTERNALITIES. SUCH STANDARDS IN A GRAPHIC USER INTERFACE WOULD ENLARGE THE MARKET FOR COMPUTERS BY MAKING THEM EASIER TO LEARN HOW TO USE THEM.

SO WHAT HE'S TALKING ABOUT THERE IS WE GO FROM ONE COMPUTER TO ANOTHER AND WE GO TO FILE AND WE CHOOSE PRINT, HAVING TO SWITCH THAT WHEN YOU GO TO ANOTHER COMPUTER, THAT'S NO GOOD FOR

1 ANYONE. 02:43PM 2 02:43PM

AND ALL THE COMPUTERS ARE EASIER TO USE IF WE ALL AGREE, JUST LIKE IF WE ALL AGREE WHERE THE STEERING WHEEL IS GOING TO BE ON THE CAR, THE FACT THAT THERE'S GOING TO BE A STEERING WHEEL, THAT THE BRAKE IS GOING TO BE ON THE LEFT, THOSE ARE ALL GOOD THINGS FOR EVERYONE INVOLVED.

AND HE SAID, JUDGE WALKER SAID, WHILE THE MACINTOSH INTERFACE, WHICH WAS THE COPYRIGHTED, THE KEY COPYRIGHTED ISSUE THERE, MAY BE THE FRUIT OF CONSIDERABLE EFFORT BY ITS DESIGNERS, IT SUCCESSES THE RESULT OF A HOST OF FACTORS, INCLUDING VARIOUS HARBOR DECISIONS AND THE LIKE.

AND I THINK THAT'S CLEARLY TRUE HERE AS WELL, THAT WHILE WE HAVE AN INTERFACE, WE HAVE A LOT OF OTHER SOFTWARE BEHIND THAT, AND MORE IMPORTANTLY, WE HAVE A LOT OF HARDWARE BEHIND THAT.

THERE'S NO PURPOSE IN HAVING AN INTERFACE FOR A NETWORK SWITCH IF YOU DON'T ACTUALLY HAVE A NETWORK SWITCH. SO YOU HAVE TO HAVE THE HARDWARE.

AND HE SAID, EVEN WERE APPLE ABLE TO ISOLATE THAT PART OF ITS INTERFACES' SUCCESS GOING TO ITS DESIGN EFFORTS, LENGTHY AND CONSERVATIVE EFFORT ALONE DOES NOT ALWAYS RESULT IN INHERENTLY PROTECTABLE EXPRESSION.

AND THEN ON PAGE 1026, HE SAID, AFTER A DISCUSSION OF THE COMPETITION THAT THIS ALLOWS, THE GOOD COMPETITION THIS ALLOWS, HE SAID THE IMPORTANCE OF SUCH COMPETITION AND THUS IMPROVEMENTS OR EXTENSIONS OF PAST EXPRESSIONS, SHOULD NOT BE

3 02:43PM 02:43PM 02:43PM 02:43PM 02:43PM 8 02:43PM 02:43PM 9 02:43PM 10 02:43PM 11 02:43PM 12 02:43PM 13

02:44PM 15

02:44PM 14

17 02:44PM

02:44PM 16

02:44PM 19

02:44PM

18

20 02:44PM

21 02:44PM

02:44PM 22 02:44PM 23

02:44PM 24

02:44PM 25

1 02:44PM 2 02:44PM 3 02:44PM 02:44PM 4 02:45PM 02:45PM 6 02:45PM 7 02:45PM 8 02:45PM 9 02:45PM 10 02:45PM 11 02:45PM 12 02:45PM 13 02:45PM 14 02:45PM 15 02:45PM 16 17 02:45PM 18 02:45PM 02:45PM 19 02:45PM 20 21 02:45PM 02:45PM 22 02:46PM 23 02:46PM 24 02:46PM 25

MINIMIZED.

SO AS HE WAS CONSIDERING THE ENTIRE PROCESS OF ANALYTIC DISSECTION, HE OF COURSE WANTED TO PROTECT THE RIGHTFUL RIGHTS THAT BELONGED TO THE COPYRIGHT OWNER. BUT HE ALSO WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT HE DIDN'T CREATE A STRANGELY VULCANIZED SYSTEM WHERE NO ONE WAS ABLE TO USE A TRASH SYMBOL OR A FOLDER ICON, THINGS LIKE THAT.

BUT TURNING TO THE NOTION OF HIERARCHY --

THE COURT: AND WAS THAT BECAUSE IT WAS TOO RELATED TO THE FUNCTIONALITY? HOW IS HE -- WHAT WAS HIS HOOK ON THAT AS TO WHY IT WOULDN'T BE PROTECTED?

MR. KWUN: SO HIS HOOK ON THAT, A LOT OF IT DID HAVE TO DO WITH WHERE THESE THINGS CAME FROM, THE METAPHORS AND IDEA.

BUT IF YOU LOOK AT THE CASE LAW, WE ALSO HAVE THE SEGA CASE AND THE SONY CASE WHERE THE NINTH CIRCUIT TALKS DIRECTLY ABOUT THE NEED FOR ALLOWING COMPETITION, AND THAT THERE'S PROPER COMPETITION, THAT THERE IS SUCH A THING AS COMPETITION THAT THE COPYRIGHT ACT AND COPYRIGHT LAWS ARE SUPPOSED TO FOSTER RATHER THAN HINDER.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. KWUN: IF I COULD HAVE SLIDE 37 UP.

THIS IS FROM CISCO'S -- ONE OF CISCO'S INTERROGATORY RESPONSES. AND I THINK THAT REALLY GETS AT A POINT, THAT AT LEAST I THOUGHT YOU WERE TRYING TO RAISE YOURSELF, WHICH IS

02:46PM	1
02:46PM	2
02:46PM	3
02:46PM	4
02:46PM	5
02:46PM	6
02:46PM	7
02:46PM	8
02:46PM	9
02:46PM	10
02:46PM	11
02:46PM	12
02:47PM	13
02:47PM	14
02:47PM	15
02:47PM	16
02:47PM	17
02:47PM	18
02:47PM	19
02:47PM	20
02:47PM	21
02:47PM	22
02:47PM	23
02:47PM	24
02:47PM	25

THAT THE HIERARCHY, THEY SAY THE COPYING OF THE HIERARCHY EXISTS BECAUSE OF THE COPYING OF THE COMMAND EXPRESSIONS. AND I THINK THEY ARE REALLY ONE AND THE SAME.

AND I THINK THAT IF YOU LOOK AT, DURING THEIR PRESENTATION WE SAW A SLIDE, SLIDE 19, THIS WAS BEFORE WE GOT TO HIERARCHIES, SLIDE 19 IN CISCO'S PRESENTATION TODAY, TALKED ABOUT WHY THE INDIVIDUAL COMMAND PHRASES WERE COPYRIGHTABLE.

AND WHAT YOU HEARD IS THAT THERE WAS CREATIVITY IN THE ORDER. BUT THE THING IS, IF YOU SAY THERE'S CREATIVITY IN THE ORDERING, YOU CAN'T CLAIM A HIERARCHY ANYMORE. BECAUSE THE HIERARCHY REQUIRES THAT IF YOU HAVE -- IF YOU ORDER THINGS BY SHOW, THAT THEY ALL START WITH THE WORD SHOW. YOU NO LONGER CAN PUT THE WORD SHOW AT THE END OF YOUR COMMAND, BECAUSE NOW YOU ARE IN A DIFFERENT HIERARCHY.

AND REALLY, ALL YOU HAVE HEARD ABOUT THE HIERARCHY, IS THE HIERARCHY IS A LIST OF, WHEN I TYPE IN ONE WORD, HOW MANY COMMANDS BEGIN WITH THAT WORD.

AND YOU HEARD THAT THERE ARE SOME COMMANDS THAT DON'T ACTUALLY EXIST IN A HIERARCHY. BUT YOU ALSO HEARD THAT THE WAY YOU CAN FIND THESE HIERARCHIES IS YOU TYPE IN THE FIRST WORD AND A QUESTION MARK.

AND I LIKE THE QUESTION I HEARD WHICH IS, WELL WHAT IF THERE IS A COMMAND, A SEVERAL-WORD COMMAND, THAT IS ACCORDING TO CISCO, NOT IN THE HIERARCHY. AND YOU TYPE IN THE FIRST WORD AND YOU TYPE QUESTION MARK, WHAT DO YOU GET? YOU GET A LISTING

02:47PM	1	OF WHAT MR. PAK THEN CONCEDED MIGHT BE TERMED A HIERARCHY OF
02:47PM	2	ONE.
02:47PM	3	THE COURT: RIGHT.
02:47PM	4	MR. KWUN: SO THERE'S REALLY NO DIFFERENCE BETWEEN
02:47PM	5	THIS.
02:47PM	6	AND SO THEN THE QUESTION IS, WHY DOES THIS MATTER? I MEAN,
02:47PM	7	CERTAINLY WE WOULD CONCEDE THAT EVERY COMMAND OUT THERE HAS A
02:47PM	8	FIRST WORD. SO WHY CAN'T THEY JUST CALL THAT A HIERARCHY?
02:47PM	9	AND THE REASON WHY IS BECAUSE WE HAVE A COPYRIGHT CASE HERE
02:48PM	10	THAT'S BASED NOT ON COPYING OF THE HARDWARE, NOT ON COPYING OF
02:48PM	11	THE SOURCE CODE, IT'S BASED ON THESE APPROXIMATELY 500
02:48PM	12	COMMANDS.
02:48PM	13	AND FRANKLY, WE WON'T AGREE ON THIS TODAY, BUT I THINK
02:48PM	14	THAT'S A PRETTY THIN CASE. AND CISCO WANTS TO BE ABLE TO SAY
02:48PM	15	OH, BUT THERE'S SO MUCH MORE THAT WAS COPIED.
02:48PM	16	AND SO THEY WANT TO BE ABLE TO SAY NOT ONLY DID THEY COPY
02:48PM	17	OUR COMMANDS, THEY ALSO COPIED OUR HIERARCHIES.
02:48PM	18	THE COURT: SO IT'S DOUBLE COUNTING IS WHAT YOU ARE
02:48PM	19	SAYING.
02:48PM	20	MR. KWUN: IT'S ABSOLUTELY DOUBLE COUNTING.
02:48PM	21	AND IT'S GOING TO BE HARD, THIS IS GOING TO BE A HARD CASE
02:48PM	22	FOR A LOT OF THE JURORS TO GET. THIS IS GOING TO BE TECHNOLOGY
02:48PM	23	THAT THEY ARE NOT FAMILIAR WITH, THERE ARE GOING TO BE WORDS,
02:48PM	24	PROTOCOLS THEY ARE NOT FAMILIAR WITH, ALL OF THIS IS GOING TO
02:48PM	25	BE A LITTLE STRANGE TO THEM. PROBABLY A LOT OF THEM HAVE NEVER

USED A COMMAND LINE.

1

2

3

4

8

02:48PM

02:48PM

02:48PM

02:48PM

02:48PM

02:49PM

02:49PM

02:49PM

02:49PM 9

02:49PM 10

02:49PM 11

02:49PM 12

02:49PM 13

02:49PM 14

02:49PM 15

02:49PM

02:49PM

02:49PM

02:49PM

02:49PM

02:50PM

02:50PM 22

02:50PM 23

02:50PM 24

02:50PM 25

16

17

18

19

20

21

THE COURT: RIGHT.

MR. KWUN: SO WHEN THEY HEAR THAT THERE ARE TWO THINGS, IT'S NOT GOING TO BE IMMEDIATELY APPARENT TO THEM AND IT'S UNFAIR FOR ARISTA TO HAVE TO BATTLE AGAINST THE SUGGESTION THAT THERE ARE TWO THINGS BEING COPIED.

THE COURT: SO I'M MORE IN LINE WITH YOUR ARGUMENT THAT IT IS THE HIERARCHY THAT IS WHERE THE CREATIVE PROCESS OCCURRED AND THE COMMAND LINE IS SIMPLY THE OUTPUT OF THAT CREATIVE PROCESS. NOTHING MORE COULD POSSIBLY HAVE GONE INTO THE COMMAND LINE THAT WASN'T DETERMINED IN THE CREATION OF THE HIERARCHY. THAT WOULD INCLUDE THE COMMAND LINES THAT ARE AT HIERARCHY OF ONE.

AND I THINK CONCEPTUALLY, THAT WORKS. AND I SUPPOSE WE WOULD HAVE TO LET -- CISCO ALLEGES A HIERARCHY, THOSE OF THE 508 THAT DON'T LIVE IN ONE OF THESE ASSERTED HIERARCHIES BECAUSE I'M -- WE ARE KIND OF -- I'M AGREEING WITH YOU THAT IT'S THE HIERARCHY, BECAUSE YOU ARE RIGHT, ONCE THEY PICK SHOW AS THE FIRST WORD, MR. NELSON'S PROCESS OF ONE LINE OF DECIDING WHERE THE WORDS GO, ACTUALLY IS NOT WHAT THEY DID.

THAT'S NOT WHAT WAS DONE. IT WAS DONE AT THE HIERARCHY STAGE OF WHAT ARE WE GOING TO ACCUMULATE UNDER SHOW, WHAT ARE WE GOING TO ACCUMULATE UNDER BANNER OR IP OR, THERE ARE DOZENS OF THEM, BUT IT WAS A STEP-BY-STEP DETERMINATION, ONCE YOU PICK THE FIRST STEP, THEN THE COMMAND LINE THAT'S SPIT OUT IS JUST

THE RESULT OF IT, OF THE HIERARCHY. 1 02:50PM IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE SAYING? 2 02:50PM MR. KWUN: WELL, THAT'S CERTAINLY ONE WAY OF VIEWING 3 02:50PM 02:50PM 4 IT. AND I WANT TO TAKE THAT TO ITS END CONCLUSION, WHICH IS IT ACTUALLY TAKES OUT THE ALLEGATION AS TO THE 500 COMMANDS. 02:50PM THE COURT: THAT'S WHAT I'M SAYING. BUT ALL OF THEM 02:50PM 02:50PM 7 LIVE IN A HIERARCHY. MR. KWUN: SO THE CHOICE TO THEN SAY THAT THOSE 02:50PM 8 02:50PM 9 INDIVIDUAL COMMANDS ARE INDIVIDUALLY PROTECTABLE GOES OUT THE 02:50PM 10 WINDOW BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT CLAIMING PROTECTION OVER INDIVIDUAL WORDS, THEY ARE NOT CLAIMING PROTECTION OVER THE IDEA OF A 02:50PM 11 02:50PM 12 HIERARCHY BECAUSE WE ALL KNOW THAT WOULD BE NOT PROTECTABLE. 02:50PM 13 AND IF THE -- IF THEY WANT TO HOLD ON TO HIERARCHY, THEN 02:50PM 14 THERE IS NO LONGER A CLAIM ABOUT 500 INDIVIDUAL COMMANDS. 02:51PM 15 SO THAT IS ONE POTENTIAL WAY OF VIEWING IT, I SUPPOSE. HIERARCHY AT THAT POINT, IT BECOMES SOMEWHAT -- IT DOES SEEM 02:51PM 16 17 STILL TO BE A RATHER FANCY WAY OF SAYING WE HAD SOME WORDS THAT 02:51PM 18 WE PUT TOGETHER. 02:51PM 02:51PM 19 THE COURT: AND THAT'S OKAY. BUT THAT CAN BE 02:51PM 20 PROTECTABLE. 02:51PM 21 MR. KWUN: IT COULD THEORETICALLY BE PROTECTABLE, 02:51PM 22 THAT'S TRUE. 02:51PM 23 THE COURT: AND THEN THE TWO-WORD PHRASES HAVE MORE 02:51PM 24 MEANING IF THEY ARE DEVELOPED UNDER THE CONSTRUCT OF A 02:51PM 25 HIERARCHY, BECAUSE THEN IT IS PART OF A MUCH BIGGER CREATIVE

1 02:51PM 2 02:51PM 3 02:51PM 02:51PM 02:51PM 02:51PM 02:52PM 7 8 02:52PM 02:52PM 9 02:52PM 10 02:52PM 11 02:52PM 12 02:52PM 13 02:52PM 14 02:52PM 15 02:52PM 16 17 02:52PM 18 02:52PM 02:52PM 19 20 02:52PM 21 02:52PM 02:52PM 22 02:52PM 23 02:53PM 24 02:53PM 25

PROCESS AS OPPOSED TO FOCUSSING ON THE ONE LINE.

AND SO THEN I WOULDN'T FILTER OUT ANY OF THEM, I WOULD JUST ADOPT OR INSTRUCT THE JURY THAT THE HIERARCHIES, AS YOU SEE THEM, NOT THE IDEA OF A HIERARCHY, BUT THESE HIERARCHIES, ARE PROTECTABLE.

MR. KWUN: SO I THINK THAT'S A DANGEROUS ROUTE TO GO BECAUSE THAT BASICALLY WIPES OUT THE WORDS AND SHORT PHRASES DOCTRINE.

IF THEY SWITCH TACTICS AND TRY TO SAY, WELL, IT HAS TO DO WITH THE HIERARCHICAL STRUCTURE OF WHAT WORD GOES FIRST AND WHAT WORD GOES SECOND, THEN I DON'T NEED TO DEAL WITH THIS LONG STANDING DOCTRINE.

THAT WOULD BE LIKE SAYING WELL, IN MY BOOK, I HAVE A LOT OF SENTENCES THAT START WITH THE WORD I, AND I'M GOING TO CALL THAT A HIERARCHY, AND THEREFORE YOUR ARGUMENT THAT I RUN, I WALK, THAT THOSE ARE ALL SHORT PHRASES IS NO LONGER ANY GOOD.

THE COURT: WELL, WHAT MR. NELSON TOLD ME WAS THEY ARE NOT ASSERTING THE, EACH INDIVIDUAL HIERARCHY OF A PHRASE. THEY ARE ASSERTING THE ENTIRE SHOW HIERARCHY IN IOS AS AN ENTIRETY AS OPPOSED TO EACH LINE OF IT. AND THAT'S DIFFERENT TO ME.

MR. KWUN: SO WHAT YOU HAVE SEEN IN THE BRIEFING THAT HAS BEEN SUBMITTED SO FAR ON THESE HIERARCHIES, I JUST WANT TO MAKE CLEAR THAT THEY HAVE TAKEN A HIERARCHY FOR CISCO AND A HIERARCHY FOR ARISTA, NEITHER OF WHICH EXISTS IN THE ACTUAL

1 02:53PM 2 02:53PM 3 02:53PM 02:53PM 4 02:53PM 6 02:53PM 02:53PM 7 8 02:53PM 02:53PM 9 02:53PM 10 02:53PM 11 02:53PM 12 02:53PM 13 02:53PM 14 02:53PM 15 02:54PM 16 17 02:54PM 18 02:54PM 02:54PM 19 02:54PM 20 02:54PM 21 02:54PM 22 02:54PM 23 02:54PM 24 02:54PM 25

WORLD.

THE COURT: OKAY. SO I KNOW THAT'S BEEN SAID BEFORE AND I JUST READ IT AND I'M ENTIRELY LOST. YOU HAVE TO EXPLAIN THAT TO ME. BECAUSE I MEAN, I UNDERSTAND WHAT THAT MEANS, BUT I'M LOOKING AT THEIR -- THE HIERARCHIES THAT THEY DISCLOSED AS BEING COPIED. AND YOU ARE TELLING ME THEY DON'T EVEN EXIST?

MR. KWUN: THEY EXIST AS SUBSETS OF AN ACTUAL HIERARCHY. AND WHAT I MEAN BY THAT IS THERE ARE A LOT OF COMMANDS THAT A CISCO SWITCH CAN UNDERSTAND THAT START WITH THE WORD SHOW.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. KWUN: AND THERE ARE A LOT OF COMMANDS THAT AN ARISTA SWITCH CAN UNDERSTAND THAT START WITH THE WORD SHOW. AND THERE IS SOME OVERLAP BETWEEN THOSE. BUT THE HIERARCHIES THEY SHOW YOU ARE THE OVERLAP. THEY DON'T SHOW ALL OF THE POSSIBLE SHOW COMMANDS.

AND IF THEY ARE CLAIMING THAT THEIR CREATIVITY WAS IN THEIR HIERARCHY, THE SELECTION OF THE, LET'S SAY 150 ITEMS IN A HIERARCHY, AND THEN WE HAD 130, AND THEY WEREN'T EVEN THE SAME -- THEY WEREN'T EVEN A SUBSET OF THAT, THEY OVERLAP SOMEWHAT, THAT'S REALLY IMPORTANT.

IF INDEED THE CLAIM IS OVER THE HIERARCHY, THE FACT THAT WE ARE NOT THE SAME, PARTICULARLY UNDER A VIRTUAL IDENTICAL STANDARD, THAT'S GOING TO BE VERY IMPORTANT FOR THE JURY.

THE COURT: IT'S A LITTLE BIT LIKE A VIN DIAGRAM YOU

02:54PM	1	ARE SHOWING ME. IS THAT WHAT IT IS?
02:54PM	2	MR. KWUN: IT IS A VIN DIAGRAM. SO IF YOU HAVE THE
02:54PM	3	TWO CIRCLES AND YOU HAVE THE OVERLAP AREA, ALL YOU HAVE BEEN
02:54PM	4	SHOWN SO FAR IS THE OVERLAP WITHOUT ANY INDICATION THAT THERE'S
02:54PM	5	TWO LARGER CIRCLES.
02:54PM	6	THE COURT: SO IT GETS A LITTLE PERPLEXING TO ME,
02:54PM	7	BECAUSE OF COURSE CISCO'S OBLIGATION IS TO SHOW ME THE ELEMENTS
02:54PM	8	THAT THEY ALLEGE HAVE BEEN COPIED AND NOT TO SHOW ME AND BOTHER
02:55PM	9	ME WITH THINGS THAT HAVEN'T BEEN COPIED.
02:55PM	10	MR. KWUN: SURE.
02:55PM	11	THE COURT: SO THIS WAS THEIR JOB. AND IT IS
02:55PM	12	IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND THAT ALL AND YOU KNOW, I'M JUST
02:55PM	13	LOOKING AT A PAGE, I HAPPEN TO BE OPEN TO PAGE 60, THAT IS
02:55PM	14	NEIGHBOR.
02:55PM	15	AND NX-OS, WHAT YOU ARE SAYING IS THAT CISCO HAS A
02:55PM	16	HIERARCHY THAT BEGINS WITH NEIGHBOR. AND IT WOULD PROBABLY
02:55PM	17	HAVE MORE THAN THE 10 OR 8 LISTED SECOND PHRASES, SECOND WORDS.
02:55PM	18	MR. KWUN: QUITE POSSIBLY. AS TO ANY PARTICULAR
02:55PM	19	HIERARCHY, I'M NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO TELL YOU.
02:55PM	20	THE COURT: JUST AS AN EXAMPLE.
02:55PM	21	MR. KWUN: YES. I CAN GIVE YOU ONE EXAMPLE. IF WE
02:55PM	22	CAN SEE SLIDE 38, IT'S ONLY GOING TO ONE DIRECTION.
02:55PM	23	THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT.
02:55PM	24	MR. KWUN: THIS IS ONE OF THE HIERARCHIES THAT THEY
02:55PM	25	HAVE COMPARED.

02:55PM	1
02:55PM	2
02:56PM	3
02:56PM	4
02:56PM	5
02:56PM	6
02:56PM	7
02:56PM	8
02:56PM	9
02:56PM	10
02:56PM	11
02:56PM	12
02:56PM	13
02:56PM	14
02:56PM	15
02:56PM	16
02:56PM	17
02:57PM	18
02:57PM	19
02:57PM	20
02:57PM	21
02:57PM	22
02:57PM	23
02:57PM	24
02:57PM	25

AND SO FIRST OF ALL YOU WILL SEE AT THE TOP, THAT IS THE BGP HIERARCHY FOR IOS, ACCORDING TO CISCO. BUT I WANT TO POINT OUT THAT THAT ENTIRE DIAGRAM IS LAWYER-CREATED, THAT IS NOT OUT OF A MANUAL OR ANYTHING ELSE, THAT IS A DEMONSTRATIVE CREATED BY CISCO'S ATTORNEYS.

AND THEN DOWN AT THE BOTTOM, YOU HAVE THE CORRESPONDING BORDER GATEWAY PROTOCOL HIERARCHY FOR AN ARISTA SWITCH. AND YOU WILL SEE THAT THEY HAVE BLACKED OUT 1, 2, 3, 4 ITEMS IN THERE. AND THE REASON THEY BLACKED THOSE OUT IS BECAUSE THOSE DO NOT EXIST IN THE CISCO HIERARCHY.

SO THAT BLACKED OUT PORTION THERE IS THE MISSING CIRCLE ON THE ARISTA CIRCLE, THE NON-OVERLAPPING PORTION.

AND THE BGP HIERARCHY AS SHOWN AT THE TOP OF THIS, IS AGAIN, THAT AGAIN OMITS ANYTHING THAT WE DID NOT COPY.

THE COURT: BUT THIS IS MORE A MATTER OF AN EVIDENTIARY RULING THAT CISCO CANNOT INTRODUCE AS AN EXHIBIT, A DOCUMENT THAT IS, THAT ONLY PURPORTS TO TAKE A PIECE OF THEIR OWN HIERARCHY. THEY HAVE TO SHOW THEIR HIERARCHY AND SHOW YOUR HIERARCHY AND THEN ARGUE THE COPYING AND SHOW THE OVERLAP TO THE JURY AND ARGUE THE SUBSTANTIALITY OF THE COPYING. ISN'T THAT AN EVIDENTIARY ISSUE?

MR. KWUN: I THINK IT'S CERTAINLY AN EVIDENTIARY ISSUE. I THINK IT'S ALSO A JURY INSTRUCTION ISSUE.

AND ONE OF THE ITEMS THAT WAS ON THE LIST HERE WAS WHAT SOMEWHAT STRANGELY PHRASED, AND IT WAS ITEM 4 THAT WE SKIPPED

02:57PM	1	OVER, THAT'S ACTUALLY WHAT WE ARE DISCUSSING NOW, THE ANY
02:57PM	2	PURPORTED SELECTION OR ARRANGEMENT OF COMMANDS THAT IS NOT
02:57PM	3	GROUNDED IN CISCO'S ACTUAL WORKS.
02:57PM	4	THE COURT: WHAT PAGE ARE YOU ON?
02:57PM	5	MR. KWUN: THIS IS ON PAGE 1 OF THE JOINT SUBMISSION
02:57PM	6	REGARDING ANALYTIC DISSECTION. THAT'S AT ABOUT LINE 18. I
02:57PM	7	THOUGHT THIS WAS WHAT YOU WERE JUST READING FROM
02:57PM	8	THE COURT: WELL, I'VE GONE BACK INTO YOUR DOCUMENT
02:57PM	9	THAT EXPLAINS THEM.
02:57PM	10	MR. KWUN: I SEE.
02:57PM	11	THE COURT: THIS IS 3A-6.
02:58PM	12	MR. KWUN: 3A-6. YES. AND I BELIEVE, I'M JUST GOING
02:58PM	13	OFF THE SUMMARY DOCUMENT, BUT I BELIEVE THE INTENT
02:58PM	14	THE COURT: OKAY. AND I DIDN'T UNDERSTAND THAT AT
02:58PM	15	ALL. SO THANK YOU. THAT'S REALLY HELPFUL.
02:58PM	16	MR. KWUN: BUT THE BASIC NOTION HERE IS THAT THE JURY
02:58PM	17	NEEDS TO UNDERSTAND THAT WHEN THEY ARE BEING ASKED TO EVALUATE
02:58PM	18	THE COPYING OF A SELECTION, THAT THEY NEED TO UNDERSTAND WHAT
02:58PM	19	THEY ARE COMPARING IS THE ENTIRE SELECTION ON ONE SIDE TO THE
02:58PM	20	ENTIRE SELECTION ON THE OTHER SIDE, NOT JUST THE COPIED PART
02:58PM	21	BECAUSE OF COURSE THAT WOULDN'T ANSWER THE QUESTION FOR THEM.
02:58PM	22	THE COURT: AND THAT'S HELPFUL, BECAUSE I ACTUALLY
02:58PM	23	DIDN'T UNDERSTAND THAT. I THOUGHT THESE HIERARCHIES WERE
02:58PM	24	CISCO'S HIERARCHIES, LOCK, STOP AND BARREL FOR SHOW OR FOR
02:58PM	25	SOMETHING ELSE AND IT'S NOT.

02:58PM	1	AND SO ACTUALLY, WHAT'S HERE IN THE IN CISCO'S
02:58PM	2	SUBMISSION, THESE WERE ONLY DEMONSTRATIVE. AND IT WOULD ONLY
02:58PM	3	COME IN AS A DEMONSTRATIVE AND COULDN'T BE ARGUED OTHERWISE.
02:58PM	4	AND SO THE EVIDENCE WOULD ARE HERE'S CISCO'S HIERARCHY FOR
02:58PM	5	SHOW, AND HERE IS ARISTA'S HIERARCHY FOR SHOW, AND THEN HERE'S
02:59PM	6	THE DEMONSTRATIVE THAT SHOWS YOU HOW MUCH OF IT THEY TOOK FROM
02:59PM	7	OURS. IS THAT
02:59PM	8	MR. KWUN: SURE, SURE. I DON'T NEED THEM TO DO THE
02:59PM	9	LAST PART BUT I SUPPOSE THEY WANT TO.
02:59PM	10	THE COURT: SO I'M ONLY DECIDING WHETHER HIERARCHIES
02:59PM	11	IN GENERAL, NOT IN HIERARCHY, IS PROTECTABLE. THAT'S NOT A
02:59PM	12	HARD DECISION FOR ME TO MAKE. I DON'T THINK IT IS. I MEAN,
02:59PM	13	THAT
02:59PM	14	MR. KWUN: ALSO REMEMBER THERE'S TWO THINGS COMMANDS
02:59PM	15	AS WELL AS HIERARCHIES.
02:59PM	16	THE COURT: NOW WE ARE INTO WHETHER THEY ARE TWO
02:59PM	17	THINGS. LET'S JUST STICK WITH THE HIERARCHY FIRST.
02:59PM	18	SO IF I DECIDE THAT THE HIERARCHIES ARE PROTECTED BECAUSE I
02:59PM	19	HAVE REALLY UNDISPUTED EVIDENCE OF THIS PROCESS OF SELECTION
02:59PM	20	AND CONSIDERATION, AND IT'S THE ENTIRETY OF THE SHOW HIERARCHY,
02:59PM	21	I'M JUST USING THAT AS AN EXAMPLE, THERE ARE MANY OF THEM, THEN
02:59PM	22	THE ISSUE OF HOW MUCH OF IT WAS COPIED IS NOT MY CONCERN RIGHT
02:59PM	23	NOW. THAT'S NOT MY CONCERN ON ANALYTIC DISSECTION, CORRECT?
02:59PM	24	MR. KWUN: THAT IS NOT YOUR CONCERN.
03:00PM	25	WHAT WILL BE YOUR CONCERN, AT LEAST TOMORROW, IS HOW WE

03:00PM	1	PHRASE IT TO THE JURY SO THAT THEY CAN PROPERLY ENGAGE IN THAT
03:00PM	2	EXERCISE.
03:00PM	3	THE COURT: WELL, THAT HAS TO DO WITH YOUR DE MINIMUS
03:00PM	4	ISSUES AND YOUR FAIR USE ISSUES.
03:00PM	5	MR. KWUN: AND ALSO JUST GENERAL JURY INSTRUCTIONS TO
03:00PM	6	INFRINGEMENT. CERTAINLY, IT HAS TO DO WITH DE MINIMUS, BUT
03:00PM	7	IT'S GOING TO HAVE TO DO WITH THE VIRTUAL IDENTITY TEST AND
03:00PM	8	WHAT IT IS THEY ARE COMPARING WHEN THEY ARE DOING THIS.
03:00PM	9	THE COURT: IT CERTAINLY WILL.
03:00PM	10	MR. KWUN: BECAUSE OF THE THINGS THEY ARE GOING TO BE
03:00PM	11	HEARING ABOUT IS THAT THEY COPIED OUR HIERARCHY, AND THEY ARE
03:00PM	12	GOING TO NEED TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THAT MEANS.
03:00PM	13	THE COURT: OKAY.
03:00PM	14	WELL, MR. NELSON, IT SEEMS CLEAR TO ME THAT IF YOU WANT TO
03:00PM	15	SUGGEST TO THE JURY THAT YOUR HIERARCHY WAS COPYING, WE NEED TO
03:00PM	16	SEE YOUR HIERARCHY, NOT A PIECE OF YOUR HIERARCHY.
03:00PM	17	AND YOU CAN HIGHLIGHT AND PUT A RED BOX AROUND THE PORTION
03:00PM	18	OF IT THAT YOU ARE ALLEGING WAS COPIED, BUT YOU CAN'T
03:00PM	19	MISREPRESENT WHAT YOUR HIERARCHY IS.
03:00PM	20	MR. NELSON: OH, AGREED, YOUR HONOR. THAT'S WHY, AND
03:00PM	21	I APOLOGIZE IF I DIDN'T MAKE THAT CLEAR, BUT THAT'S WHY I TRIED
03:00PM	22	TO SAY
03:00PM	23	THE COURT: I'M JUST COMING UP TO SPEED ON THIS.
03:00PM	24	MR. NELSON: THAT'S WHY I TRIED TO EMPHASIZE THAT
03:01PM	25	WHAT WE CHOSE TO DO IS ILLUSTRATE THEIR COPYING OF THAT WITH

03:01PM	1	THE ASSERTED COMMANDS.
03:01PM	2	THE COURT: OKAY.
03:01PM	3	MR. NELSON: WE COULD HAVE, YOU KNOW, GONE WELL
03:01PM	4	BEYOND THAT, BUT THEN WE START GETTING INTO A FIGHT LIKE WELL,
03:01PM	5	YOU DIDN'T COPY THESE COMMANDS AS OPPOSED TO THE HIERARCHY. SO
03:01PM	6	I AGREE WITH YOUR HONOR.
03:01PM	7	THE COURT: OKAY.
03:01PM	8	MR. NELSON: BUT I STILL JUST WANT TO MAKE CLEAR
03:01PM	9	THAT, YOU KNOW, THE COMMANDS THEMSELVES, THOSE ARE SEPARATE AND
03:01PM	10	APART FROM THE HIERARCHY, RIGHT.
03:01PM	11	THE COURT: I'M NOT SURE I'M GOING TO AGREE WITH YOU
03:01PM	12	ON IT BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW WHAT CREATIVE PROCESS BEYOND THE
03:01PM	13	HIERARCHY.
03:01PM	14	IT SEEMS LIKE ALL THE CREATIVITY WENT TO DEVELOPING THE
03:01PM	15	HIERARCHY AND THEN WHEN YOU GET TO THE COMMAND, IT IS JUST A
03:01PM	16	RESULT OF THE HIERARCHY.
03:01PM	17	MR. NELSON: NO, THAT'S NOT SO THE WORD CHOICES
03:01PM	18	THAT GO INTO THAT, THAT'S PART OF IT. WHAT WORDS YOU CHOOSE TO
03:01PM	19	PUT INTO THAT.
03:01PM	20	THE COURT: OKAY. BUT NOT THE ORDER OF THEM.
03:01PM	21	THE ORDER OF THEM IS DICTATED BY THE HIERARCHIES.
03:01PM	22	MR. NELSON: NOT ALWAYS, NOT ALWAYS.
03:01PM	23	AND LET ME SHOW YOU SLIDE 26 AGAIN JUST TO CLARIFY THIS
03:01PM	24	POINT WHILE WE ARE ON IT.
03:01PM	25	SO RECALL THESE ARE ACTUAL EXISTING HIERARCHIES THAT ARE IN

1 03:02PM 2 03:02PM 3 03:02PM 03:02PM 4 03:02PM 03:02PM 6 03:02PM 7 03:02PM 8 THE COURT: RIGHT. 03:02PM 9 03:02PM 10 03:02PM 11 03:02PM 12 03:02PM 13 03:02PM 14 03:02PM 15 IP IS A CHILD IN THAT. 03:02PM 16 17 03:03PM 18 03:03PM 03:03PM 19 03:03PM 20 HIERARCHY 03:03PM 21 03:03PM 22 03:03PM 23 03:03PM 24 03:03PM 25

THERE, AND THERE'S TWO REASONS WHY THAT WON'T BE THE CASE.

SO WE HAVE ALL THE WAY TO THE LEFT OF THE SLIDE, AND SLIDE 26 IS SHOWING THE IP HIERARCHY. THERE'S OBVIOUSLY ADDITIONAL CHILDREN THAT WE DIDN'T SHOW FOR PURPOSES OF THE SLIDE.

SO YOU HAVE A NUMBER OF THINGS, THIS WOULD BE ORGANIZED, THIS COMMAND HIERARCHY, WHERE YOUR INITIAL PARENT, YOU KNOW, IS THE IP. AND THEN YOU HAVE THE THINGS THAT YOU CAN DO TO IT WHICH YOU WOULD CALL THE CHILDREN, RIGHT.

MR. NELSON: BUT THEN YOU CAN SEE HERE IN THE "SHOW HIERARCHY," IT ISN'T SET UP AND IT ISN'T DICTATED, BECAUSE REMEMBER, THESE ARE GUIDELINES. THAT YOU NECESSARILY -- ANY TIME YOU ARE DOING SOMETHING WITH THE IP PROTOCOL, YOU GOT TO PUT IT IN THE IP HIERARCHY.

SO IF WE LOOK AT THIS SHOW, FOR EXAMPLE, YOU WILL SEE THAT

SO THAT WAS A CHOICE. IT COULD HAVE BEEN THAT THEY ADDED SHOW TO IP, RIGHT. SO YOU ALWAYS HAVE THAT CREATIVE CHOICE OF WHERE YOU DECIDE TO PUT THE INDIVIDUAL COMMAND WITHIN THE

THE COURT: IT'S SORT OF A CHICKEN AND EGG ISSUE HERE. IT SEEMS TO ME THESE DECISIONS ARE MADE IN THE CONTEXT OF CREATING THE HIERARCHIES.

MR. NELSON: WELL, NO, BECAUSE OFTEN TIMES WHAT WILL HAPPEN IS THE HIERARCHY THEN WILL -- YOU WILL CONTINUE TO ADD

1 03:03PM 2 03:03PM 03:03PM 03:03PM 03:03PM 03:03PM 03:03PM 7 8 03:04PM 03:04PM 9 03:04PM 10 03:04PM 11 03:04PM 12 03:04PM 13 03:04PM 14 03:04PM 15 16 03:04PM 17 03:04PM 18 03:04PM 03:04PM 19 20 03:04PM 21 03:04PM 03:04PM 22 03:04PM 23 03:04PM 24 03:04PM 25

TO THAT AS A HIERARCHY, ONCE YOU HAVE DEVELOPED THOSE COMMANDS.

SO YOU WILL HAVE SOMETHING THE VRRP COMMAND, MAYBE VRRP, IP SECONDARY, AND THEN WHEN YOU DECIDE TO EXPAND THAT, YOU WILL SAY, BEINGOO, I'M GOING TO CREATE A VRRP HIERARCHY, AND I'M GOING TO PUT ADDITIONAL FUNCTIONALITY UNDER THAT.

SO IT ISN'T NECESSARILY THAT THEY RESULT THAT WAY, THE WORD CHOICES AND THE ORDERING IN TERMS OF, AND THEN OF COURSE THESE COMMANDS YOU CAN SEE IF I STICK WITH THE VRRP ONE, YOU KNOW YOU WILL HAVE VRRP DELAY, RELOAD, RIGHT.

SO SETTING ASIDE THE WORD CHOICES THAT WENT IN THERE, AND I GAVE YOU THE EXAMPLE OF HOW FOR EACH OF THOSE WORDS IN DESCRIBING FUNCTIONALITY THERE ARE MANY CHOICES THAT COULD HAVE GONE INTO IT. AND WE WENT THROUGH THE "SHOW INVENTORY" TESTIMONY ON THAT AS WELL WHICH WOULD BE THE TESTIMONY, SO THE WORD CHOICES THEMSELVES.

THE COURT: WORD CHOICE, OKAY.

MR. NELSON: RIGHT.

BUT IN HERE, IN TERMS OF DELAY AND RELOAD, THOSE -- THERE IS NO HIERARCHY THERE, RIGHT. YOU COULD HAVE JUST FLIPPED THOSE AROUND BECAUSE YOU DON'T HAVE A DELAY HIERARCHY, YOU DON'T HAVE A RELOAD HIERARCHY, FROM WHAT YOUR HONOR IS SAYING.

SO THERE ISN'T -- EVEN ONCE I CHOOSE TO SAY I'M GOING TO PUT IT IN VRRP, WHATEVER THIS COMMAND IS, I'M GOING TO EXTEND, FOR EXAMPLE VRRP LET'S JUST SAY I HAD IP SECONDARY, FIRST, NOW I SAY OKAY, WELL I GOT TO DELAY THE RELOAD FUNCTIONALITY.

03:05PM	1	WELL, IT DOESN'T THAT DIDN'T DICTATE THE ORDERING OF
03:05PM	2	THAT. I COULD HAVE PUT, COULD HAVE CREATED A DELAY HIERARCHY.
03:05PM	3	I COULD HAVE SAID VRRP, EVEN IF I USED THE SAME WORD CHOICE
03:05PM	4	BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO COMPLICATE THE ANALYSIS. I ALREADY
03:05PM	5	HAVE CHOSEN DELAY AND RELOAD FOR DESCRIBING THESE THINGS.
03:05PM	6	THE COURT: THAT'S ALL THE PROCESS OF THE CREATIVITY
03:05PM	7	IN THE HIERARCHY. BUT ONCE YOU HAVE A VRRP IP, THAT WILL
03:05PM	8	PRODUCE A COMMAND.
03:05PM	9	MR. NELSON: WELL, IN THIS PARTICULAR, THERE IS NO
03:05PM	10	VRRP IP COMMAND.
03:05PM	11	THE COURT: I SEE, THAT WAS A BAD CHOICE BECAUSE
03:05PM	12	THERE IS A SECONDARY. AND IT'S IP SECONDARY.
03:05PM	13	MR. NELSON: CORRECT.
03:05PM	14	THE COURT: AND BUT YOU HAVEN'T EXPLAINED TO ME
03:05PM	15	THAT THE AUTHORS OR ENGINEERS CREATED A COMMAND AND THEN PUT IT
03:05PM	16	INTO A HIERARCHY, YOU'VE DESCRIBED IT THE OTHER WAY AROUND.
03:05PM	17	MR. NELSON: WELL, WHAT I WAS TRYING TO DO IS TO SAY
03:05PM	18	THE ORDERING, THERE IS SOMETHING TO THE ORDERING AS WELL.
03:06PM	19	SO THERE WILL BE TESTIMONY THAT THAT IS THE CASE, RIGHT.
03:06PM	20	THE COURT: SO IT SEEMS TO ME MORE LIKELY THEN THAT
03:06PM	21	WHAT YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT IS THAT HIERARCHIES ARE CREATED AND
03:06PM	22	IT'S AN EVOLVING PROCESS, AND WHEN YOU GET TO THE SELECTION OF
03:06PM	23	SHOW IP, IT COULD BE IP SHOW. YOU COULD HAVE DONE IT EITHER
03:06PM	24	WAY.
03:06PM	25	AND IN FACT, YOU COULD STILL DO IT BOTH WAYS, NOTHING WOULD

03:06PM	1
03:06PM	2
03:06PM	3
03:06PM	4
03:06PM	5
03:06PM	6
03:06PM	7
03:06PM	8
03:06PM	9
03:07PM	10
03:07PM	11
03:07PM	12
03:07PM	13
03:07PM	14
03:07PM	15
03:07PM	16
03:07PM	17
03:07PM	18
03:07PM	19
03:07PM	20
03:07PM	21
03:07PM	22
03:07PM	23
03:07PM	24
03:07PM	25

PREVENT DOING IT BOTH WAYS AND CREATING, YOU HAVE SHOW IP AND THEN SOMETHING ELSE HERE IN THE SHOW HIERARCHY, BUT NOTHING TELLS ME THAT WHEN THE ENGINEERS WERE CREATING THE IP HIERARCHY THEY COULDN'T HAVE PUT SHOW AS THE SECONDARY WORD.

MR. NELSON: WELL, NO, THEY COULD HAVE, BUT THAT'S PART OF THE POINT, RIGHT.

SO YOU HAVE SEVERAL CHOICES WITH RESPECT TO THAT. LET'S SET ASIDE THE WORD CHOICE CREATIVITY FOR A MOMENT BECAUSE THERE ARE CREATIVITY AND THAT WILL BE THE TESTIMONY THERE IS CREATIVITY WITH RESPECT TO EACH OF THESE INDIVIDUAL WORD CHOICES, RIGHT, IN TERMS OF WHAT COMBINATION I PICK.

BUT EVEN ONCE YOU'RE GIVEN THAT, SO IT MAY BE THAT THE DESIGNERS AND THE STATE OF THE UI AT THAT POINT, THERE IS A SHOW HIERARCHY, RIGHT. AT SOME POINT IN TIME.

AND YOU MAY SAY I WANT TO ADD TO THE SHOW HIERARCHY. SO I'M GOING TO PUT SHOW AS THE FIRST WORD IN THIS COMMAND, RIGHT. THAT MAY BE THE CASE.

ALSO, AS YOU SEE HERE, YOU MAY BE, BECAUSE I'M WORKING ON THE IP PROTOCOL AND THERE EITHER EXISTS AN IP PROTOCOL OR LET'S SAY THERE'S A POINT IN TIME WHERE THERE WAS NO IP HIERARCHY, YOU COULD DECIDE I WANT TO PUT IP AS THE FIRST COMMAND, EITHER BECAUSE I WANT TO CREATE A NEW IP HIERARCHY OR I WANT TO GO TOTALLY OUTSIDE THE EXISTING HIERARCHIES.

SAME WITH THE VRRP THAT YOU CAN SEE HERE. SO THERE IS A CHOICE. AND YOU CAN, YOU CAN HAVE THESE THINGS OUTSIDE, LET'S

1 03:07PM 2 03:08PM 3 03:08PM 03:08PM 03:08PM 6 03:08PM 03:08PM 8 03:08PM 9 03:08PM 10 03:08PM 03:08PM 11 03:08PM 12 13 03:08PM 14 03:08PM 03:08PM 15 16 03:08PM 17 03:08PM 18 03:08PM 03:09PM 19 03:09PM 20 21 03:09PM 22 03:09PM 23 03:09PM 24 03:09PM 25 03:09PM

SAY I DECIDED, YOU KNOW WHAT, RELOAD IS REALLY THE IMPORTANT THING HERE, I COULD GO RELOAD, DELAY VRRP, RIGHT. I COULD DO THAT. AND I UNDERSTAND --

THE COURT: BUT I UNDERSTAND ALL OF THAT. AND I'M WITH YOU ON THE CREATIVE PROCESS THAT GOES INTO THESE HIERARCHIES, I'M NOT REALLY STRUGGLING WITH THAT.

THE QUESTION IS ARE YOU DOUBLE COUNTING? AND THE JURY HEARING THAT THE HIERARCHY IS CREATIVE AND THEN HEARING FROM YOU SEPARATELY, AND EACH OF THESE COMMAND LINES IS CREATIVE, AND WHEN YOU ADD IT ALL TOGETHER, NOW WE ARE REALLY TALKING COPYING. WHEN IN FACT, WE REALLY SHOULD LOOK AT IT AS THE CREATIVE EFFORT THAT WENT INTO THE HIERARCHIES THEMSELVES, AND SOME HIERARCHIES HAVE ONLY ONE COMMAND LINE THAT IS PRODUCED BY THEM, AND IT CAN CHANGE OVER TIME, BUT WE ARE LOOKING BACKWARDS HERE.

MR. NELSON: SO WITH THAT, AND I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOUR HONOR IS SAYING, AND THAT'S WHY -- THAT'S WHY WE TRY TO USE THE EXAMPLES OF HOW THE HIERARCHIES EXIST INDEPENDENTLY, WHICH IS WHAT WE WOULD TALKING ABOUT, NOT A RECOUNTING OF THE COMMANDS, THAT COULD BE CLEAR THAT'S NOT WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT.

WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THE ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE SUCH THAT WE KNOW WHEN YOU GO IN YOU TYPE SHOW QUESTION MARK AND YOU GET BECAUSE THAT IS A HIERARCHICAL STRUCTURE WITHIN THERE, YOU GET ALL THE THINGS YOU CAN DO UNDER THAT.

03:09PM	1	THE COURT: BUT TO ME, YOU ARE GETTING INTO THE IDEA
03:09PM	2	OF HELP DESCRIPTIONS AS TO IF YOU PUT SHOW AND QUESTION MARK IT
03:09PM	3	TELLS YOU WHAT YOUR OPTIONS ARE. AND I'M A LITTLE BIT I
03:09PM	4	THINK THAT TAKES YOU A STEP BACKWARDS.
03:09PM	5	MR. NELSON: I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU ARE SAYING BUT
03:09PM	6	THAT'S WHY, IT'S NOT THE IDEA OF THAT, THAT IS AN
03:09PM	7	IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SHOW HIERARCHY WHICH THEY DID IN THE SAME
03:09PM	8	WAY.
03:09PM	9	THE COURT: SO SHOW QUESTION MARK PRODUCES THIS
03:09PM	10	HIERARCHY THAT IS LONGER THAN WHAT I'VE SEEN HERE BUT IT
03:09PM	11	PRODUCES THE WHOLE LENGTHY
03:09PM	12	MR. NELSON: THAT'S EXACTLY RIGHT. THAT'S WHAT WE
03:09PM	13	WOULD BE SAYING.
03:09PM	14	SO THE COMMANDS, WE ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT TRYING TO DOUBLE
03:09PM	15	COUNT AND SAY OH, LOOK, WE HAVE 506 COMMANDS AND THESE
03:10PM	16	HIERARCHIES ARE ANOTHER, YOU KNOW, LOOK AT ALL THESE COMMANDS.
03:10PM	17	IT'S THE HIERARCHICAL STRUCTURE. WE HAVE TO FIGURE OUT EXACTLY
03:10PM	18	HOW TO LIMIT THAT, BECAUSE I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOUR HONOR IS
03:10PM	19	SAYING.
03:10PM	20	THE COURT: I'M NOT SURE IT'S DIFFERENT IN THE
03:10PM	21	PROCESS OF CREATING THE HIERARCHICAL STRUCTURE AS CREATING THE
03:10PM	22	COMMAND LINE.
03:10PM	23	MR. PAK: SO YOUR HONOR, IF I MAY.
03:10PM	24	MR. NELSON SHOWED THE SHOW INVENTORY EXAMPLE, THAT IS ONE
03:10PM	25	IS WE PICKED TOGETHER SPECIFICALLY TO ILLUSTRATE TWO THINGS.

1 03:10PM 2 03:10PM 3 03:10PM 03:10PM 4 03:10PM 03:10PM 03:10PM 8 03:10PM 9 03:10PM 03:10PM 10 03:11PM 11 03:11PM 12 03:11PM 13 03:11PM 14 03:11PM 15 03:11PM 16 17 03:11PM 18 03:11PM 03:11PM 19 20 03:11PM 21 03:11PM 03:11PM 22 03:11PM 23 03:11PM 24 03:11PM 25

ONE IS THERE WAS A HIERARCHICAL CHOICE AS TO WHETHER WHEN YOU ARE DISPLAYING THIS INFORMATION ABOUT THE PART NUMBERS AND THE SERIAL NUMBERS AND THE SWITCHES, SWITCH EQUIPMENT THAT YOU ARE USING, DO YOU USE THE SHOW HIERARCHY, DO YOU CREATE SOMETHING CALLED MODULE AT THE VERY BEGINNING, BECAUSE NOW YOU WANT TO HAVE HIERARCHY, THOSE ARE HIERARCHICAL CHOICES.

BUT THE REASON WHY WE PICKED THAT EXAMPLE IS EVEN AFTER YOU MADE THE HIERARCHICAL DECISION TO GO WITH THE SHOW HIERARCHY AND OTHER TYPES OF CREATIONS OF NEW HIERARCHIES, HE STILL HAD IMPORTANT DECISIONS TO MAKE ABOUT THE WORD CHOICE SPECIFICALLY.

THE COURT: THE WORD CHOICE IS, I THINK, PERSUASIVE.

MR. PAK: AND SO IF YOU THINK ABOUT THAT, IT'S NOT JUST THE CREATIVE SPARK IN DECIDING DO I USE AN EXISTING HIERARCHY, IF SO, WHICH ONE, AND ALSO POSSIBLY CREATE A NEW HIERARCHY, THAT'S THE HIERARCHICAL SPARK. THERE'S ALSO THE CREATIVE SPARK, IN MANY INSTANCES, OF WHAT ARE THE WORDS THEY USE.

AND WHAT WAS REALLY INTERESTING ABOUT MR. REMAKER'S TESTIMONY IS HE ACTUALLY TALKS ABOUT POTENTIAL CONFLICTS WITH THE EXISTING HIERARCHIES THAT IF YOU USE THE CERTAIN WORD LIKE IDENTITY, BECAUSE THERE WERE OTHER TYPES OF SECURITY FEATURES IN THE SYSTEM. HE SAID IF I USE SHOW IDENTITY, ALL OF THE INDUSTRY STANDARD DOCUMENTS TALK ABOUT IDENTITY AS THE TERM FOR THAT TYPE OF STRUCTURE. THAT MIGHT START TO CREATE CONFUSION WITH THE EXISTING TERMINOLOGY.

03:11PM	1	THE COURT: SO I GUESS ONE OF MY PROBLEMS HERE IS
03:11PM	2	THAT YOU ARE NOT CLAIMING PROTECTABLITY OF ANY INDIVIDUAL WORD.
03:11PM	3	SO IT'S ONLY THE PROCESS OF COMBINING WORDS.
03:12PM	4	MR. PAK: CORRECT.
03:12PM	5	THE COURT: AND SO WORD "CHOICE" IS NO LONGER, IS IT
03:12PM	6	REALLY ON THE TABLE, WORD CHOICE?
03:12PM	7	MR. PAK: ABSOLUTELY, YOUR HONOR.
03:12PM	8	THE COURT: BUT THEN YOU ARE SEEKING PROTECTION OF
03:12PM	9	THE WORD INVENTORY.
03:12PM	10	MR. PAK: NO. WE ARE SAYING WORD CHOICE IN THE
03:12PM	11	SEQUENCING, THAT'S WHY THE "SHOW INVENTORY" IS SO IMPORTANT,
03:12PM	12	BECAUSE ONCE YOU DECIDED THAT HE'S GOING TO PUT IT UNDER
03:12PM	13	"SHOW," HE REALIZED THAT SHOW COMMAND IS SUCH A BROAD COMMAND
03:12PM	14	THAT COVERS ALL KIND OF FUNCTIONALITY.
03:12PM	15	IF YOU PICK THE INDUSTRY STANDARD TONE OF IDENTITY
03:12PM	16	THE COURT: THE CHOICE THAT'S MADE ONCE YOU HAVE SHOW
03:12PM	17	SELECTED.
03:12PM	18	MR. PAK: EXACTLY.
03:12PM	19	THE COURT: BUT WHY ISN'T THAT PART OF THE HIERARCHY?
03:12PM	20	MR. PAK: BECAUSE THAT IS THE WORD CHOICE.
03:12PM	21	THAT IS THE WORD CHOICE WE ARE TALKING ABOUT BECAUSE YOU
03:12PM	22	KNOW THE HIERARCHY IS GOING TO BE SHOW. YOU KNOW, IT'S THE
03:12PM	23	SECOND LEVEL IN THE HIERARCHY. BUT BECAUSE SHOW HAS SUCH BROAD
03:12PM	24	FUNCTIONALITY, IT COVERS ALL KINDS OF FEATURES IN THE SYSTEM.
03:12PM	25	IF HE HAS SELECTED THE WORD IDENTITY, EVEN IF IT THAT COMES

1 03:12PM 2 03:12PM 3 03:13PM 03:13PM 03:13PM 03:13PM 03:13PM 7 8 03:13PM 03:13PM 9 03:13PM 10 03:13PM 11 03:13PM 12 03:13PM 13 03:13PM 14 03:13PM 15 03:13PM 16 17 03:13PM 18 03:13PM 03:14PM 19 03:14PM 20 21 03:14PM 03:14PM 22 03:14PM 23 03:14PM 24 03:14PM 25

FROM AN INDUSTRY STANDARD DOCUMENT, THAT WOULD CAUSE CONFLICT OR CONFUSION OF THE MIND OF THE USER BECAUSE "SHOW" CAN BE USED WITH A LOT OF DIFFERENT FEATURES.

HAD HE DECIDED A DIFFERENT HIERARCHY, IF HE SAID MODULE, I HAVE NO DOUBT THAT HE COULD HAVE DONE MODULE AND THEN "IDENTITY" IN THE CONTEXT OF A MODULE, HIERARCHY SELECTION, THE WORD CHOICE "IDENTITY" COULD HAVE MADE MORE SENSE.

SO THE WORD CHOICES, AS YOU BUILD OUT, THESE COMBINATIONS, ARE BEING MADE IN THE CONTEXT OF HIERARCHY. AND WE HAVE, YOUR HONOR, I HAVE, WE DON'T HAVE THAT TIME TODAY. BUT I HAVE E-MAILS OF DEBATES AND PEOPLE ARGUING ABOUT THESE VERY ISSUES THAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT.

WE CREATE A NEW HIERARCHY, IF WE DO, WHAT IS IT, IF WE USE AN EXISTING HIERARCHY, WHAT WORD DO WE USE. OH, NO, YOU CAN'T USE THAT WORD BECAUSE NOW WE ARE IN THE "SHOW HIERARCHY," AND SO IT MAY CAUSE CONFUSION WITH OTHER WORDS OR CONCEPTS THAT ARE UNDER THAT GENERAL UMBRELLA WHICH IS THE SHOW HIERARCHY.

SO THIS IS AGAIN GOING BACK TO WE REALLY CAN'T LOOK AT THINGS IN ABSTRACT AND SAY ALTHOUGH WE'VE DONE IT FOR CONVENIENCE SAKE BECAUSE THE LEGAL ARGUMENTS ARE STRUCTURED IN UPPER TERMS OF THESE CATEGORIES.

WE CAN LOOK AT COMMAND EXPRESSIONS, THE HIERARCHY, THE DOCUMENTATION AND SO FORTH. BUT THE CREATIVE PROCESS DOESN'T WORK THAT WAY. THE CREATIVE PROCESS IS SAYING WHAT ARE SOME HIERARCHICAL DECISIONS I NEED TO MAKE, WHAT ARE SOME WORD

03:14PM	1	CHOICES I NEED TO MAKE. WHAT ARE THE OTHER TYPES OF THINGS
03:14PM	2	THAT GO INTO THE OVERALL STRUCTURE AND ORGANIZATION? AND WE
03:14PM	3	REALLY CAN'T IGNORE ALL OF THAT HISTORICAL RECORD.
03:14PM	4	THE COURT: SO THEN DO WE INSTRUCT THE JURY THAT THE
03:14PM	5	COMMANDS, THE PROTECTABLE ELEMENT IS THE WORD CHOICE?
03:14PM	6	MR. PAK: WE COULD SAY THAT, YOUR HONOR.
03:14PM	7	AND I THINK IF YOUR HONOR WERE TO GIVE A SEPARATE
03:14PM	8	INSTRUCTION ON THE HIERARCHY, WE COULD TALK ABOUT THAT AS WELL.
03:14PM	9	BUT I REALLY THINK WHEN YOU HEAR THE TESTIMONY YOU WILL
03:14PM	10	HEAR, AND I'M HAPPY TO GO THROUGH IT AGAIN AS I MENTIONED,
03:14PM	11	THERE'S A LOT OF TESTIMONY, A LOT OF DOCUMENTS THAT TALK ABOUT
03:14PM	12	THE INTERPLAY BETWEEN THESE HIERARCHICAL CHOICES, THE WORD
03:15PM	13	CHOICES AND ALL OF THAT.
03:15PM	14	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE NEED TO TAKE A BREAK, IT'S
03:15PM	15	JUST GOTTEN TO BE TOO LONG FOR THE COURT REPORTER.
03:15PM	16	MR. NELSON: CAN WE PICK UP RIGHT THERE, BECAUSE I
03:15PM	17	HAVE A SUGGESTION.
03:15PM	18	THE COURT: YES, WE CAN. LET'S TAKE A TEN-MINUTE
03:15PM	19	BREAK.
03:15PM	20	(WHEREUPON A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
03:27PM	21	THE COURT: YOU KNOW, MR. NELSON, LET ME JUST PICK UP
03:27PM	22	BECAUSE WE WERE GOING TO PICK UP WHERE WE LEFT OFF BEFORE THE
03:27PM	23	BREAK.
03:27PM	24	I DON'T WANT TO MISCONSTRUE THE PROCESS THAT CISCO ENGAGED
03:27PM	25	IN, AND SO WHERE IT MIGHT SEEM LOGICAL TO ME THAT THE HIERARCHY

03:27PM	1	CAME FIRST AND THE COMMAND LINE WAS A BYPRODUCT, THAT MIGHT NOT
03:27PM	2	BE CORRECT.
03:27PM	3	SO OF COURSE I'M IN THE DARK HERE, AND I DO SEE OVERLAP, SO
03:27PM	4	I REALLY WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU WHETHER I'VE GOT THE PROCESS
03:27PM	5	BACKWARDS, PERHAPS.
03:27PM	6	MR. NELSON: WELL, IN CERTAIN INSTANCES AND OTHER
03:28PM	7	INSTANCES THE HIERARCHY WOULD BE THERE AND THEN THERE'S A
03:28PM	8	CHOICE MADE AS TO WHETHER YOU ARE GOING TO BE CONSISTENT.
03:28PM	9	SO IN OTHER WORDS, THERE WAS NO HARD AND FAST RULE WITH
03:28PM	10	RESPECT TO THAT. AND SO IT ISN'T REALLY, IT'S NOT A CHICKEN
03:28PM	11	AND AN EGG ISSUE BECAUSE THERE WOULDN'T ALWAYS BE A CHICKEN AND
03:28PM	12	THERE WOULDN'T ALWAYS BE AN EGG, I DON'T KNOW IF THAT MAKES
03:28PM	13	SENSE. BUT YOU KNOW WHAT I'M SAYING?
03:28PM	14	THE COURT: I DO, YEAH.
03:28PM	15	MR. NELSON: SO THAT, THAT WOULD BE THE EVIDENCE WITH
03:28PM	16	RESPECT TO THIS. BUT LET ME EXPLORE A LITTLE BIT OF
03:28PM	17	YOUR HONOR'S CONCERN HERE AND THEN MAYBE I CAN ADDRESS SOME OF
03:28PM	18	IT.
03:28PM	19	SO IT SEEMS LIKE, AND SURE WE ARE GOING TO ARGUE ABOUT IT,
03:28PM	20	BUT IN TERMS OF THE CREATIVITY HIERARCHIES AND DECIDING, HAVING
03:28PM	21	THAT GO INTO HOW YOU DECIDE THESE STRUCTURES AND HOW IT BECOMES
03:28PM	22	MORE INTUITIVE FOR AN ENGINEER, IT SEEMS LIKE YOU ARE FINE WITH
03:28PM	23	ALL OF THAT AS A CONCEPT.
03:28PM	24	THE COURT: YEAH.
03:28PM	25	MR. NELSON: THAT'S NOT A PROBLEM.

1 03:28PM 2 03:28PM 3 03:29PM 03:29PM 03:29PM 03:29PM 03:29PM 8 03:29PM 03:29PM 9 03:29PM 10 03:29PM 11 03:29PM 12 13 03:29PM 03:29PM 14 03:29PM 15 16 03:29PM 17 03:29PM 18 03:29PM 03:29PM 19 20 03:30PM 21 03:30PM 03:30PM 22 03:30PM 23 24 03:30PM 03:30PM 25

THE ONLY QUESTION IS, AS PRESENTED, AND IF I LOOKED AT THAT PROTECTABLITY FILING, YOU LOOK AT IT AND YOU SAY OKAY, YOU'VE GOT THESE 506 COMMANDS, I THINK THAT'S THE RIGHT NUMBER, THEY ARE ALL LISTED HERE, RIGHT. AND THEN YOU HAVE THE HIERARCHIES LISTED, AND IT'S MOST OF THE 506 COMMANDS AGAIN, AND NOW YOU ARE GOING TO PRESENT THAT TO THE JURY.

AND NOW TO THE JURY IT LOOKS LIKE, WELL, WAIT A MINUTE, THAT'S TWICE AS MUCH STUFF, RIGHT.

SO I UNDERSTAND THAT, AND THAT'S WHY I WAS TRYING TO EXPLAIN WHAT THE DIFFERENCE IS. BUT THE -- WOULD IT BE MORE COMFORTABLE FOR YOUR HONOR IF WHAT WE DID IN TERMS OF THE EVIDENCE ABOUT HIERARCHY, RATHER THAN ASSERTING IT AS AN INDEPENDENT STANDING ALONE ELEMENT, BUT ASSERTED THAT AS PART OF THE EVIDENCE OF THE CREATIVITY AND THE PROCESS THAT GOES INTO THE COMMAND STRUCTURES THAT ARE THERE, AND YOU KNOW THEY CAN EXPLAIN WHEN THEIR COMMANDS, WHEN THEY DECIDED DON'T PUT IN ANY HIERARCHY AT ALL.

BUT I DON'T KNOW IF THAT KIND OF THING WOULD TAKE CARE OF THE CONCERN THAT YOUR HONOR IS RAISING BECAUSE IT STRIKES ME THAT WE ARE HAVING THE DISCUSSION, BOTH THE DISCUSSION YOU HAD WITH ME AND WITH MR. KWUN THAT IT ISN'T SO MUCH THE IDEA OF THE HIERARCHIES AND YOUR SPECIFIC HIERARCHIES, IT IS, WAIT A MINUTE, IS THAT REALLY NOW YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT THAT AS PART OF THE PROCESS OF THE COMMANDS AND THE CREATION AND THE ORIGINALITY OF THE COMMANDS AND NOW YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT IT

03:30PM	1	AGAIN IN THE CONTEXT OF THE HIERARCHY. AND I'M THINKING MAYBE
03:30PM	2	TO THE JURY THAT SOUNDS LIKE TOO MUCH.
03:30PM	3	AND SO DOES THAT
03:30PM	4	THE COURT: I THINK THAT DOES. I WILL WANT TO HEAR
03:30PM	5	FROM MR. KWUN. I THINK THAT REALLY ADDRESSES PART OF HIS
03:30PM	6	ARGUMENT.
03:30PM	7	I MEAN, I KNOW THERE ARE OTHER PARTS TO IT, MR. KWUN, BUT I
03:30PM	8	THINK, I'VE ADDRESSED, THROUGH AN EVIDENTIARY CONSIDERATION,
03:30PM	9	HOW THE HIERARCHY WILL BE PRESENTED TO THE JURY SO THAT THEY
03:30PM	10	DON'T THINK THAT THE SHORTER LIST IS CISCO'S PROTECTABLE
03:30PM	11	PRODUCT.
03:30PM	12	MR. KWUN: YOUR HONOR, I THINK BETWEEN THE TWO OF
03:30PM	13	THOSE
03:30PM	14	MR. VAN NEST: WELL, WAIT A MINUTE, I'M CONFUSED
03:30PM	15	ABOUT WHAT WAS OFFERED. THAT'S NOT WHAT WAS OFFERED, I DON'T
03:31PM	16	THINK, YOUR HONOR.
03:31PM	17	THE COURT: NO, THAT WAS A DIFFERENT ISSUE.
03:31PM	18	MR. VAN NEST: YEAH. I JUST WANT TO UNDERSTAND
03:31PM	19	WHAT'S BEEN OFFERED.
03:31PM	20	WHAT I UNDERSTAND THAT'S BEEN OFFERED IS, EFFECTIVELY, YOU
03:31PM	21	FILTER OUT THE HIERARCHIES, THEY ARE NOT A SEPARATE CATEGORY
03:31PM	22	BUT THEY CAN PRESENT EVIDENCE OF CREATION OF THE HIERARCHIES AS
03:31PM	23	PART OF THEIR OVERALL CREATIVITY, BUT THEY DON'T HAVE
03:31PM	24	HIERARCHIES AS A SEPARATE BUILDING BLOCK IN THE FIGHT. THAT'S
03:31PM	25	WHAT I UNDERSTOOD MR. NELSON TO BE OFFERING.

03:31PM	1	THE COURT: THAT'S WHAT I UNDERSTOOD WITH MUCH OF THE
03:31PM	2	EVIDENCE OF, THIS IS THE HIERARCHY, THIS IS PART OF OUR
03:31PM	3	PROCESS, BUT OFFERED NOW AS PART OF A FOURTH BUILDING BLOCK,
03:31PM	4	NOT A FOURTH AND FIFTH SEPARATE BUILDING BLOCK.
03:31PM	5	MR. NELSON: YEAH, EXACTLY.
03:31PM	6	I DON'T THINK THE ONLY CLARIFICATION I WOULD MAKE THERE,
03:31PM	7	I DON'T THINK IT'S A FILTERING IN THE SENSE OF THAT, BECAUSE
03:31PM	8	FILTERING TO ME IS HERE'S SOMETHING THAT EVIDENCE THAT YOU
03:31PM	9	CAN'T PRESENT.
03:31PM	10	THE COURT: I'M NOT FILTERING. YOU MODIFIED HOW YOU
03:31PM	11	ARE PRESENTING IT SO THAT I DON'T NEED TO FILTER IT BY
03:31PM	12	ADDRESSING THE DEFENSE CONCERNS.
03:31PM	13	MR. NELSON: EXACTLY.
03:32PM	14	THE COURT: OKAY. AND I THINK IT ALL COMES OUT THE
03:32PM	15	SAME FOR MR. VAN NEST BECAUSE NOW THERE WILL BE FOUR BUILDING
03:32PM	16	BLOCKS, EVIDENCE OF THE ROLE OF HIERARCHIES IN CREATING COMMAND
03:32PM	17	LINES, BUT THEY WON'T BE ASKED WHETHER THERE WAS COPYING OF A
03:32PM	18	HIERARCHY, PER SE.
03:32PM	19	MR. VAN NEST: RIGHT.
03:32PM	20	AND WE WON'T BE THERE WILL BE NO VERDICT QUESTION ON IT,
03:32PM	21	THERE WILL BE NO YEAH.
03:32PM	22	MR. NELSON: WE WEREN'T
03:32PM	23	MR. VAN NEST: IT'S NOT AN ELEMENT IN THEIR
03:32PM	24	COPYRIGHTED WORK AT THIS POINT.
03:32PM	25	MR. NELSON: WE WEREN'T PROPOSING VERDICT ELEMENTS ON

03:32PM	1	THE
03:32PM	2	THE COURT: YOU WEREN'T DOING THAT ANY WAY.
03:32PM	3	MR. PAK: RIGHT. THAT WON'T BE ON OUR VERDICT FORM,
03:32PM	4	FOR SURE.
03:32PM	5	MR. VAN NEST: YOUR HONOR, AS LONG AS WE'VE LOST TO
03:32PM	6	BUILDING BLOCK, I DON'T CARE
03:32PM	7	THE COURT: IT'S A GOOD DAY FOR YOU, MR. VAN NEST.
03:32PM	8	MR. VAN NEST: WHETHER YOU CALL IT FILTERING OR
03:32PM	9	WHATEVER YOU WANT.
03:32PM	10	MR. NELSON: WELL REALLY, I MEAN, IT'S A MATTER
03:32PM	11	THE COURT: IF I KEEP YOU HERE ALL DAY, I CAN BEAT
03:32PM	12	YOU DOWN AND GET YOU SO EXHAUSTED.
03:32PM	13	MR. NELSON: NO, BUT IT'S A MATTER OF HOW WE PRESENT
03:33PM	14	TO TAKE CARE OF THE CONCERNS.
03:33PM	15	THE COURT: AND TO ME, MR. KWUN MADE A REALLY
03:33PM	16	EXCELLENT POINT ABOUT MAYBE PLUMPING UP THE PROTECTED ELEMENTS
03:33PM	17	AND DOUBLE COUNTING.
03:33PM	18	AND I THINK THIS AND AS I SAY, I DON'T THINK ANY OF THE
03:33PM	19	EVIDENCE WILL BE PARTICULARLY DIFFERENT. BUT WHAT THE JURY IS
03:33PM	20	ASKED TO DO WITH IT WILL BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT.
03:33PM	21	OKAY. THEN LET'S MOVE ON.
03:33PM	22	MR. VAN NEST: WE HAVE A MODES AND PROMPTS,
03:33PM	23	YOUR HONOR, THAT WE WANTED TO ADDRESS.
03:33PM	24	THE COURT: OKAY.
03:33PM	25	MR. FERRALL: I'M GOING TO TOUCH UPON THIS. I THINK

1 03:33PM 2 03:33PM 3 03:33PM 03:33PM 03:33PM 03:33PM 03:34PM 7 03:34PM 8 03:34PM 9 03:34PM 10 03:34PM 11 03:34PM 12 03:34PM 13 03:34PM 14 03:34PM 15 03:34PM 16 17 03:34PM 18 03:34PM 03:34PM 19 03:35PM 20 03:35PM 21 03:35PM 22 03:35PM 23 03:35PM 24 03:35PM 25

THIS WILL BE THANKFULLY BRIEFER THAN THE OTHER CATEGORIES BECAUSE I THINK THE ISSUE HAS BEEN DISTILLED QUITE A BIT.

I THINK THE COURT'S PROCESS OF WHEREBY YOU ASKED CISCO TO IDENTIFY THE PROTECTABLE EXPRESSION GOES A LONG WAY IN THIS.

AND I WANT TO START THERE AND JUST CLARIFY A COUPLE OF THINGS. SO THIS IS IN THE SAME SET OF SLIDES WE HANDED UP THIS MORNING. THIS IS AN EXCERPT FROM CISCO'S IDENTIFICATION OF THE PROTECTABLE EXPRESSION. AND THEY REPEATED THIS CHART MULTIPLE TIMES FOR EACH OF THE VARIOUS FLAVORS, IOS XR, ET CETERA. BUT IT'S BASICALLY THE SAME THING.

AND I FOUND THIS HELPFUL, THEY CLAIM NO PROTECTION IN INDIVIDUAL MODES, IN PROMPTS. THEY CLAIM PROTECTION IN THE PARTICULAR ARRANGEMENT.

AND FRANKLY, I WAS GOING TO COME IN TODAY AND SAY, WELL, THIS THEORETICALLY IS INTERESTING BUT THEY HAVEN'T DESCRIBED WHAT THE ARRANGEMENT IS OR WHAT THE EXPRESSIVE CONTENT IS IN THAT ARRANGE: AND THAT'S STILL THE CASE IN THE DISCLOSURE, THEY HAVEN'T SAID WHAT THE ARRANGEMENT IS.

SO MR. NELSON HELPED THAT PROCESS ALONG BY SHOWING AND EXPLAINING WHAT HE MEANS BY THE ARRANGEMENT. BUT YOUR HONOR, I THINK IT'S CLEAR FROM THAT EXPLANATION THAT WHAT HE IS CLAIMS AS AN ARRANGEMENT IS ACTUALLY A METHOD OF OPERATION WHICH COPYRIGHT LAW DOES NOT PROTECT.

IN OTHER WORDS, ON THE SCREEN, FIRST OF ALL IT'S IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND ON THE USER SCREEN, THESE WORDS DON'T EXIST,

OKAY. 1 03:35PM SO WHAT IS DESCRIBED AS THE MODES OR THE MODE NAMES, THAT'S 2 03:35PM UNDER THE HOOD. THAT'S CONCEPTUAL ABOUT THE WAY THAT THE 3 03:35PM 03:35PM 4 SYSTEM OPERATES. THE PROMPT APPEARS ON THE SCREEN, THE ONE, THE POUND SIGN. 03:35PM BUT THE MODE NAMES DON'T APPEAR ON THE SCREEN. AND UNDER THE 03:35PM 03:35PM 7 HOOD, WHAT WE'VE HEARD IS THAT THE ARRANGEMENT THAT IS ASSERTED AS PROTECTED BY COPYRIGHT IS, I THINK MR. NELSON'S WORDS WERE, 8 03:35PM 03:36PM 9 WELL, A SPECIFIC RELATIONSHIP, HOW THEY INTERRELATE, AND I 03:36PM 10 THINK HE DESCRIBED IT AS A PATH, A PATH OF OPERATION. IN OTHER WORDS, GOING FROM ONE MODE TO THE NEXT MODE TO THE 03:36PM 11 03:36PM 12 NEXT MODE. 03:36PM 13 NOW THAT SEEMS CLEAR TO ME TO BE AN UN PROTECTABLE METHOD 03:36PM 14 OF OPERATION OR FUNCTION OF THE PROGRAM, NOT SOMETHING 03:36PM 15 EXPRESSIVE. INDEED, I WOULD SAY IF THAT'S PROTECTED UNDER COPYRIGHT, 03:36PM 16 17 THEN IT SEEMS LIKE CISCO IS ASKING COPYRIGHT TO PREVENT 03:36PM 18 COMPETITORS FROM HAVING MODES THAT YOU PROCEED FROM ONE TO THE 03:36PM 03:36PM 19 NEXT TO THE NEXT. 20 AND I GUESS EVERY OTHER COMPETITOR HAS TO HAVE MODES AT THE 03:36PM SAME LEVEL. BUT YOU GET INTO THIS SORT OF CRAZY WORLD C 21 03:36PM 03:36PM 22 COPYRIGHT NOW --03:37PM 23 THE COURT: LET ME, MAYBE MR. NELSON WILL HAVE TO 03:37PM 24 ANSWER THIS, BUT WE'VE GOT USER EXEC, PRIVILEGE EXEC, GLOBAL 03:37PM 25 CONFIGURATION AND INTERFACE CONFIGURATION. AND EACH OF THOSE

03:37PM	1	MODES STANDS FOR A SET OF OPERATIONS OR SOMETHING, I'M NOT
03:37PM	2	ACTUALLY SURE WHAT IT STANDS FOR, THAT IS WHAT THEY'RE CLAIMING
03:37PM	3	IS COPYRIGHTED, THE SPECIFIC, YOU DON'T THINK SO.
03:37PM	4	MR. FERRALL: WELL, LET'S GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE.
03:37PM	5	THE COURT: THIS IS THE ONE I DIDN'T UNDERSTAND. SO
03:37PM	6	I'M STILL LISTENING.
03:37PM	7	MR. FERRALL: YEAH, LET'S GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE.
03:37PM	8	CISCO, THE COURT NEED NOT ADDRESS WHETHER THE IDEA OF
03:37PM	9	MAKING CERTAIN COMMANDS AVAILABLE ONLY IN CERTAIN MODES IS
03:37PM	10	PROTECTABLE. CISCO MAKES NO CLAIM TO THAT.
03:37PM	11	AND I THINK, I SUBMIT YOU CAN LOOK UP AND DOWN IN ECF 552,
03:37PM	12	THE DISCLOSURE OF PROTECTABLE EXPRESSION, THERE'S NO RECITATION
03:37PM	13	THAT UNDER CERTAIN, THE EXEC MODE, HERE ARE THE COMMANDS THAT
03:38PM	14	ARE AVAILABLE, AND UNDER THERE'S NO CLAIM TO THAT.
03:38PM	15	SO THAT'S WHY I GO BACK TO THE COURT'S PROCESS, WHICH IS
03:38PM	16	VERY HELPFUL. I MEAN, YOU MADE IT CLEAR WE'VE GOT TO LIVE BY
03:38PM	17	THE RULES, DISCLOSE WHAT YOU CLAIM IS PROTECTED AND THEN WE ARE
03:38PM	18	GOING TO DEAL WHERE THAT. AND NOW WE ARE DEALING WITH THAT.
03:38PM	19	AND WHAT THEY SAID WAS THIS CHART, THIS CHART THAT'S AN
03:38PM	20	ARRANGEMENT, THEY DIDN'T EVEN DISCLOSE THE ARRANGEMENT IN 552,
03:38PM	21	THEY JUST SAID THE ARRANGEMENT AND NOW WE HEAR THE ARRANGEMENT
03:38PM	22	IS A PROCESS.
03:38PM	23	AND I JUST THINK THAT'S PRETTY CLEAR UNDER 102(B),
03:38PM	24	YOUR HONOR, THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT FILTRATION IS ABOUT,
03:38PM	25	DETERMINING THAT COPYRIGHT DOESN'T PROTECT THE FUNCTIONALITY OF

03:38PM	1	A PROGRAM THAT SAYS, WELL, WE ARE GOING TO HAVE DIFFERENT MODES
03:38PM	2	AND WE ARE GOING TO PROCEED STEP-BY-STEP THROUGH THEM. THAT
03:38PM	3	PROVIDES A PATENT-LIKE MONOPOLY ON THE WAY YOU PROCEED FROM ONE
03:38PM	4	MODE TO ANOTHER.
03:38PM	5	AND CLEARLY, COPYRIGHT DOESN'T PROTECT THAT. SO I THINK
03:38PM	6	THAT IS WHERE WE ARE ON MODES AND PROMPTS
03:39PM	7	THE COURT: OKAY. THEN I GUESS I NEED TO HEAR AND
03:39PM	8	AGAIN, I'M STILL STRUGGLING TO UNDERSTAND WHAT IT IS YOU ARE
03:39PM	9	SEEKING PROTECTION OF. SO HELP ME OUT HERE.
03:39PM	10	MR. NELSON: OKAY. I WILL GIVE IT A SHOT.
03:39PM	11	SO WE ARE NOT CLAIMING THE IDEA, RIGHT. AND WE ARE NOT
03:39PM	12	CLAIMING THE IDEA JUST AS WE SAID IN THE PAPERS, WE ARE NOT
03:39PM	13	TRYING TO HIDE THE BALL THERE OF THE IDEA THAT THERE MIGHT BE
03:39PM	14	LESS COMMANDS AVAILABLE IN ONE MODE VERSUS ANOTHER, RIGHT.
03:39PM	15	IT'S NOT THAT WAY.
03:39PM	16	WHAT WE ARE CLAIMING IS USER INTERFACE, THIS ASPECT OF THE
03:39PM	17	USER INTERFACE. SO THE SEQUENCE, STRUCTURE AND ORGANIZATION OF
03:39PM	18	THE PROGRAM IS SOMETHING THAT UNDER JOHNSON CONTROLS WE COULD
03:39PM	19	CLAIM.
03:39PM	20	SO IF I HAVE SLIDE I THINK IT'S SLIDE 30. AND WE WILL
03:39PM	21	TEST MY MEMORY.
03:39PM	22	THE COURT: WELL SEPARATING OUT WHAT THE A METHOD IS
03:39PM	23	AS OPPOSED TO A SEQUENCE IS A LITTLE BIT DIFFICULT.
03:39PM	24	MR. NELSON: A LITTLE BIT, RIGHT. I THINK
03:39PM	25	MR. FERRALL IS TALKING ABOUT, HE USED THE WORD PATENT-LIKE

03:40PM	1	MONOPOLY. LIKE SAYING HEY, IF YOU HAVE A MODE THAT YOU CAN GO
03:40PM	2	INTO AND THEN GO INTO ANOTHER MODE AND THEN GO INTO ANOTHER
03:40PM	3	MODE AND GO INTO ANOTHER MODE, THAT'S MY
03:40PM	4	THE COURT: THAT'S JUST A PROGRESSION, THAT WOULD BE
03:40PM	5	A METHOD.
03:40PM	6	MR. NELSON: THAT'S A METHOD, RIGHT.
03:40PM	7	THAT'S NOT WHAT WE ARE CLAIMING AT ALL. WE ARE CLAIMING,
03:40PM	8	THIS IS A USER INTERFACE, THESE SPECIFIC THE WAY THEIR USER
03:40PM	9	INTERFACE WAS DESIGNED COPIES OUR, WHICH IS THEY HAVE THESE
03:40PM	10	MODES, THE PROMPTS ASSOCIATED WITH THEM, EXACTLY THE SAME, AND
03:40PM	11	THEY INTERRELATE, THAT'S WHAT WE ARE SAYING, THE RELATIONSHIP
03:40PM	12	BETWEEN THOSE FOUR MODES OF OPERATION IS IDENTICAL, RIGHT.
03:40PM	13	AND WE ARE ENTITLED TO CLAIM THAT UNDER COPYRIGHT BECAUSE
03:40PM	14	THAT'S HOW THE USER INTERFACE EXCUSE ME, THE USER INTERFACE
03:40PM	15	IS EXPRESSED.
03:40PM	16	THE COURT: WELL, SO LET'S LOOK AT YOUR COMMAND MODE.
03:40PM	17	SO THOSE ARE JUST LABELS THAT REPRESENT INFORMATION OR OTHER
03:41PM	18	COMMANDS THAT CAN BE OBTAINED IN THAT MODE.
03:41PM	19	MR. NELSON: THAT'S WHY WE'VE ASSOCIATED THE PROMPTS
03:41PM	20	WITH THEM.
03:41PM	21	THE COURT: RIGHT.
03:41PM	22	MR. NELSON: SO YOU HAVE THAT.
03:41PM	23	SO IN THE DOCUMENTATION AND SUCH, IT EXPLAINS WHAT THOSE
03:41PM	24	PROMPTS ARE ASSOCIATED WITH. SO IT'S THOSE SAME MODES. THOSE
03:41PM	25	DON'T APPEAR ON THE SCREEN, THAT'S TRUE. THAT'S WHAT THEY'RE

03:41PM	1	NAMED AND THAT'S HOW THEY ARE DESCRIBED IN THE USER
03:41PM	2	DOCUMENTATION. BUT YOU HAVE THAT SAME SET OF, EXCUSE ME, THE
03:41PM	3	SAME SET OF MODES OF OPERATION, EXACTLY THE SAME FOUR, YOU
03:41PM	4	HAVE I MEAN, THEY HAVE ADDITIONAL ONES TOO, BUT WE ARE NOT
03:41PM	5	CLAIMING THOSE THINGS, JUST LIKE THEY HAVE OTHER COMMANDS AND
03:41PM	6	WE ARE NOT CLAIMING THOSE.
03:41PM	7	THE COURT: BUT IS IT THE TITLE USER EXEC THAT YOU
03:41PM	8	ARE CLAIMING IS PROTECTABLE?
03:41PM	9	MR. NELSON: NO, IT'S THAT THOSE MODES, THAT I HAVE
03:41PM	10	THOSE MODES, RIGHT, THEY HAVE THE PROMPTS EXACTLY THE SAME
03:41PM	11	PROMPTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE MODES AND THOSE FOUR MODES ARE
03:41PM	12	INTERRELATED IN EXACTLY THE SAME MANNER. THAT'S WHAT WE ARE
03:42PM	13	CLAIMING, WHICH IS THE SEQUENCE STRUCTURE AND OPERATION OF THE
03:42PM	14	USER INTERFACE.
03:42PM	15	THE COURT: WHAT'S USER EXEC? I'M SORRY.
03:42PM	16	MR. NELSON: USER EXEC IS A PARTICULAR MODE OF
03:42PM	17	OPERATION. IT'S REPRESENTED IN THE USER INTERFACE BY THE
03:42PM	18	CARROT SIGN. IT WILL HAVE THE ROUTER NAME AND CARROT SIGN.
03:42PM	19	THE COURT: OKAY. I UNDERSTAND. YOU PUNCH IN THE
03:42PM	20	CARROT AND YOU GET YOUR END USER EXEC.
03:42PM	21	MR. NELSON: CORRECT.
03:42PM	22	THE COURT: AND USER EXEC GIVES YOU, YOU ARE IN A
03:42PM	23	PARTICULAR MODE OF OPERATION THAT ALLOWS YOU TO DO CERTAIN
03:42PM	24	THINGS.
03:42PM	25	MR. NELSON: THAT'S CORRECT.

03:42PM	1	THE COURT: ARE YOU CLAIMING PROTECTABILITY OF WHAT
03:42PM	2	YOU CAN DO IN THE USER EXEC?
03:42PM	3	MR. NELSON: NO.
03:42PM	4	THE COURT: JUST THE TITLE USER EXEC.
03:42PM	5	MR. NELSON: THE PROMPT ASSOCIATED WITH IT.
03:42PM	6	THE COURT: AND THE PROMPT ASSOCIATED WITH IT?
03:42PM	7	MR. NELSON: RIGHT. AND THEY HAVE THOSE MODES.
03:42PM	8	THE COURT: AND NOT JUST THAT ONE BY ITSELF, BUT THE
03:42PM	9	COMBINATION OF ALL FOUR.
03:42PM	10	MR. NELSON: CORRECT. THE INTERRELATIONSHIP OF ALL
03:42PM	11	FOUR.
03:42PM	12	THE COURT: SO IT'S REALLY JUST, SO IT'S REALLY
03:42PM	13	CLAIMING THE LABELING.
03:42PM	14	MR. NELSON: I MEAN, IN A WAY, YEAH. LABELING THE
03:43PM	15	PROMPTS THAT ARE ASSOCIATED WITH THOSE MODES, RIGHT, AND THE
03:43PM	16	INTERRELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE
03:43PM	17	THE COURT: BUT THERE'S ONLY ONE PROMPT ASSOCIATED
03:43PM	18	WITH THAT MODE AM I CORRECT.
03:43PM	19	MR. NELSON: WITH EACH PARTICULAR MODE, THAT'S
03:43PM	20	CORRECT.
03:43PM	21	THE COURT: WITH EACH ONE.
03:43PM	22	OKAY. SO IT'S A PROMPT GETS YOU INTO SOMETHING CALLED USER
03:43PM	23	EXEC.
03:43PM	24	MR. NELSON: WHEN YOU GET INTO USER EXEC, YOU WILL
03:43PM	25	GET THAT PROMPT.

03:43PM	1	THE COURT: WHEN YOU ARE IN USER EXEC, YOU GET THE
03:43PM	2	PROMPT OF THE CARROT?
03:43PM	3	MR. NELSON: CORRECT.
03:43PM	4	THE COURT: I THOUGHT YOU USE THE CARROT TO GET TO
03:43PM	5	USER EXEC.
03:43PM	6	MR. NELSON: NO, YOU LOG IN.
03:43PM	7	SO THAT'S FIRST THING YOU HAVE TO DO IS YOU HAVE TO LOG IN.
03:43PM	8	YOU WILL LOG IN AND IT WILL GET YOU INTO THAT MODE. IT WILL
03:43PM	9	GET YOU THE NAME, SWITCH, DATE, IT'S A GOOD NAME, THEN THAT
03:43PM	10	WILL GIVE YOU THE CARROT SIGN, RIGHT, AND THEN YOU CAN GO FROM
03:43PM	11	THERE.
03:43PM	12	THE COURT: SO A PROMPT, IS THAT WHEN YOU GET THE
03:43PM	13	CARROT SIGN, WHAT DO YOU DO?
03:43PM	14	MR. NELSON: THEN YOU CAN TYPE IN, YOU COULD TYPE
03:43PM	15	COMMANDS, YOU KNOW, YOU COULD DO
03:43PM	16	THE COURT: SO YOU COULD STAY RIGHT THERE IF YOU
03:43PM	17	WANT.
03:43PM	18	MR. NELSON: YOU CAN. YOU CAN DO CERTAIN THINGS
03:43PM	19	THE COURT: AND THEN YOU USE A PASSWORD TO GET TO THE
03:44PM	20	NEXT ONE IF YOU ARE ELIGIBLE, IF YOU HAVE THE PASSWORD.
03:44PM	21	MR. NELSON: THAT'S CORRECT.
03:44PM	22	WITH THE COMMAND, AND THEN SO ON AND SO ON WITH THE OTHERS.
03:44PM	23	BUT YOU HAVE TO BE IN ONE TO GET TO THE OTHER.
03:44PM	24	THE COURT: SO IF YOU NOT IN USER EXEC, THERE'S NO
03:44PM	25	PASSWORD THAT GETS YOU INTO PRIVILEGED EXEC?

03:44PM	1	MR. NELSON: CORRECT.
03:44PM	2	THE COURT: SO IT'S THAT SEQUENCE YOU ARE CLAIMING?
03:44PM	3	MR. NELSON: YES. WHICH IS AN ELEMENT OF THE USER
03:44PM	4	INTERFACE.
03:44PM	5	SO IT'S NOT IT'S NOT A METHOD OF OPERATION. IT'S NOT
03:44PM	6	IT'S ACTUALLY, IT'S PRETTY STRAIGHTFORWARD, RIGHT. AND THERE'S
03:44PM	7	THOUSANDS OF DIFFERENT WAYS YOU COULD HAVE DONE IT BUT YOU
03:44PM	8	DECIDED TO DO IT THE SAME WAY BECAUSE YOU WANT YOU WANTED TO
03:44PM	9	LOOK JUST LIKE CISCO, SO IT COULD BE A DROP IN REPLACEMENT.
03:44PM	10	SO THAT'S THE REASON FOR THIS. SO IT'S KIND OF THE
03:44PM	11	OPPOSITE OF PATENT LIKE. THEY COULD MAKE AN EASY CHANGE HERE
03:44PM	12	AND NOT DO THIS.
03:44PM	13	THE COURT: UH-HUH. I MEAN, IF THEY JUST CALLED IT
03:45PM	14	SOMETHING ELSE OR USED A DIFFERENT PROMPT.
03:45PM	15	MR. NELSON: YEAH, THEY MAY VERY WELL BE ALL RIGHT.
03:45PM	16	THE COURT: OKAY. BUT PART OF WHAT YOU ARE CLAIMING
03:45PM	17	IS PROTECTED IS THIS SEQUENCE OF, YOU CAN ONLY GET TO PRIVILEGE
03:45PM	18	EXEC ONCE YOU ARE ALREADY IN USER EXEC, THAT'S PART OF THE
03:45PM	19	SEQUENCE. THERE'S NO RANDOM ACCESS TO THESE.
03:45PM	20	MR. NELSON: CORRECT. THAT'S WHAT WE ARE TALKING
03:45PM	21	ABOUT, ABOUT THE ARRANGEMENT.
03:45PM	22	THE COURT: OKAY. YOU CALL IT OKAY. AN
03:45PM	23	ARRANGEMENT OR A SEQUENCE.
03:45PM	24	MR. NELSON: YES.
03:45PM	25	MR. PAK: AND YOUR HONOR, WE PROVIDED SOME EVIDENCE

	I	
03:45PM	1	AND MR. NELSON SHOWED YOU ON SLIDE 32 OF HIS PRESENTATION.
03:45PM	2	IN OUR KNOW CONFIGURATION DOCUMENTATION WE SAY
03:45PM	3	UNDERSTANDING COMMAND MODES, THE CLI COMMAND MODE STRUCTURE IS
03:45PM	4	HIERARCHICAL.
03:45PM	5	SO HE TALKS ABOUT, ALTHOUGH WE TALKED ABOUT HIERARCHY IN
03:45PM	6	TERMS OF THE COMMAND WORDS, YOU CAN SEE THE HIERARCHY HERE IN
03:46PM	7	THE MODES AS WELL IN THE SENSE YOU START WITH USER EXEC AT THE
03:46PM	8	TOP, FROM THERE IF YOU GET INTO THAT BY LOGGING IN PROPERLY,
03:46PM	9	YOU CAN THEN GET INTO THE OTHER MODES BY ISSUING SUBSEQUENT
03:46PM	10	COMMANDS.
03:46PM	11	SO THERE'S A TOP TO BOTTOM HIERARCHY THAT ALLOWS YOU TO GET
03:46PM	12	IN. AND IT'S NOT RANDOM ACCESS WHERE YOU CAN JUST COME IN AND
03:46PM	13	ENTER INTO A MODE.
03:46PM	14	THAT'S PART OF THE ARRANGEMENT WE REFERRED TO, OUR EXPERT
03:46PM	15	TALKS ABOUT THAT, AND WE THINK THAT'S AN ELEMENT THAT WAS
03:46PM	16	COPIED SO THAT'S PART OF OUR USER INTERFACE.
03:46PM	17	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
03:46PM	18	MR. NELSON: THANK YOU.
03:46PM	19	THE COURT: MR. SILBERT, SO NOW SORRY,
03:46PM	20	MR. FERRALL.
03:46PM	21	MR. FERRALL: IF I CAN YOUR HONOR, A COUPLE OF
03:46PM	22	IMPORTANT POINTS.
03:46PM	23	AGAIN, I THINK CISCO AND ARISTA REALIZES THE IMPORTANCE OF
03:46PM	24	PLAYING BY THE RULES. AND WHEN MR. PAK GETS UP AND CITES A
03:46PM	25	BUNCH OF COMMAND REFERENCE GUIDES AS NOW THAT'S WHAT THEIR

1 03:46PM 2 03:46PM 3 03:46PM 03:47PM 03:47PM 03:47PM 03:47PM 7 8 03:47PM 9 03:47PM 10 03:47PM 03:47PM 11 03:47PM 12 13 03:47PM 14 03:47PM 03:47PM 15 16 03:47PM 17 03:47PM 18 03:47PM 03:48PM 19 20 03:48PM 21 03:48PM 22 03:48PM 23 03:48PM 24 03:48PM

25

03:48PM

EXPRESSION IS, I THINK WE ALL KNOW THAT THAT'S NOT THE RULES THAT WE ARE PLAYING BY.

WHAT THE ARRANGEMENT IS, WHAT WE HEARD IS THE ARRANGEMENT IS A SEQUENCE, AND A SEQUENCE, THE NINTH CIRCUIT HAS MADE CLEAR IN THE BIKRAM CASE, IS NOT PROTECTED. THERE MAY BE A BOOK ABOUT THE SEQUENCE THAT'S PROTECTED, BUT THE SEQUENCE IS NOT PROTECTED.

AND THE NAMES OF THESE MODES, TWO OF THEM ARE ADMITTEDLY COPIED FROM PRIOR OPERATING SYSTEMS. NAMES, TWO-WORD NAMES ARE, EVEN BY CISCO AN ADMISSION OF DISCUSSION OF THE WORDS AND SHORT PHRASES DOCTRINE, THEY DON'T DESERVE PROTECTION, THE NAME OF A MODE, I DON'T THINK THEY ARE REALLY CLAIMING MUCH ABOUT THE NAME OF THE MODE.

BUT AT THE END OF THE DAY WHAT THEY ARE SAYING IS THAT NO ONE ELSE CAN HAVE A SERIES OF UNDEFINED MODES, BECAUSE THEY HAVEN'T SAID THAT THESE MODES HAVE A CERTAIN CHARACTERISTIC THAT'S PROTECTED, BUT NO ONE CAN HAVE A FOUR UN DEFINED MODES WITH THESE TWO-WORD NAMES, TWO OF THEM WE COPIED FROM SOMEONE ELSE. THAT'S WHAT THEY ARE SAYING THIS COPYRIGHT CLAIM IS ABOUT. AND THAT JUST DOESN'T GO TO A JURY.

THE COURT: WELL I HAVE TO GO BACK AND READ THE BIKRAM YOGA CASE, I HAVEN'T READ IT FOR A WHILE.

MR. SILBERT: I HAD A HOUSEKEEPING ISSUE, I KNOW IT'S BEEN A LONG DAY, BUT I HAD TWO POINTS TO MAKE.

AND WHAT ONE IS, I SAT DOWN PREVIOUSLY AND I WAS CONTENT

03:48PM	1
03:48PM	2
03:48PM	3
03:48PM	4
03:48PM	5
03:48PM	6
03:48PM	7
03:48PM	8
03:48PM	9
03:48PM	10
03:49PM	11
03:49PM	12
03:49PM	13
03:49PM	14
03:49PM	15
03:49PM	16
03:49PM	17
03:49PM	18
03:49PM	19
03:49PM	20
03:49PM	21
03:49PM	22
03:49PM	23
03:49PM	24
03:49PM	25

WHERE BECAUSE WHERE I THOUGHT WE HAD ENDED UP ON NOT CLAIMING PROTECTION AND INDIVIDUAL WORDS, IT WAS MORE THAN WE WANTED OR ASKED FOR, ET CETERA.

THE COURT: YEAH.

MR. SILBERT: I THEN HEARD A LOT OF ARGUMENT TO THE EFFECT THAT THIS IS CREATIVE BECAUSE WE PICKED THE WORD INVENTORY. WE PICKED THAT PARTICULAR WORD WHICH IS NOT CONSISTENT WITH AT LEAST WHERE I THOUGHT WE HAD ENDED UP ON NOT, THE IDEA THAT THERE'S NOT GOING TO BE A CLAIM OF CREATIVITY IN AN INDIVIDUAL WORD.

THE COURT: THAT'S RIGHT.

MR. SILBERT: I DON'T KNOW WHERE THAT LEAVES US.

THE COURT: WELL, IT'S NOT WRITTEN IN THE PAPERS AND CISCO HAS MADE IT CLEAR THEY ARE NOT CLAIMING PROTECTION IN AN INDIVIDUAL WORD.

MR. SILBERT: OKAY. AND THANK YOU WITH THAT.

SO HERE'S THE HOUSEKEEPING PROCEDURE ISSUE AND SEAN THIS IS THE ISSUE WE DISCUSSED BEFORE. WHICH IS IT RELATES TO THE DISCLOSURE OF EXHIBITS IN ADVANCE OF WITNESS TESTIMONY.

YOUR HONOR'S RULE, WE UNDERSTAND, IS THAT THE PARTIES EXCHANGE OR AT LEAST IDENTIFY THE EXHIBITS THEY ARE GOING TO USE WITH THE WITNESS IN ADVANCE. THE OTHER PARTY CAN THEN FILE A SHORT, IF ANY, BRIEF WITH OBJECTIONS AND WE FULLY UNDERSTAND YOUR HONOR'S ADMONITION ABOUT WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN TO ALL OF US IF WE TAKE A LOT OF YOUR TIME TO RESOLVE THESE OBJECTIONS.

03:49PM	1	THE COURT: I TAKE YOUR TIME, IS WHAT IT COMES DOWN
03:49PM	2	TO.
03:49PM	3	MR. SILBERT: EXACTLY, IT'S OUR TIME.
03:49PM	4	AND I THINK PROBABLY MOST IMPORTANTLY, WE ARE NOT TAKING
03:49PM	5	THE JURY'S TIME TOO.
03:49PM	6	THE COURT: THAT'S RIGHT.
03:49PM	7	MR. SILBERT: TO TRY TO RESOLVE A LOT OF OBJECTIONS
03:50PM	8	IN REALTIME.
03:50PM	9	WE HAD TALKED ABOUT A LIMITED EXCEPTION TO THAT PROCEDURE,
03:50PM	10	ASSUMING YOUR HONOR WOULD ACCEPT IT, BUT THERE'S KIND OF A
03:50PM	11	DISAGREEMENT BETWEEN US ABOUT HOW FAR THAT EXCEPTION WOULD GO.
03:50PM	12	CISCO WANTS A BROADER EXCEPTION, ONE THAT I THINK
03:50PM	13	THE COURT: SO WHAT'S THE
03:50PM	14	MR. SILBERT: SO HERE IT IS, IT RELATES TO CROSS
03:50PM	15	EXHIBITS.
03:50PM	16	AND THE EXCEPTION WOULD BE, WOULD YOU DISCLOSE IN ADVANCE
03:50PM	17	OR NOT, EXHIBITS ON CROSS-EXAMINATION. THERE ARE SOME
03:50PM	18	PRACTICAL REALITIES HERE.
03:50PM	19	THE COURT: THAT'S REALLY HARD.
03:50PM	20	MR. SILBERT: ONE OF THEM IS WE TALKED ABOUT, WE ARE
03:50PM	21	GOING TO CALL SOMEBODY, SAY, WE TELL CISCO TWO DAYS IN ADVANCE,
03:50PM	22	HERE'S THE PERSON WE ARE GOING TO CALL, WE IDENTIFY THE
03:50PM	23	EXHIBIT.
03:50PM	24	THE NEXT DAY THEY FILE THEIR OBJECTIONS TO THE EXHIBITS.
03:50PM	25	BUT THEY'VE ONLY HEARD ON, IF WE ARE CALLING THEM ON WEDNESDAY,

03:50PM	1	THEY'VE HEARD ON MONDAY NIGHT WE ARE CALLING THEM AND WE FILE
03:50PM	2	THE THINGS ON TUESDAY NIGHT.
03:50PM	3	THEY DON'T KNOW THE SCOPE YET OF WHAT WE ARE GOING TO ASK
03:51PM	4	THE PERSON, THEY DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO CROSS THE
03:51PM	5	PERSON ABOUT. THEY HAVE LESS TIME THAN WE DO TO COLLECT THE
03:51PM	6	EXHIBITS.
03:51PM	7	SO THAT MADE SENSE TO US, AND I THINK I CAN SAY THAT AT
03:51PM	8	LEAST THAT FAR, MADE SENSE TO CISCO TOO AS AN EXCEPTION.
03:51PM	9	THAT PART WOULD BE AN AGREED PROPOSAL TO YOUR HONOR AS AN
03:51PM	10	EXCEPTION TO THE PROCEDURE.
03:51PM	11	THE COURT: SO HOW WOULD I WHEN WOULD YOU HAVE THE
03:51PM	12	OPPORTUNITY TO SUBMIT YOUR OBJECTIONS TO THE CROSS-EXAMINATION
03:51PM	13	EXHIBITS?
03:51PM	14	MR. SILBERT: OUR PROPOSAL, AND YOU MAY NOT LIKE IT,
03:51PM	15	BUT OUR PROPOSAL WAS WITH THAT LIMITED SET OF EXHIBITS, IT
03:51PM	16	WOULD BE ON THE FLY.
03:51PM	17	THE COURT: SO I'M MORE THAN GLAD TO DO THAT AND, YOU
03:51PM	18	KNOW THE CLOCK DOESN'T STOP. AND SO YOU ARE TAKING THAT ON
03:51PM	19	YOURSELVES, WHICH IS FINE WITH ME. IT'S REALLY FINE. AND SO I
03:51PM	20	DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT.
03:51PM	21	AND SO IN CERTAIN WAYS, THAT DOES HELP. I RECOGNIZE THE
03:51PM	22	VAST DIFFICULTY OF ORGANIZING YOUR CROSS-EXAMINATION, SOME OF
03:52PM	23	IT'S DONE AND SOME OF IT CHANGES.
03:52PM	24	MR. SILBERT: WE DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO
03:52PM	25	ASK. WE ARE LIMITED TO WHAT THEY ASK AND WE DON'T KNOW WHAT

03:52PM	1	THE COURT: AND YOU MAY PUT TOGETHER A BINDER WITH
03:52PM	2	MANY EXHIBITS THAT I NEVER NEED TO RULE ON. AND SO THERE'S
03:52PM	3	BEEN A LOT OF TIME DEVOTED TO ARGUING EXHIBITS THAT YOU CHOOSE
03:52PM	4	NOT TO USE. BECAUSE MOST WITNESSES GET BINDERS LIKE THIS AND
03:52PM	5	SMALL AMOUNTS THAT ARE ACTUALLY GOING TO BE USED AND THEY JUST
03:52PM	6	NEED TO BE PREPARED.
03:52PM	7	AND I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT. AND I WILL DO I
03:52PM	8	MEAN, THE BURDEN FALLS ON YOU TO MAKE IT CLEAR WHAT YOU ARE
03:52PM	9	ARGUING AT THE TIME BECAUSE OF COURSE I DON'T KNOW YOUR
03:52PM	10	EXHIBITS AND I WON'T HAVE SEEN THEM.
03:52PM	11	MR. SILBERT: YES.
03:52PM	12	SO HERE'S THEN WHERE THERE'S A DISAGREEMENT. CISCO'S
03:52PM	13	POSITION WOULD BE THAT SAME RULE, THE NO DISCLOSURE IN ADVANCE
03:52PM	14	PROCEDURE, WOULD APPLY IF THEY CALL SOMEONE, SAY AN ARISTA
03:52PM	15	EMPLOYEE, IN THEIR CASE. IT'S NOT STRICTLY CROSS, IT'S THEY'RE
03:52PM	16	CALLING THE PERSON.
03:52PM	17	THE COURT: I DON'T SEE THAT WORKING.
03:52PM	18	MR. SILBERT: WE DON'T EITHER.
03:52PM	19	THE COURT: BECAUSE I THINK THEY HAVE ALL THE TIME,
03:53PM	20	THEY ARE CALLING THE WITNESS, NOTIFYING YOU THAT WITNESS WILL
03:53PM	21	BE COMING. I THINK THAT'S MORE ORDERLY. FRANKLY, YOU SHOULD
03:53PM	22	PROTECT YOUR OWN CLOCK HERE.
03:53PM	23	SO I WOULD NOT THINK THAT THAT WOULD BE THE SAME CATEGORY.
03:53PM	24	BUT CERTAINLY ON THE CROSS-EXAMINATION, HOPEFULLY IT WILL
03:53PM	25	REDUCE THE NUMBER OF OBJECTIONS BECAUSE YOU WILL ALSO BE

03:53PM	1	PARADING YOUR OBJECTION IN FRONT OF THE JURY, WHICH MAY MODIFY
03:53PM	2	YOUR WILLINGNESS TO DO THAT.
03:53PM	3	AND THEN WE DO THEM AT SIDEBAR OR WE EXCUSE THE JURY. AND
03:53PM	4	IT'S RARE THAT WE EXCUSE THE JURY FOR A DISCUSSION OF AN
03:53PM	5	EXHIBIT. SO I'M SURE THAT WON'T BE A PROBLEM.
03:53PM	6	MR. SILBERT: OKAY. THAT'S ALL I HAD.
03:53PM	7	THANK YOU VERY MUCH, YOUR HONOR.
03:53PM	8	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THAT TAKES CARE OF THAT.
03:53PM	9	ALL RIGHT. WELL, WE WORKED THROUGH QUITE A BIT TODAY THAT
03:53PM	10	I THINK WILL BE FOUND IN OUR JURY INSTRUCTIONS AS WE TALK ABOUT
03:53PM	11	THEM TOMORROW.
03:53PM	12	MR. VAN NEST: SOUNDS GREAT, YOUR HONOR.
03:53PM	13	THE COURT: WELL, THANK YOU.
03:53PM	14	YOU HAVE ALL BEEN VERY PATIENT WITH ME IN HELPING ME TO
03:53PM	15	UNDERSTAND THIS.
03:53PM	16	I THINK BACK TO A LOT OF COMMENTS MADE BY JUDGE ALSUP WHEN
03:54PM	17	HE GRAPPLED WITH THIS, IT'S VERY CHALLENGING FOR A JUDGE TO
03:54PM	18	UNDERSTAND YOUR CASE BEFORE WE'VE HEARD THE EVIDENCE. AND SO
03:54PM	19	I'M PLAYING CATCH UP, AND YOU'VE REALLY HELPED ME QUITE A BIT.
03:54PM	20	TOMORROW IF WE COULD ASSEMBLE AT 9:30. I HAVE A TRO
03:54PM	21	TOMORROW MORNING THAT I NEED TO DO AT 9:00. I DON'T NEED YOU
03:54PM	22	TO BE WAITING.
03:54PM	23	AND IT'S MY PREFERENCE TO DO THAT IN CHAMBERS
03:54PM	24	OFF-THE-RECORD. IF THAT'S NOT WORKING FOR YOU, WE CAN COME
03:54PM	25	BACK AND BE ON THE RECORD, BUT IT REALLY SLOWS THINGS DOWN.

03:54PM	1	MR. VAN NEST: IT WORKS FOR US, YOUR HONOR. I THINK
03:54PM	2	I'M SPEAKING FOR EVERYBODY.
03:54PM	3	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU FOR THAT.
03:54PM	4	GOOD. AND MR. PAK YOU, YOU HAVE YOUR OWN VERSION OF JURY
03:54PM	5	INSTRUCTION NUMBER 12?
03:54PM	6	MR. PAK: YES, WE DO, YOUR HONOR.
03:54PM	7	LET US TAKE A LOOK AT WE DIDN'T HAVE A CHANCE TO FULLY
03:54PM	8	CONSIDER YOUR COMMENTS IN LIGHT OF WHAT THEY FILED. THERE'S A
03:54PM	9	POSSIBILITY THAT WE COULD WORK OFF THEIR MODEL AND MAYBE
03:54PM	10	FURTHER
03:54PM	11	THE COURT: MAYBE I WILL JUST LEAVE THAT FOR THE
03:54PM	12	MORNING. AND I READ IT, BUT I DIDN'T HAVE ANYTHING TO COMPARE
03:54PM	13	IT TO.
03:54PM	14	MR. PAK: WE WILL FILE THAT TONIGHT AND BRING COPIES
03:55PM	15	WITH US. WE WILL TRY TO ADD TO WHAT THEY DID AND MAYBE MAKE IT
03:55PM	16	A LITTLE BIT MORE, FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE, BALANCED BUT WE WILL
03:55PM	17	TRY TO MAKE THEM COPIES.
03:55PM	18	THE COURT: THAT'S GREAT. AND I THINK IT WAS ARISTA
03:55PM	19	WHO WAS PREPARING THE JURY INSTRUCTIONS.
03:55PM	20	WHAT I WILL NEED WELL, IT CAN BE OVER THE WEEKEND, BUT I
03:55PM	21	WILL NEED THAT CLEAN SET THAT I CAN READ FROM OF THE
03:55PM	22	PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTIONS. AND I LIKE TO GET THEM BEFORE THAT
03:55PM	23	MORNING SO THAT I CAN READ THROUGH AND MAKE SURE THAT I AM
03:55PM	24	COMFORTABLE WITH THEM.
03:55PM	25	SO I DON'T KNOW WHO IS PREPARING THOSE, BUT RIGHT NOW I

03:55PM	1	STILL HAVE THE ANNOTATED ONES, CORRECT?
03:55PM	2	MR. VAN NEST: WE WERE DOING THAT, YOUR HONOR.
03:55PM	3	THE COURT: GREAT.
03:55PM	4	MR. VAN NEST: I UNDERSTAND WE ARE GOING TO RESOLVE
03:55PM	5	ALL OF THAT TOMORROW.
03:55PM	6	THE COURT: YES.
03:55PM	7	MR. VAN NEST: AND THEN IT'S JUST A MATTER OF
03:55PM	8	PROCESSING IT AND GETTING IT TO YOU. BY CLEAN YOU MEAN WITHOUT
03:55PM	9	THE ARGUMENT AND CITES.
03:55PM	10	THE COURT: THAT'S RIGHT. AND IT WON'T SAY ARISTA'S
03:55PM	11	PROPOSED, OR IT WILL JUST BE WHAT THE JURY SEES.
03:55PM	12	MR. VAN NEST: RIGHT.
03:55PM	13	THE COURT: SO I WILL JUST HAVE THE FIRST SET OF
03:55PM	14	PRELIMINARY.
03:55PM	15	MR. VAN NEST: THAT'S RIGHT.
03:55PM	16	THE COURT: IN FINAL FORM.
03:55PM	17	MR. VAN NEST: THAT'S RIGHT. AND IT WILL BE A LOT
03:55PM	18	SHORTER THAN WHAT JUDGE ALSUP GAVE TOO.
03:55PM	19	THE COURT: THAT'S HARD TO IMAGINE AFTER WHAT I'VE
03:56PM	20	BEEN READING.
03:56PM	21	MR. VAN NEST: WELL, WE WILL WAIT AND SEE.
03:56PM	22	THE COURT: FROM THE FIRST TRIAL, YOU MEAN.
03:56PM	23	MR. VAN NEST: MAYBE FROM EITHER.
03:56PM	24	THE COURT: OKAY. I GUESS I ONLY SAW THE SYNOPSYS
03:56PM	25	ONES, MAYBE THOSE WERE THE ONLY ONES I PRINTED OUT.

03:56PM	1	MR. VAN NEST: IN EITHER EVENT, WE WILL DO THE
03:56PM	2	PROCESSING, YOUR HONOR.
03:56PM	3	THE COURT: I APPRECIATE THAT. I THANK YOU SO MUCH.
03:56PM	4	ALL RIGHT. THEN I WILL SEE YOU ALL AT 9:30 TOMORROW.
03:56PM	5	(WHEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS IN THIS MATTER WERE CONCLUDED.)
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, 280 SOUTH FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY CERTIFY: THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT, CERTIFICATE INCLUSIVE, CONSTITUTES A TRUE, FULL AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES TAKEN AS SUCH OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF THE PROCEEDINGS HEREINBEFORE ENTITLED AND REDUCED BY COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY.

DATED: 11/21/16

SUMMER A. FISHER, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 13185